

# Prevalence of human papillomavirus types associated with cervical lesions in Sergipe state, Northeastern Brazil: high frequency of a possibly carcinogenic type

## Original Paper

**Cite this article:** Serra IGSS, Araujo ED, Barros GS, Santos FLSG, Gurgel RQ, Batista MVA (2018). Prevalence of human papillomavirus types associated with cervical lesions in Sergipe state, Northeastern Brazil: high frequency of a possibly carcinogenic type. *Epidemiology and Infection* **146**, 1184–1193. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S095026881800105X>

Received: 18 October 2017  
Revised: 28 February 2018  
Accepted: 4 April 2018  
First published online: 21 May 2018

### Key words:

Cervical cancer; HPV prevalence; human papillomavirus; molecular diagnosis; molecular epidemiology

### Author for correspondence:

M. V. A. Batista,  
E-mail: [mvatista@hotmail.com](mailto:mvatista@hotmail.com)

I. G. S. S. Serra<sup>1</sup>, E. D. Araujo<sup>1</sup>, G. S. Barros<sup>1</sup>, F. L. S. G. Santos<sup>1</sup>, R. Q. Gurgel<sup>2</sup> and M. V. A. Batista<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Center for Biological and Health Sciences, Federal University of Sergipe, São Cristóvão, Brazil and <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, Center for Biological and Health Sciences, Federal University of Sergipe, Aracaju, Brazil

### Abstract

Persistent infection with high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) is the main cause of cervical cancer and the prevalence of HPV types varies depending on the geographic region. Therefore, this study assessed the prevalence of HPV types in women with cervical lesions from Sergipe state, Northeastern Brazil. A cross-sectional study was conducted in women with cervical lesions from March to December 2014. These lesions were investigated by PCR and HPV types were identified by DNA sequencing. 432 patients were included, of which 337 patients tested positive for HPV. Eighteen different HPV types were detected, and high-risk HPV types were detected in 69.2%. HPV 16 (63.4%) was the most prevalent HPV type found, followed by HPV 66 (4.6%), HPV 18 (1.6%) and HPV 45 (1.4%). These results highlight the importance of the high prevalence of HPV 66, which is a possibly carcinogenic virus type not covered by the available vaccines. The prevalence of HPV 16 was high in the studied population, reaffirming the importance of young vaccination. However, the high prevalence of HPV 66 found in this study shows the importance of monitoring the diversity of HPV types in different populations and geographic regions to better understand the impacts of current HPV vaccines.

### Introduction

Cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer among women, with 527 000 new cases worldwide each year, causing 265 000 deaths annually [1]. In Brazil, it is estimated that 16 340 new cases of cervical cancer are diagnosed each year. Cervical cancer is the second most incident cancer in the Northeast region of Brazil, with an estimated risk of 19.49/100 000 women. In the state of Sergipe, Northeastern Brazil, the incidence rate of cervical cancer is 20.17/100 000 women, one of the highest in Brazil [2]. Infection with the human papillomavirus (HPV) is considered the main risk factor for cervical cancer development [3, 4]. However, different HPV types are related to diverse clinical outcomes. Consequently, it is very important to understand which HPV types are circulating in different regions of the world [5].

Several reports demonstrate that HPV 16 is the most prevalent worldwide [1, 6–10]. However, the prevalence of other HPV types exhibits a wide geographical variability. Therefore, it is important to highlight that the knowledge of the HPV-type distribution is essential to support the development of novel diagnostic methods for HPV identification, along with the evaluation of vaccine impacts in different geographical regions of the world [11].

Vaccination is an important strategy in reducing cervical cancer mortality rate [12]. However, as the HPV distribution varies with geographic location, vaccines that protect against the most prevalent HPV types for that geographic region should be preferred. Therefore, epidemiological studies with HPV genotyping are relevant for monitoring potential increases in the frequency of different HPV types, which is important to assess the impact of a vaccine [13].

In this context, understanding the prevalence of HPV types in different geographical regions is relevant in assessing the impact of a vaccine. In Brazil, the tetravalent vaccine is available to the public. However, knowledge on the prevalence of HPV types in some geographical regions, as the state of Sergipe, Northeastern Brazil, is still scarce. Therefore, the main purpose of this study was to assess the HPV genetic diversity in women with cervical lesions from Sergipe state, Northeastern Brazil, which is important in order to evaluate the efficacy of the vaccine against cervical cancer in that region.

## Methods

### Patients and clinical samples

This is a cross-sectional study carried out between March and December 2014. The study was conducted at the Center of Integral Attention to Women's Health (CAISM), in the state of Sergipe, Northeastern Brazil. Sergipe is the smallest state of Brazil with 21 915 116 km<sup>2</sup> and 2 068 017 inhabitants. This study included women with abnormal cervical cytology, which means that there was at least the presence of atypical squamous cells in the cytological examination. In addition, patients with normal cytology but presented abnormal transformation zone in colposcopy or pathological results in biopsy were also included in the study. HIV-positive patients and those who did not consent to participate were excluded from the study. Socio-economic data and risk factors were obtained: age, contraception usage, tobacco usage, STD history, alcohol usage, marital status, area of residence, formal education, number of sexual partners, age at first pregnancy, number of pregnancies, childbirth, abortion history, age at sexual debut, and age at first cytological examination (Supplementary Table S1).

The sample size was calculated taking into account confidence (95%) and absolute sample error (5%) for a finite population of women in the state of Sergipe. In the calculation, an estimated general prevalence of genital infection by any HPV type of 25% was used, based on Brazilian women of age 18 to 60 years old, which lives in the urban area, with abnormal cervical cytology. We also used other references to compare the results, including studies with general women population. Based on all these references, the HPV prevalence varied from 18% to 34.2%, which gave us a mean prevalence of 25% [14–16]. Therefore, for this population, it was estimated a sample size of 352 women.

Biological material was collected from the cervix with cytobrush, placed into PBS solution (pH 7.0), and stored at –20 °C. We have obtained an informed consent from every subject enrolled in the study. This study has been approved by the Federal University of Sergipe Ethics Committee (CAAE: 23374014100005545).

### HPV detection

Genomic DNA of the samples was extracted using Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega), according to the manufacturer's specifications. The obtained DNA was submitted to amplification by PCR of the human beta-globin gene for the assessment of DNA quality, in order to avoid possible false negative results. Nested PCR reactions were used for HPV DNA detection using MY09/11 and GP5+/6+ primers [17, 18]. All amplification reactions were performed in a final volume of 25 µl using PCR Master Mix kit (Promega), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Reference HPV 16 genome cloned into a pGEM-T vector (Promega) was used as positive control. Each PCR reaction was performed with negative specimens as a control.

PCR conditions for MY09/11 primers were: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing at 50 °C for 1 min, and extension at 72 °C for 2 min; followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR cycles for GP5+/6+ primers were: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min; 44 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 45 s, annealing at 47, °C for 45 s, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min; followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. The amplified product for each primer pair was visualised in 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis.

### HPV genotyping

PCR products were purified using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System kit (Promega). HPV genotyping was carried out by sequencing the PCR amplified genomic regions using ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing v.3.1 kit (Applied Biosystems). Sequencing quality and assembly of contigs were carried out by using PreGap4 and Gap4 programs, incorporated in Staden package [19]. BLAST was used for sequence identity determination [20].

### Co-infection assessment

Samples that presented HPV types other than HPV 16 or HPV 18 were subjected to the co-infection assessment with specific primers. One primer pair specific for HPV-16 detection (HPV-16L1 F: 5'-CACTATTTTGGAGGACTGGAAT-3'; HPV-16L1 R: 5'-GATGAGGTGGTGGGTGTAGC-3') and another specific for HPV-18 detection (HPV-18L1 F: 5'-GCCCTGCCTCTACACAGTA-3'; HPV-18L1 R: 5'-ATCCTGCTTATTGCCACCAC-3') were used in these samples.

PCR reactions were performed as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 50 s and 72 °C for 10 s and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. The amplified product for each primer pair was visualised in 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Reference HPV 16 and HPV 18 genomes cloned into a pGEM-T vector (Promega) were used as positive control.

### Statistical analysis

Epidemiological data were described by simple frequencies and percentages when they were categorical and by mean and standard deviation when they were continuous or discrete. Fisher's  $\chi^2$  test was used to evaluate possible associations between categorical variables. We used the Mann–Whitney test to assess differences in means between two groups, the Kruskal–Wallis test for three or more groups and the Dunn–Bonferroni test for multiple comparisons. A significance level of 5% was used. All statistical tests were performed in R Core Team 2016 program (Vienna, Austria).

## Results

The studied population was composed of women with clinical aspects of the abnormal cervix. The samples of the patients were tested for cytological and histological alterations. There was a pre-dominance of women between 25 and 35 years old (34.4%). Only 49% of the patients reported the use of contraceptive methods, of which 58.18% reported using condoms for contraception, and 35.15% reported using a hormonal method. Most of the patients were either illiterate or only completed middle school (53.4%). The average age of the first sexual intercourse was 16.9 years of age (s.d. = 3.54) and the average age of the first pregnancy was 19.1 years old (s.d. = 4.73) (Supplementary Table S1).

This study was carried out with 432 women with cervical lesions, of which 337 (78%) tested positive for HPV DNA. The studied population was composed of patients not vaccinated for HPV. Eighteen different HPV types were detected, which shows a great genetic diversity in the Northeast region of Brazil. Among all women with cervical lesions from this study, high-risk HPV types were detected in 69.2% of the patients. HPV 16

**Table 1.** Association between epidemiological characteristics and HPV risk group among the 337 HPV-positive samples in the studied population

	HPV				$\chi^2$ (P value)
	N (%)	Low-risk (%)	Possibly carcinogenic (%)	High-risk (%)	
<b>Histological test</b>					
Negative	54 (16)	5 (9)	6 (11)	43 (80)	7.71 (0.463)
CIN 1	207 (61)	10 (5)	11 (5)	186 (90)	
CIN 2	31 (9)	0 (0)	2 (6)	29 (94)	
CIN 3	36 (11)	1 (3)	2 (6)	33 (91)	
Invasive cancer	9 (3)	0 (0)	1 (11)	8 (89)	
<b>Age (years)</b>					
<25	47 (14)	1 (2)	5 (11)	41 (87)	6.26 (0.618)
25–35	116 (34)	9 (8)	6 (5)	101 (87)	
35–45	94 (28)	2 (2)	6 (6)	86 (92)	
45–55	50 (15)	2 (4)	3 (6)	45 (90)	
>55	30 (9)	2 (7)	2 (7)	26 (86)	
<b>Contraception usage</b>					
Condom	165 (49)	11 (7)	14 (8)	140 (85)	4.95 (0.084)
Hormonal	96 (58)	7 (7)	5 (5)	84 (88)	2.21 (0.331)
Other (sexual abstinence, tubal ligation, interrupted intercourse)	58 (35)	4 (7)	6 (10)	48 (83)	2.53 (0.283)
	11 (7)	0 (0)	3 (27)	8 (73)	8.36 (0.048)
<b>Tabaco usage</b>					
No more	31 (9)	3 (10)	2 (6)	26 (84)	7.58 (0.108)
No	282 (84)	10 (4)	17 (6)	255 (90)	
Yes	24 (7)	3 (13)	3 (13)	18 (74)	
<b>STD history</b>					
Alcohol usage	35 (10)	4 (11)	1 (3)	30 (86)	4.52 (0.104)
	138 (41)	10 (7)	9 (7)	119 (86)	3.24 (0.198)
<b>Marital status</b>					
Married	223 (66)	12 (5)	16 (7)	195 (88)	1.10 (0.577)
Single	114 (34)	4 (4)	6 (5)	104 (91)	
<b>Residence location</b>					
Rural	95 (28)	3 (3)	5 (5)	87 (92)	1.15 (0.563)
Urban	242 (72)	13 (5)	17 (7)	212 (88)	
<b>Formal education</b>					
Illiterate	30 (9)	2 (7)	2 (7)	26 (86)	5.73 (0.454)
Middle school education	150 (44)	5 (3)	12 (8)	133 (89)	
High school education	57 (17)	1 (2)	4 (7)	52 (91)	
College education	100 (30)	8 (8)	4 (4)	88 (88)	
<b>Number of sexual partners</b>					
1	144 (43)	6 (4)	10 (7)	128 (89)	2.76 (0.838)
2–5	158 (47)	9 (6)	9 (6)	140 (88)	
6–10	25 (7)	0 (0)	2 (8)	23 (92)	
>10	10 (3)	1 (10)	1 (10)	8 (80)	

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued.)

	HPV				$\chi^2$ (P value)
	N (%)	Low-risk (%)	Possibly carcinogenic (%)	High-risk (%)	
Cytological tests					
NILM	94 (28)	4 (4)	6 (6)	84 (90)	10.12 (0.430)
ASC-US	30 (9)	2 (7)	2 (7)	26 (86)	
ASC-H	8 (2)	0 (0)	1 (13)	7 (87)	
LSIL	118 (35)	10 (8)	6 (5)	102 (87)	
HSIL	82 (24)	0 (0)	7 (9)	75 (91)	
Invasive cancer	5 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (100)	
Colposcopy					
Abnormal	303 (90)	13 (4)	18 (6)	272 (90)	3.28 (0.194)
Normal	34 (10)	3 (9)	4 (12)	27 (79)	
			Mean (s.d.)		K-W
Age at first pregnancy	286 (85)	19.36 (3.83)	19.89 (4.52)	19.11 (4.79)	0.829
Number of pregnancies	290 (86)	3.86 (4.91)	2.58 (1.64)	3.23 (2.58)	0.680
Childbirth	291 (86)	3.71 (4.87)	2.16 (1.68)	2.77 (2.34)	0.582
Abortion history	282 (84)	0.14 (0.36)	0.58 (0.96)	0.45 (0.75)	0.276
Age at sexual debut	328 (97)	17.6 (3.33)	16.95 (3.91)	16.95 (3.53)	0.658
Age at first cytological examination	252 (75)	20.38 (4.74)	20 (3.98)	21.31 (7.53)	0.958

N, The overall HPV prevalence, being the denominator for the calculation of % for each diagnosis;  $\chi^2$ , Chi-squared test; K-W, Kruskal-Wallis test; s.d., standard deviation; STD, sexually transmitted disease; CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; NILM, negative for intraepithelial lesions or malignancy; ASC-US, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; ASC-H, atypical squamous cells in which a high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion cannot be excluded; LSIL, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions; HSIL, high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions.

(63.4%) was the most prevalent HPV type found in this study, followed by HPV 66 (4.6%), HPV 18 (1.6%) and HPV 45 (1.4%).

From the samples that presented HPV types other than HPV 16 or HPV 18 ( $n = 56$ ), 14 have presented more than one HPV type. The results indicated that HPV 16/66 co-infection was the most frequent (35.7%), followed by HPV 16/45 (28.6%), HPV 16/18/66 (21.4%), HPV 16/31 (7.1%) and HPV 16/58 (7.1%).

When we assess only the HPV-positive samples ( $n = 337$ ), the majority of patients tested positive for CIN 1 ( $n = 207$ ), of which 90% presented at least one high-risk HPV type. High-grade lesions (CIN 3 and invasive cancer) were mostly present in patients with high-risk HPV ( $n = 41$ ). However, three patients with high-grade lesions presented a possibly carcinogenic HPV type. High-risk HPV types were also present in all age groups. However, patients aged between 25 and 35 years old had the highest detection rates of HPV (Table 1).

HPV-positive patients aged between 25 and 35 years old accounted for most of the abnormal cytological results. They presented 36% of low-grade alterations and 21% of high-grade alterations. This group also presented abnormal colposcopy in 95% of cases. The group of women older than 55 years old presented the highest frequency of cytological diagnosis of cervical cancer (Table 2).

Among the patients that tested positive for HPV, HPV 16 was the most common high-risk type detected in ASC-US, LSIL, ASC-H, HSIL or cervical cancer. HPV 16 was present in 76.8% of cases with high-grade cytological lesions and in 100% of invasive carcinomas. In patients with cytological diagnosis of ASC-US,

the presence of HPV 16 was detected in 80% of cases and in 78% of patients with low-grade lesions. HPV 66 was the second most prevalent HPV type and it has also been detected in patients with different types of cytological alterations. HPV 66 was detected in 12.5% of patients that presented ASC-H cytology and in 6.1% of patients with high-grade cytology lesions (Table 3).

When it comes to the histological diagnosis, among the HPV-positive patients, HPV 16 was present in 82.6% of women with a diagnosis of CIN 1, in 80.6% of CIN 2 cases, in 83.3% of CIN 3 cases and in 66.7% of invasive carcinoma cases. HPV 66, the second most prevalent HPV type in this population, was detected in 5.3% of CIN 1 cases, in 6.5% of CIN 2 cases, in 2.8% of CIN 3 cases, and also in 11.1% of invasive carcinoma (Table 4).

## Discussion

In this study, we have found a large genetic diversity in HPV types infecting women with cervical lesions in Sergipe, Northeastern Brazil, with a predominance of high-risk HPV types. The state of Sergipe is the smallest state of Brazil, and the reference centre (CAISM) is responsible for the public gynaecological health services in the state. During one year, we have followed the cases of women with clinical alterations of the cervix in CAISM. All women with abnormal cervical cytology and the ones who had normal cytology but presented abnormal transformation zone in colposcopy or pathological results in biopsy were used in this study, which makes the study population representative.

**Table 2.** Association between epidemiological characteristics and cytological outcomes among the studied population

	Cytological outcomes							Colposcopy			
	N	NILM	ASC-US	ASC-H	LSIL	HSIL	Invasive Carcer	$\chi^2$ (P-value)	Abnormal	Normal	$\chi^2$ (P value)
<b>Histological tests</b>											
Negative	54	21 (38)	12 (22)	3 (6)	10 (19)	8 (15)	0 (0)	205.9 (<0.001)	43 (80)	11 (20)	12.1 (0.017)
CIN 1	207	67 (32)	14 (7)	4 (2)	99 (48)	23 (11)	0 (0)		190 (92)	17 (8)	
CIN 2	31	0 (0)	1 (3)	1 (3)	6 (19)	23 (80)	0 (0)		31 (100)	0 (0)	
CIN 3	36	5 (14)	2 (6)	0 (0)	3 (8)	24 (66)	2 (6)		32 (89)	4 (11)	
Invasive cancer	9	1 (11)	1 (11)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (45)	3 (33)		7 (78)	2 (22)	
<b>Age (years)</b>											
<25	47	11 (23)	4 (9)	0 (0)	28 (59)	4 (9)	0 (0)	50.2 (<0.001)	43 (91)	4 (9)	22.7 (<0.001)
25–35	116	36 (31)	10 (9)	2 (2)	43 (36)	24 (21)	1 (1)		110 (95)	6 (5)	
35–45	94	31 (33)	8 (9)	3 (3)	23 (24)	28 (30)	1 (1)		87 (93)	7 (7)	
45–55	50	13 (26)	7 (14)	1 (2)	12 (24)	17 (34)	0 (0)		43 (86)	7 (14)	
>55	30	3 (10)	1 (3)	2 (7)	12 (40)	9 (30)	3 (10)		20 (67)	10 (33)	
<b>Contraception usage</b>											
Condom	96	21 (22)	6 (6)	0 (0)	48 (50)	20 (21)	1 (1)	15.4 (0.009)	86 (90)	10 (10)	0.02 (0.900)
Hormonal	58	20 (35)	3 (5)	2 (3)	17 (29)	15 (26)	1 (2)	3.27 (0.658)	55 (95)	3 (5)	1.87 (0.172)
Other	11	3 (27)	2 (18)	1 (9)	1 (9)	4 (37)	0 (0)	7.59 (0.143)	10 (91)	1 (9)	0 (1.000)
<b>Tabaco usage</b>											
No more	31	8 (26)	2 (6)	1 (3)	10 (33)	9 (29)	1 (3)	10.4 (0.407)	26 (84)	5 (16)	2.86 (0.240)
No	282	83 (29)	25 (9)	7 (2)	101 (37)	62 (22)	4 (1)		257 (91)	25 (9)	
Yes	24	3 (13)	3 (13)	0 (0)	7 (29)	11 (45)	0 (0)		20 (83)	4 (17)	
STD history	35	11 (30)	2 (6)	2 (6)	8 (23)	9 (26)	3 (9)	17.4 (0.004)	30 (86)	5 (14)	0.76 (0.384)
Alcohol usage	138	36 (26)	13 (9)	3 (2)	55 (41)	29 (21)	2 (1)	3.01 (0.699)	122 (88)	16 (12)	0.58 (0.445)
<b>Marital status</b>											
Married	223	69 (31)	20 (9)	4 (2)	77 (34)	48 (22)	5 (2)	7.87 (0.164)	205 (92)	18 (8)	2.96 (0.085)
Single	114	25 (22)	10 (9)	4 (4)	41 (35)	34 (30)	0 (0)		98 (86)	16 (14)	
<b>Residence location</b>											
Rural	95	24 (25)	7 (7)	4 (4)	34 (37)	25 (26)	1 (1)	2.96 (0.706)	84 (88)	11 (12)	0.32 (0.569)
Urban	242	70 (29)	23 (9)	4 (2)	84 (35)	57 (23)	4 (2)		219 (90)	23 (10)	
<b>Formal education</b>											
Illiterate	30	5 (17)	1 (3)	3 (10)	8 (27)	13 (43)	0 (0)	30.23 (0.011)	27 (90)	3 (10)	9.11 (0.028)

Middle school education	150	35 (23)	12 (8)	5 (3)	56 (38)	38 (25)	4 (3)		127 (85)	23 (15)		
High school education	57	17 (30)	8 (14)	0 (0)	19 (33)	12 (21)	1 (2)		55 (96)	2 (4)		
College education	100	37 (37)	9 (9)	0 (0)	35 (35)	19 (19)	0 (0)		94 (94)	6 (6)		
Number of sexual partners												
1	144	47 (33)	15 (10)	4 (3)	48 (33)	27 (19)	3 (2)	13.4 (0.571)	130 (90)	14 (10)	0.22 (0.974)	
2 to 5	158	40 (25)	14 (9)	4 (3)	56 (35)	43 (27)	1 (1)		141 (89)	17 (11)		
6 to 10	25	6 (24)	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (36)	9 (36)	1 (4)		23 (92)	2 (8)		
>10	10	1 (10)	1 (10)	0 (0)	5 (50)	3 (30)	0 (0)		9 (90)	1 (10)		
				Mean (s.d.)						K-W		M-W
Age at first pregnancy	286	19.71 (5.3)	21.08 (4.43)	17 (2.94)	18.79 (4.37)	18.63 (4.69)	19 (3.16)	0.129	19.21 (4.77)	18.81 (4.49)	0.462	
Number of pregnancies	290	2.51 (1.62) <sup>a</sup>	2.73 (1.61) <sup>a,b</sup>	4.88 (3.68) <sup>a,b</sup>	3.24 (3.21) <sup>a,b</sup>	3.81 (2.78) <sup>b</sup>	5.6 (4.1) <sup>a,b</sup>	0.004	3.17 (2.69)	3.59 (2.63)	0.220	
Childbirth	291	2.08 (1.44) <sup>a</sup>	2.19 (1.58) <sup>a,b</sup>	4 (2.73) <sup>a,b</sup>	2.87 (3.06) <sup>a,b</sup>	3.34 (2.48) <sup>b</sup>	5.2 (4.09) <sup>a,b</sup>	0.001	2.75 (2.48)	2.97 (2.55)	0.626	
Abortion history	282	0.43 (0.76)	0.52 (0.71)	0.88 (1.13)	0.37 (0.75)	0.49 (0.73)	0.4 (0.55)	0.392	0.44 (0.74)	0.53 (0.88)	0.686	
Age at sexual debut	328	17.7 (3.77)	18.03 (3.15)	15 (3.06)	16.75 (3.53)	16.26 (3.26)	17 (3.54)	0.024	17 (3.48)	16.76 (4.01)	0.465	
Age at first cytological examination	252	19.85 (4.23)	21.04 (3.58)	19.75 (3.1)	20.98 (7.16)	22.8 (8.77)	29.5 (27.05)	0.451	20.81 (6.39)	24.5 (12.17)	0.205	

N, The denominator for the calculation of % for each diagnosis;  $\chi^2$ , Chi-squared test; M-W, Mann-Whitney test; K-W, Kruskal-Wallis test; s.d., standard deviation; STD, sexually transmitted disease; a,b, sub-groups differ from each other at 5% significance level for Dunn-Bonferroni Test; CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; NILM, negative for intraepithelial lesions or malignancy; ASC-US, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; ASC-H, atypical squamous cells in which a high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion cannot be excluded; LSIL, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions; HSIL, high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions.

**Table 3.** Distribution of HPV types and the association with cytological diagnosis among the 337 HPV-positive samples in the studied population

	Total		NILM		ASC-US		ASC-H		LSIL		HSIL		Invasive cancer	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<i>HPV group</i>														
Low-risk types	16	4.7	4	4.3	2	6.7	0	0.0	10	8.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV6	7	2.1	3	3.2	1	3.3	0	0.0	3	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV11	4	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV71	2	0.6	1	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV62	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV81	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV84	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Possibly carcinogenic types	22	6.5	6	6.4	2	6.7	1	12.5	6	5.1	7	8.5	0	0.0
HPV66	20	5.9	6	6.4	2	6.7	1	12.5	6	5.1	5	6.1	0	0.0
HPV53	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
HPV70	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
High-risk types	299	88.7	84	89.4	26	86.7	7	87.5	102	86.4	75	91.5	5	100.0
HPV16	274	81.3	84	89.4	24	80.0	6	75.0	92	78.0	63	76.8	5	100.0
HPV18	7	2.1	0	0.0	1	3.3	0	0.0	3	2.5	3	3.7	0	0.0
HPV45	6	1.8	0	0.0	1	3.3	0	0.0	1	0.8	4	4.9	0	0.0
HPV33	3	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	3.7	0	0.0
HPV56	3	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV31	2	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV58	2	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	1	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV35	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
HPV52	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.2	0	0.0

NILM, Negative for intraepithelial lesions or malignancy; ASC-US, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; ASC-H, atypical squamous cells in which a high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion cannot be excluded; LSIL, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions; HSIL, high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions. The denominator for the calculation of % for each diagnosis was 337, the number of samples that tested positive for HPV.

This is the first study in the state of Sergipe that assessed the HPV prevalence and the HPV-type distribution in such a scale. HPV 16 was the most prevalent in all patients that presented clinical characteristics of cervical lesions, in accordance with other regions of Brazil and also the world [21–26]. Surprisingly, we detected a relatively high frequency of HPV 66 in women with cytological or pathological abnormalities, the second most prevalent in this study, which is a possibly carcinogenic HPV type, and the currently used vaccines do not protect against this type [27]. In addition, this is the first time that HPV 71 has been found in this Brazilian region.

Differences on the prevalence and distribution of individual HPV types may be explained by the use of different methods of viral detection, variability in clinical specimens, the age of the participants and geographical variations [28, 29]. The prevalence of HPV types varies across different geographical regions, but HPV types 16, 18, 31, 52 and 58 are consistently found on every continent [6]. In this study, we observed the presence of all these HPV types. In addition, we were able to detect HPV 66 in several samples, which are not covered by the vaccine.

In Northeastern Brazil, a number of papers have been published on HPV prevalence and type distribution among women

with cytological and/or histological alterations [14, 30–35]. However, to the best of our knowledge, HPV prevalence among women with cervical lesions in the state of Sergipe, located in this region, has never been assessed.

Although HPV 16 was expected to be the most prevalent viral type among women with cytological and/or pathological abnormalities, this viral type was present in high rates in this study. In low-income countries, the prevalence of HPV 16 was estimated to be around 18.3% in LSIL and 38.8% in HSIL [6, 36]. In this study, HPV 16 was present in 76.8% of patients with HSIL and in 83.3% of patients with CIN3. Other studies in Brazil showed a prevalence of 42.4% for HPV 16 found in HSIL, 63.1% of cases of CIN3 and, in the rest of world, the prevalence of HPV 16 found in HSIL was around 45% [29, 36, 37]. In the agreement, other studies in Northeastern Brazil have also found HPV 16 to be the most prevalent HPV type in women with cervical lesions [14, 31–34]. In contrast to our data, another study carried out in the Northeast region of Brazil found the HPV 31 to be the most prevalent among women with normal and abnormal cytological outcomes [30]. However, most of the samples were collected from another geographical region, with another HPV-type distribution pattern. In the state of Maranhão, in the same

**Table 4.** Distribution of HPV types and the association with histological diagnosis among the 337 HPV-positive samples in the studied population

HPV group	Total		Negative		CIN 1		CIN 2		CIN 3		Invasive cancer	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Low-risk types	16	4.7	5	9.3	10	4.8	0	0.0	1	2.8	0	0.0
HPV6	7	2.1	4	7.4	3	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV11	4	1.2	0	0.0	4	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV71	2	0.6	1	1.9	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV62	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV81	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.8	0	0.0
HPV84	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Possibly carcinogenic types	22	6.5	6	11.1	11	5.3	2	6.5	2	5.6	1	11.1
HPV66	20	5.9	5	9.3	11	5.3	2	6.5	1	2.8	1	11.1
HPV53	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.8	0	0.0
HPV70	1	0.3	1	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
High-risk types	299	88.7	43	79.6	186	89.9	29	93.5	33	91.7	8	88.9
HPV16	274	81.3	42	77.8	171	82.6	25	80.6	30	83.3	6	66.7
HPV18	7	2.1	1	1.9	3	1.4	1	3.2	0	0.0	2	22.2
HPV45	6	1.8	0	0.0	4	1.9	1	3.2	1	2.8	0	0.0
HPV33	3	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.2	2	5.6	0	0.0
HPV56	3	0.9	0	0.0	3	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV31	2	0.6	0	0.0	2	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV58	2	0.6	0	0.0	2	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV35	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
HPV52	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

CIN, Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. The denominator for the calculation of % for each diagnosis was 337, the number of samples that tested positive for HPV.

region of Brazil, HPV 68 was the most prevalent, but only women from quilombo communities with cytological abnormalities were assessed [35].

These results highlight the importance of assessing the prevalence of HPV types in other populations from this Brazilian region, which is associated with a high rate of cervical cancer incidence [2, 38]. Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study on HPV prevalence and HPV-type distribution in the state of Sergipe, which extends the knowledge on the prevalence of HPV types in cervical lesions in this region.

While the prevalence of HPV 16 is high across the world, the second most prevalent HPV type varies according to the geographic region [39]. In this study, HPV 66 was the second most prevalent HPV type among women with cytological and/or histological alterations. This HPV type has been found in other studies with women that presented cervical lesions conducted in Brazil [30, 31, 37, 40, 41], but its frequency was very low. HPV 66 has an increased prevalence among women with cytological and/or pathological abnormalities in the state of Sergipe, which highlights the importance of the efficacy surveillance of the vaccine implemented by the Brazilian government for the entire country. To the best of our knowledge, just a few studies have shown HPV 66 as the second most frequent HPV type in women with cervical

alterations around the world, one in Trinidad and one in Bangladesh [42, 43].

Although no study has provided strong evidence for carcinogenicity of HPV66, and its single detection is rare in cervical cancer, knowledge on the natural history of HPV 66 in cervical lesion development is still incipient and needs to be investigated. In other regions of Brazil, HPV 58, 31, 33 and 56 were the second most prevalent HPV types among women with cervical abnormalities [5, 37, 44–47]. In the Northeast region, HPV 58, 31, 74, 18 and 16 were the second most prevalent HPV types in abnormal cervical samples [14, 30–35]. Therefore, based on our observations, the state of Sergipe is the only state in Brazil that presented HPV 66 as the second most prevalent HPV type in women with cervical alterations.

HPV 66 is phylogenetically related to HPV 56, which is a high-risk type, and classified in the genus *Alphapapillomavirus*, species group  $\alpha$ -6. Although this phylogenetic proximity to high-risk HPV types could shed light on the possibility of HPV 66 to be associated with cervical cancer development, it is known that different HPV types in *Alphapapillomavirus* genus, in the clade that contains the carcinogenic types, have different carcinogenic potential. Some of these HPV types were reported to cause precancerous lesions up to CIN3, but they are rarely found in



invasive cancer. However, knowledge of the biological mechanisms that could explain this clinical diversity is still scarce.

In this study, HPV 66 was detected in women with normal cytological outcomes, in women with ASCU-US/LSIL, and in women with ASC-H/HSIL. It is relevant to highlight the presence of HPV 66 in one patient with a histological diagnosis of invasive cancer. All women with HPV 66 and high-grade lesions or invasive cancer also tested negative for HPV 16 and 18. Since we have not tested for co-infection with high-risk HPV types other than HPV16/18, it is possible that these samples might have one of these other high-risk HPV types. However, although low-risk and possibly carcinogenic HPV types in high-grade lesions and cervical cancer is rare, this situation has already been reported previously [3, 48, 49]. Therefore, our results cannot confirm if this is a case of single infection with a low-risk type or co-infection, they also cannot exclude this hypothesis. These results pointed to the epidemiological importance of HPV 66 in this population. Although these results are very relevant to provide novel data on the importance of HPV 66 in cancer development, other epidemiological studies with different populations should be carried out.

In Brazil, the quadrivalent vaccine was implemented by the government, and it does not protect against HPV 66, the second most prevalent HPV type in this study. In European countries and the United States, a non-avalent vaccine has been licensed in 2014 [50]. However, the non-avalent vaccine cannot protect against HPV 66 either. Although the authors think that more studies should address the relevance of HPV 66 in the development of novel vaccines that could protect against a broader group of viral types, there is not enough evidence for the consideration of HPV 66 as an important cause of cervical cancer until now.

The highest prevalence of high-risk HPV was observed in women with lower levels of education, which cannot be considered to be a well-defined risk factor, but this group could have less access to health care, which is implicated in higher levels of infectious disease disorders [44]. In accordance to other studies, the epidemiological factors found to be significantly associated with HPV infection were high parity, young age at first sexual intercourse and history of other sexually transmissible diseases [11, 45, 51, 52]. In addition, patients with higher number of pregnancies had the highest rates of high-grade lesions, which could possibly be an important risk factor [45, 53].

The main limitation of this study was the low number of invasive cancer cases assessed, which limited us to make stronger assumptions about the progression of HPV66 infection. These few cancer cases could be explained because the reference centre (CAISM), in which the study was carried out, do not treat the patients with cervical cancer and we did not have access to most of these patients. However, we have never detected HPV66 in high-grade lesions in this Brazilian region before, which might point out the possible role of HPV66 in these lesions. Although no study has provided strong evidence for carcinogenicity of HPV66, and its single detection is rare in cervical cancer, knowledge on the natural history of HPV 66 in cervical lesion development is still incipient and needs to be investigated.

The identification of HPV-type distribution in a particular region or population is important to predict the real impact of the vaccines and to monitor the prevalence of viral types not covered by the vaccine. Our results have shown a high prevalence of high-risk HPV types in the studied population. In addition, we have observed a high prevalence of HPV 66, which is a possible carcinogenic HPV type not protected against by available vaccines. Therefore, it is important that further studies could assess

the prevalence of HPV 66, and other HPV types, in different geographical regions in order to assess the necessity of developing novel vaccines that protect against them.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S095026881800105X>.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors acknowledge the Platform of Sequencing-LABCEN/CCB/UFPE for the use of its facilities.

**Financial support.** This work was supported by the Brazilian Institutes FAPITEC/SE (grant number 8444.UNI332.22122.17102013/2013-02 (PPSUS Sergipe)), CNPq (grant number 446425/2014-1) and CAPES (grant number 23038.010050/2013-04).

**Declaration of interest.** None.

**Ethical standards.** The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. This study has been approved by the Federal University of Sergipe Ethics Committee (CAAE: 23374014100005545).

## References

1. **Bruni L et al.** (2017) *ICO/IARC Information Centre on Papillomavirus and Cancer (HPV Information Centre)*. Human Papillomavirus and Related Diseases in the World. Summary Report, Barcelona, Spain. (Accessed 09 May 2017).
2. **National Institute of Cancer** (2015) *Cancer Incidence in Brazil*. Estimate/2016. Rio de Janeiro: INCA.
3. **Burd EM** (2003) Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **16**, 1–17.
4. **Natphopsuk S et al.** (2012) Risk factors for cervical cancer in northeastern Thailand: detailed analyses of sexual and smoking behavior. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention* **13**, 5489–5495.
5. **Rocha DA et al.** (2013) High prevalence and genotypic diversity of the human papillomavirus in Amazonian women, Brazil. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology* **2013**, 514859.
6. **Bruni L et al.** (2010) Cervical human papillomavirus prevalence in 5 continents: meta-analysis of 1 million women with normal cytological findings. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* **202**, 1789–1799.
7. **Crawford R et al.** (2011) High prevalence of HPV in non-cervical sites of women with abnormal cervical cytology. *BMC Cancer* **11**, 473.
8. **Kay P et al.** (2003) High prevalence of HPV 16 in South African women with cancer of the cervix and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Journal of Medical Virology* **71**, 265–273.
9. **Sargent A et al.** (2008) Prevalence of type-specific HPV infection by age and grade of cervical cytology: data from the ARTISTIC trial. *British Journal of Cancer* **98**, 1704–1709.
10. **Srivastava S, Gupta S and Roy JK** (2012) High prevalence of oncogenic HPV-16 in cervical smears of asymptomatic women of eastern Uttar Pradesh, India: a population-based study. *Journal of Biosciences* **37**, 63–72.
11. **Vaccarella S et al.** (2006) Reproductive factors, oral contraceptive use, and human papillomavirus infection: pooled analysis of the IARC HPV prevalence surveys. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* **15**, 2148–2153.
12. **Lowy DR and Schiller JT** (2012) Reducing HPV-associated cancer globally. *Cancer Prevention Research* **5**, 18–23.
13. **Pomfret TC, Gagnon Jr. JM and Gilchrist AT** (2011) Quadrivalent human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine: a review of safety, efficacy, and pharmacoeconomics. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics* **36**, 1–9.
14. **Santos Filho MV et al.** (2016) Prevalence of human papillomavirus (HPV), distribution of HPV types, and risk factors for infection in HPV-positive women. *Genetics and Molecular Research* **15**, 1–9. doi: gmr.15028315.
15. **Gontijo RC et al.** (2005) Citologia oncológica, captura de híbridos II e inspeção visual no rastreamento de lesões cervicais. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* **21**, 141–149.

16. **Silva TT et al.** (2006) Identificação de tipos de papilomavirus e de outros fatores de risco para neoplasia intra-epitelial cervical. *Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetria* **28**, 285–291.
17. **Manos MM et al.** (1989) The use of polymerase chain reaction amplification for the detection of genital human papillomaviruses. *Cancer Cell* **7**, 209–214.
18. **de Roda Husman AM et al.** (1995) The use of general primers GP5 and GP6 elongated at their 3' ends with adjacent highly conserved sequences improves human papillomavirus detection by PCR. *Journal of General Virology* **76**, 1057–1062.
19. **Staden R** (1996) The Staden sequence analysis package. *Molecular Biotechnology* **5**, 233–241.
20. **Altschul SF et al.** (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**, 403–410.
21. **de Sanjosé S et al.** (2007) Worldwide prevalence and genotype distribution of cervical human papillomavirus DNA in women with normal cytology: a meta-analysis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* **7**, 453–459.
22. **Baldez da Silva MF et al.** (2009) HPV31 and HPV33 incidence in cervical samples from women in Recife, Brazil. *Genetics and Molecular Research* **8**, 1437–1443.
23. **Entiauspe LG et al.** (2014) High incidence of oncogenic HPV genotypes found in women from Southern Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **45**, 689–694.
24. **Rabelo-Santos SH et al.** (2003) Human papillomavirus prevalence among women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia III and invasive cervical cancer from Goiânia, Brazil. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* **98**, 181–184.
25. **Lippman SA et al.** (2010) Prevalence, distribution and correlates of endocervical human papillomavirus types in Brazilian women. *International Journal of STD & AIDS* **21**, 105–109.
26. **Oliveira LH et al.** (2010) Human papillomavirus genotypes in asymptomatic young women from public schools in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* **43**, 4–8.
27. **Nayereh KG and Khadem G** (2012) Preventive and therapeutic vaccines against human papillomaviruses associated cervical cancers. *Iranian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences* **15**, 585–601.
28. **Raiol T et al.** (2009) Genetic variability and phylogeny of the high-risk HPV-31, -33, -35, -52, and -58 in central Brazil. *Journal of Medical Virology* **81**, 685–692.
29. **Ribeiro AA et al.** (2011) Association between HPV types and species groups and cervical neoplasia from a high-risk area for cervical cancer, Goiânia, Brazil. *International Journal of Gynecological Pathology* **30**, 288–294.
30. **Chagas BS et al.** (2015) Association study between cervical lesions and single or multiple vaccine-target and non-vaccine target human papillomavirus (HPV) types in women from Northeastern Brazil. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0132570.
31. **Nunes JD et al.** (2014) Molecular detection of human papillomavirus in Brazilian women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in a northeast Brazilian city. *Genetics and Molecular Research* **13**, 9077–9085.
32. **Fernandes JV et al.** (2011) Human papillomavirus prevalence in women with normal cytology and with cervical cancer in Natal, Brazil. *Molecular Medicine Reports* **4**, 1321–1326.
33. **Fernandes JV et al.** (2010) Prevalence of human papillomavirus in archival samples obtained from patients with cervical pre-malignant and malignant lesions from Northeast Brazil. *BMC Research Notes* **3**, 96.
34. **Oliveira FA et al.** (2012) Human papillomavirus genotype distribution and risk factors for infection in women from a small municipality in north east Brazil. *International Journal of STD & AIDS* **23**, e5–10.
35. **Batista JE et al.** (2017) Human papillomavirus genotypes 68 and 58 are the most prevalent genotypes in women from quilombo communities in the state of Maranhão, Brazil. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* **55**, 51–55.
36. **Bruni L et al.** (2016) *ICO/IARC Information Centre on Papillomavirus and Cancer (HPV Information Centre)*. Kenya: Human Papillomavirus and Related Cancers. Fact Sheet. (Accessed 9 June 2016).
37. **Bruno A et al.** (2014) Genotype distribution of human papillomavirus in women from the state of Bahia, Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetria* **36**, 416–422.
38. **Castellsagué X** (2008) Natural history and epidemiology of HPV infection and cervical cancer. *Gynecologic Oncology* **110**, S4–S7.
39. **Clifford GM et al.** (2003) Comparison of HPV type distribution in high-grade cervical lesions and cervical cancer: a meta-analysis. *British Journal of Cancer* **89**, 101–105.
40. **Castro MM et al.** (2011) Prevalence of human papillomavirus (HPV) type 16 variants and rare HPV types in the central Amazon region. *Genetics and Molecular Research* **10**, 186–196.
41. **Camara GN et al.** (2003) Prevalence of human papillomavirus types in women with pre-neoplastic and neoplastic cervical lesions in the Federal District of Brazil. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* **98**, 879–883.
42. **Andall-Breton GM et al.** (2011) Human papillomavirus genotypes and their prevalence in a cohort of women in Trinidad. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública* **29**, 220–226.
43. **Nahar Q et al.** (2014) Genital human papillomavirus infection among women in Bangladesh: findings from a population-based survey. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e107675.
44. **Fernandes JV et al.** (2009) Prevalence of HPV infection by cervical cytologic status in Brazil. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics* **105**, 21–24.
45. **de Mendonça VG et al.** (2010) Human papillomavirus cervical infection: viral genotyping and risk factors for high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion and cervix cancer. *Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetria* **32**, 476–485.
46. **Coser J et al.** (2013) Prevalence and genotypic diversity of cervical human papillomavirus infection among women from an urban center in Brazil. *Genetics and Molecular Research* **12**, 4276–4285.
47. **Ayres AR and Silva GA** (2010) Cervical HPV infection in Brazil: systematic review. *Revista de Saúde Pública* **44**, 963–974.
48. **Cornall AM et al.** (2013) Anal and perianal squamous carcinomas and high-grade intraepithelial lesions exclusively associated with 'low-risk' HPV genotypes 6 and 11. *International Journal of Cancer* **133**, 2253–2258.
49. **Salehi-Vaziri M et al.** (2016) Distribution of human papillomavirus genotypes in Iranian women according to the severity of the cervical lesion. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal* **18**, e24458.
50. **Markowitz LE et al.** (2013) Reduction in human papillomavirus (HPV) prevalence among young women following HPV vaccine introduction in the United States, National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, 2003–2010. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* **208**, 385–393.
51. **Castellsagué X et al.** (2014) Prospective seroepidemiologic study on the role of Human Papillomavirus and other infections in cervical carcinogenesis: evidence from the EPIC cohort. *International Journal of Cancer* **135**, 440–452.
52. **International Collaboration of Epidemiological Studies of Cervical Cancer** (2009) Cervical carcinoma and sexual behavior: collaborative reanalysis of individual data on 15,461 women with cervical carcinoma and 29,164 women without cervical carcinoma from 21 epidemiological studies. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* **18**, 1060–1069.
53. **Rosa MI et al.** (2008) Persistence and clearance of human papillomavirus infection: a prospective cohort study. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **199**, 617.e1–617.e17.