Bulletin of Entomological Research

cambridge.org/ber

Research Paper

*These authors contributed equally to this study.

Cite this article: Zhang S, Zhu E, Wang Z, Zhong Y, Zha X, Ji H, Meng Q (2024). Evaluation of suitable reference genes for expression profile analyses of target genes in the coffee berry borer, Hypothenemus hampei (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 114, 57-66. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0007485323000615

Received: 23 June 2023 Revised: 12 October 2023 Accepted: 16 November 2023 First published online: 5 January 2024

Keywords:

Beauveria bassiana infection; developmental stages; expression stability; Hypothenemus hampei (Ferrari); reference genes; temperature stress

Corresponding authors:

Qianqian Meng; Email: mengzouzou@163.com; Zheng Wang; Email: sallywz618@163.com

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press



Evaluation of suitable reference genes for expression profile analyses of target genes in the coffee berry borer, Hypothenemus hampei (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae)

Shaohua Zhang^{1,4,*}, Enhang Zhu^{1,4,*}, Zheng Wang^{2,4}, Yaofeng Zhong^{1,4}, Xuezong Zha^{1,4}, Hengging Ji² and Qiangian Meng^{3,4} 🗈

¹Hainan Provincial Key Laboratory of Genetic Improvement and Quality Regulation for Tropical Spice and Beverage Crops, Spice and Beverage Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Wanning 571533, P.R. China; ²Chongqing Municipal Key Laboratory for High Pathogenic Microbes, The First Batch of Key Disciplines On Public Health in Chongqing, Department of Disinfection and Vector Control, Chongqing Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chongqing 40042, P.R. China; ³Institute of Agricultural Resources and Environment, Chongqing Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chongqing 40042, P.R. China and ⁴School of Plant Protection, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, P.R. China

Abstract

The coffee berry borer, Hypothenemus hampei (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), is a major destructive insect pest of coffee, which impacts the coffee crops negatively. As a draft genome has been completed for this insect, most molecular studies on gene transcriptional levels under different experimental conditions will be conducted using real-time reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reactions (RT-qPCR). However, the lack of suitable internal reference genes will affect the accuracy of RT-qPCR results. In this study, the expression stability of nine candidate reference genes was evaluated under different developmental stages, temperature stress, and Beauveria bassiana infection. Data analyses were completed by four commonly used programs, BestKeeper, NormFinder, geNorm, and RefFinder. The result showed that RPL3 and $EF1\alpha$ combination were recommended as the most stable reference genes for developmental stages. $EF1\alpha$ and RPS3a combination were the top two stable reference genes for B. bassiana infection. RPS3a and RPL3 combination performed as the optimal reference genes both in temperature stress and all samples. Our results should provide a good foundation for the expression profile analyses of target genes in the future, especially for molecular studies on insect genetic development, temperature adaptability, and immune mechanism to entomogenous fungi in H. hampei.

The coffee berry borer (CBB), Hypothenemus hampei (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), has been listed as a quarantine pest in many countries and considered as one of the most destructive insect pests in coffee. It is estimated that once CBB outbreak occurs, the coffee industry will suffer losses of up to 80% (Le Pelley, 1973; Vega et al., 2009; Infante, 2018). It is worth noting that CBB was firstly recorded invading the Chinese island of Hainan in 2019 (Sun et al., 2020), which is a major producer of the species robusta coffee. The insect has a special breeding mode that most of its lifecycle occurs inside the coffee berries, making the control strategies difficult to implement (Baker, 1999, Damon, 2000; Aristizábal et al., 2012). Female adults bore into the endosperm of the berries and deposit their eggs. Special galleries are formed with the larvae develop within the beans, leading to reduced coffee yield and quality. All nutrients for young beetles come from the coffee berries, until the mated female beetles emerge from mature coffee berries to find new habitats for oviposition (Damon, 2000; Duque and Baker, 2003).

For invasive insects, temperature plays an important role throughout their whole lifecycle. The adaptability to different temperatures allows insects to successfully invade and spread (Wallner, 1987; Renault et al., 2018; Umeda and Paine, 2019). Temperature variation significantly affected the fecundity and development time of CBB. Females of CBB successfully oviposited in the temperature range 15-30°C, with the highest fecundity observed at 23°C (Azrag and Babin, 2023). The maximum and minimum temperature threshold have also been estimated for egg, larva, pupa, and the total development from egg to adult, respectively (Azrag et al., 2020). Studies on the adaptability of CBB to temperature will help understand and predict the pest population dynamics and distribution in coffee plantations and as such, will contribute to a more efficient management of the pest. In terms of prevention and control strategies for CBB, three African parasitoids have been introduced to many CBB invaded countries, including Prorops nasuta Waterston (Bethylidae), Cephalonomia stephanoderis

(Bethylidae), and *Phymastichus* coffea Betrem LaSalle (Eulophidae) (Barrera et al., 1990; Infante et al., 1994, 2012; Chiu-Alvarado and Rojas, 2008; Espinoza et al., 2009). Besides, an entomopathogen, Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin (Ascomicota: Hypocreales), has been widely used to control CBB throughout the world. It has been verified to be highly infective to the adult beetles in coffee plantations wherever the borer is present (Infante, 2018). In recent years, more and more studies on the use of volatile compounds extracted from either coffee trees themselves or companion plants have been reported. Among these volatiles, some are attractive compounds that can be used as lure traps to capture and monitor CBB populations in the field (Pereira et al., 2012; Cruz Roblero and Malo, 2013; Jaramillo et al., 2013; Cruz-López et al., 2016; Sinaga et al., 2020). Moreover, some monoterpene and sesquiterpene compounds elicited from secondary metabolites are identified as repellent to CBB (Jaramillo et al., 2013; Vega et al., 2017; Mafra-Neto et al., 2018). Carmenza et al. (2020) reported terpenevolatile compounds as a promising compound repellent for CBB control.

A better understanding of gene function and expression will reveal insights into relevant molecular pathways, informing updated pest control strategies. However, little research has been done on the molecular mechanism of CBB. The mechanisms of the invasion and diffusion of CBB are still unclear. Elucidating the adaptation mechanism to the environment of CBB and the infection mechanism of entomogenous fungi could help improve their control effectiveness against CBB. Moreover, as the genome draft of CBB has been sequenced, it will provide important genetic information for research on such molecular mechanisms (Vega et al., 2015). Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) is a reliable and useful method for the transcriptional expression level analyses of target genes, which has high specificity, accuracy, sensitivity, and reproducibility (Heid et al., 1996; Bustin, 2010). However, the result is easily affected by the RNA extraction quality, the efficiency of cDNA production using reverse transcription, the primer amplification efficiency, and so on. Therefore, introducing suitable reference genes for target gene expression normalisation is necessary for RT-qPCR analysis (Hu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). No suitable 'universal' reference gene could be expressed constantly in all the organism and experimental conditions (Lee et al., 2002). Therefore, it is essential to perform internal reference gene stability testing before performing RT-qPCR experiments. The ideal internal reference gene should express stably under whatever experimental conditions. To date, no single reference gene has been found suitable for all the experimental treatments, nor among species. Even though actin (ACT), β -tubulin (β -tub) and glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were identified as traditional reference genes for a long time they expressed unstably in response to diverse experimental conditions or different species. For example, ACT, β -tub and GAPDH were identified as unstable reference genes in all treatments in Xylotrechus quadripes (Meng et al., 2022), In Helopeltis theivora, ACT was considered as the best reference gene only in tissues, and GAPDH only expressed stably in developmental stages. However, β -tub was unstable in all experimental conditions (Wang et al., 2019). GAPDH and β -tub were indicated unstable in all the treatments in Adelphocoris suturalis except ACT as the ideal reference gene in dsRNA infection (Luo et al., 2018). Besides, some novel reference genes such as ribosomal protein genes, elongation factor, eukaryotic translation initiation factor were verified as a set of potential internal control

genes in the insect mentioned above. However, their stability was also influenced by different treatments or different species. Therefore, whether it is traditional internal reference or novel ones, we still need to verify the stability of these genes if we want to use them in CBB.

So far, no validated reference gene has been reported in CBB for differential experimental conditions. Thus, the object of this study is to identify the optimal reference genes of CBB in developmental stages, temperature stress, and *B. bassiana* infection treatment. Our study tests and validates a set of candidate reference genes for RT-qPCR in CBB. This should be useful for gene expression analysis in modern molecular genetic research in CBB.

Materials and methods

Insect colletion

The CBB was collected from the coffee plantation located in Wanning (Hainan province, China). Coffee berries with shotholes were brought back to the laboratory until the adults emerged. The newly emerged adults were reared singly with a fresh coffee berry in plastic cylindrical bottles. The laboratory condition was maintained at (26 ± 2) °C, (75 ± 5) % relative humidity, and a photoperiod cycle of 16 h L:8 h D.

Experimental treatments

Developmental stages

Different developmental stages of CBB including eggs, larvae, pupae, and adults were collected into RNase-free centrifuge tubes and stored at -80 °C for RNA extraction. Three biological replicates were set for each developmental stage. Each replicate included one hundred eggs, twenty larvae including all the instar, twenty pupae, and twenty adults.

Temperature stress

The CBB adults were exposed to three temperature treatments. Specifically, adults were acclimated for 4 h at low temperature 4°C, preference temperature 26°C and high temperature 32°C. Twenty adults were collected for each treatment. Three biological replicates were set for each temperature exposure. Each replicate was collected into RNase-free centrifuge tubes and stored at -80 °C for RNA extraction.

B. bassiana infection treatment

The *B. bassiana* strain used was isolated from the CBB cadavers collected in a wild coffee field in Wanning (Hainan province, China). The strain was purified and cultured by PDA medium in the laboratory and stored at China centre for type culture collection (CCTCC NO: M2017045). A spore suspension with a concentration of 1×10^7 conidia ml⁻¹ was prepared with 0.05% Tween-80 sterile water. In total, sixty CBB adults were immersed into the spore suspension for 5 s and raised in the sterilised dishes. Twenty treated adults were collected into RNase-free centrifuge tubes after 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h, respectively. Three replicates were obtained for each treatment. All the samples were stored at -80 °C for RNA extraction.

Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA from different treatments was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, USA). Disrupt and homogenised each sample using 1 ml TRIzol reagent, and then incubated for 5 min at room temperature. $200 \,\mu$ l of chloroform was added and each sample shaken vigorously for 15 s, before further incubation at room temperature for 3 min. Samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 13,400 × g at 4 °C, and the aqueous phase was transferred to a new centrifuge tube with the same volume of isopropanol added. Following incubation for 10 min, the samples were centrifuged with 13,400 × g for 10 min at 4 °C and the supernatant was discarded. The resulting precipitation was resuspended and vortexed briefly with 1 ml 75% ethanol for 2~3 times. The supernatant was discarded and the RNA pellet was air-dried and an appropriate amount of RNase-free water was added to dissolve the precipitate. Finally, the quality and purity of total RNA was determined by fluorescence microplate reader (BioTek, USA).

The cDNA synthesis was conducted following the protocol of PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (TIANGEN, China). Specifically, we mixed 1 μ g of total RNA, 2 μ l of 5 × gDNA Eraser Buffer, and additional RNase free water up to 10 μ l in an RNase-free tube, incubated the mixture at 42 °C for 3 min and chilled immediately in ice. Another RNase-free tube was prepared containing 10 μ l mixture that included 2 μ l 10 × King RT Buffer, 1 μ l FastKing RT Enzyme Mix, 2 μ l FQ-RT Primer Mix, and 5 μ l RNase free water. The two 10 μ l solutions were then mixed to make a final volume up of 20 μ l. The reverse transcription reaction was performed at 42 °C for 15 min, then 95 °C for 3 min using a PCR Amplifier. Finally, the products were stored at -20 °C before use.

Reference gene selection and primer design

Nine candidate reference genes were selected for stability evaluation in this study, including *GAPDH*, elongation factor EF-1 alpha ($EF1\alpha$), 60S ribosomal protein L3 (RPL3), 60S ribosomal protein L10 (RPL10), 40S ribosomal protein S3a (RPS3a), 40S ribosomal protein S9 (*RPS9*), alpha-actinin (α -ACT), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A (EIF4A), TATA box-binding protein (TATA). The accession numbers were listed in Table 1. The primers were designed by an online tool (NCBI, http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). The amplification efficiency and primer specificity were assessed by standard curves and melt curve analyses. To be specific, the PCR products were firstly confirmed to be the target fragments by bidirectional sequencing and alignment with the uploaded sequence, and then detected and purified using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and the E.Z.N.A.TM Gel Extraction Kit (Omega, USA) respectively. The DNA fragments were ligate with pMD-19 T, and the products were transformed into Escherichia coli DH5α (TaKaRa, China), before extraction of the plasmid by E.Z.N.A.TM Plasmid Miniprep Kit II (Omega, USA). Finally, the plasmid was used as the templates for the standard curve and melt curve analysis.

RT-qPCR analyses

RT-qPCR reactions were performed on the BioRad CFX96 Real-Time PCR detection system with $2 \times TB$ Green Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, China). Three technical replicates were performed for each biological sample. A $20 \,\mu$ l reaction system included $10 \,\mu$ l TB Green Premix Ex Taq, $1 \,\mu$ l template, $0.5 \,\mu$ l forward primer, $0.5 \,\mu$ l reverse primer and $8 \,\mu$ l ddH₂O. Amplification parameters were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 30 s. Finally, a melting curve analysis from 65 °C to 95 °C was formed to ensure the consistency and specificity of the amplified product. The standard curves were drawn by a ten-fold dilution series of the plasmid. The efficiency (*E*) and correlation coefficient (R^2) of each primer

 Table 1. Primer characteristics of nine candidate reference genes for RT-qPCR in H. hampei

Gene	Whole name	Accession number	Primers	Length	E value	R ²
RPL3	60S ribosomal protein L3	OR129676	5' TGGGCGGTTTCCCTCATTAC 3'	120	0.927	0.997
			5' GGCTTGTGTGAACCAGCAAC 3'			
RPS3a	40S ribosomal protein S3a	OR129678	5' TGTTGCCTGACAGTATCGCA 3'	150	0.931	0.991
			5' AGCTCTTCGAGTCACCATGC 3'			
RPL10	60S ribosomal protein L10	OR129677	5' AAGAAGGCCGCAGTTGATGA 3'	96	1.044	0.994
			5' AATACGACCCGCTTCCAAGG 3'			
RPS9	40S ribosomal protein S9	OR129679	5' CAGGCAGAGACACATCCGAG 3'	109	1.039	0.988
			5' ACCGAAGGGCGATTTCAGAG 3'			
EIF4A	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A	OR129681	5' GTCTGGACACGACCGAAGAA 3'	133	1.04	0.985
			5' AACGCCATCAGGGTCCATTC 3'			
${\sf EF1}lpha$	Elongation factor EF-1 alpha	OR129675	5' GACCCAGAAGCCCAGTACAA 3'	129	1.094	0.931
			5' GACCTGACCAAGTTCGCACA 3'			
TATA	TATA box-binding protein	OR129682	5' ATGAAACTGCGCCGTCCATA 3'	116	1.093	0.999
			5' GATCGTGCGAATTTCCGAGC 3'			
α-ACT	Alpha-actinin	OR129680	5' TTGGAAGGCGCAGAAAAAGC 3'	112	1.046	0.997
			5' CTTCGTGAGCGTCAGCCTTA 3'			
GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate	OR129674	5' TGGCGTTCAGAGTTCCAGTC 3'	184	1.118	0.999
	dehydrogenase		5' CTGTGCGTGTCGCCAATAAA 3'			

pair were calculated by the regression equation (Pfaffl *et al.*, 2004): $E = (10[^{-1/\text{slope}}] - 1) \times 100.$

Stability evaluation of candidate reference genes

The stability of expression levels of nine candidate reference genes was assessed using the following four software tools (or algorithms), including NormFinder, BestKeeper, geNorm, and ReFinder. NormFinder determines the expression stability by considering intra-and inter-group variations and provides a stability value (SV) for each gene. The lower the value, the more stable the gene (Andersen et al., 2004). BestKeeper uses the standard deviations (SD) of the Ct values and PCR efficiency to determine the optimal reference genes. The stable reference genes are always with SD values below 1 (Pfaffl et al., 2004). The geNorm software calculate the pairwise variation of every control gene with all other control genes as the SD of the logarithmically transformed expression ratios, and defined the internal control gene-stability measure M as the average pairwise variation of a particular gene with all other control genes. Gene expression is considered stable when the M value is below 1.5, and the lowest *M* values are produced by genes with the most stable expression. Furthermore, the pairwise variation (V) can be calculated by geNorm. The optimal normalisation reference gene number is determined by V_n/V_{n+1} . The 'n' represents the number of internal reference genes introduced when calculating V_n/V_{n+1} . And 0.15 is the threshold of V_n/V_{n+1} . The value of V_n/V_{n+1} below 0.15 means that the optimal number of reference gene recommended is n. If V_n/V_{n+1} is greater than 0.15, a new internal reference gene needs to be added until $V_n/V_{(n+1)}$ is less than 0.15 (Vandesompele et al., 2002). Due to the different ranking of reference genes analysed by the three algorithms above, the web-based program RefFinder, which is a comprehensive platform integrating the above three algorithms, is needed to provide an overall ranking of the stability of candidate reference genes (Xie et al., 2012).

Validation of recommended reference genes

To verify the reliability of recommended reference genes, an important defence gene Lysozyme (Accession number: OR129683) was selected as a target gene. Lysozyme is an important defence gene in insects responses to pathogenic microorganism infection. Its expression level may vary with developmental stages, temperature stress, especially in pathogenic microorganism infection. So we chose it to detect its transcription levels normalised by different combination of the recommend reference genes in the three different treatments mentioned above. The specific primers for RT-qPCR were also designed by the online tool NCBI, the forward primer: (GATTACGTGGGCCTACTGGG) and the reverse primer: (GCACAATAAACGTCTCCGGC). Relative expression levels of Lysozyme were determined by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). All the treatments were performed with three biological and technical replicates. The one-way ANOVA test was used for data analyses. Expression differences of target genes in different treatments were performed by Student's t-tests using SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., United States).

Results

Amplification efficiency, primer specificity and expressional levels of candidate genes

To ensure the amplification efficiency and specificity of the primers, RT-qPCR amplifications and standard curves of each candidate reference gene were conducted. All products were sequenced and aligned to the target sequence. The amplification efficiencies of all primers were between 91.3% and 108.2%, and the associated R^2 ranged from 0.931~0.999 (Table 1). Furthermore, the melt curve of each gene with one single peak also validated the primer specificities (fig. 1A-I).

Transcriptional profiles of nine candidate reference genes

The Ct values of nine candidate reference genes in different treatment conditions were obtained to compare the transcript abundance. The mean Ct values of different genes varied significantly and ranged from 17.82 to 25.74 in different treatment conditions (fig. 2), which indicated that it was reliable for further evaluation of expression stability.

Stability assessment of the candidate reference genes in developmental stages

BestKeeper, Normfinder, and geNorm are three commonly algorithms used for identification of reference genes. In developmental stages, GAPDH was revealed to be the most stable reference gene based on BestKeeper, followed by EF1a, RPL3, RPS3a, TATA, α-ACT, RPS9, EIF4A, and RPL10. However, NormFinder recommended RPL3 as the best reference gene, $EF1\alpha$ ranked as the second stable gene, and the most unstable reference gene was α -ACT. The top two stable genes evaluated by geNorm were RPL3 and RPS3a, respectively, with α -ACT also identified as the least stable gene (Table 2). Besides, the number of optimal reference genes recommended for normalisation in developmental stages was two based on the $V_2/V_3 < 0.15$ (fig. 3A). Therefore, *RPL3* and *EF1* α were recommended as the most stable reference genes according to the comprehensive analysis of RefFinder combined with the three algorithms above. The stability rank of the remaining genes was in order as RPL3, EF1 α , RPS3a, TATA, GAPDH, RPS9, RPL10, EIF4A, and α -ACT, respectively (fig. 3B).

Evaluation of the optimal reference genes in temperature stress

The stability rankings obtained by BestKeeper and geNorm were almost the same. The two algorithms both recognised *RPL10* and *RPL3* as the top two stable genes. However, the result analysed by NormFinder was different. *RPS3a* and *TATA* were identified as the first and the second stable reference genes, respectively. *EIF4A* was indicated as the most unstable reference gene by the three algorithms (Table 3). Based on the value of V_n/V_{n+1} calculated by geNorm, two optimal reference genes were recommended for gene expression normalisation (fig. 4A). The comprehensive analysis of the three software mentioned above using RefFinder showed that *RPS3a* and *RPL3* were the two suitable reference genes, followed by *RPL10*, *RPS9*, *TATA*, *EF1a*, *GAPDH*, *α*-*ACT* and *EIF4A*, respectively (fig. 4B).

Determination of the suitable reference genes in B. bassiana infection treatment

The gene stability ranking was significantly different by BestKeeper, NormFinder, and geNorm algorithms. Specifically, $EF1\alpha$ was the most stable reference gene based on the analysis of BestKeeper, followed by *RPS9*, *RPL10*, *RPL3*, *RPS3a*, *TATA*, *EIF4A*, α -ACT, and GAPDH. However, NormFinder



Figure 1. Melt curve analysis of nine candidate reference genes.

recommended *RPL10* as the most stable gene, followed by *RPL3*, *RPS3a*, α -ACT, *RPS9*, *EF1a*, *TATA*, *EIF4A*, and *GAPDH*. According to geNorm analysis, the top two stable reference genes identified were *EF1a* and *TATA* respectively, and the most unstable gene was also *GAPDH* (Table 4). Furthermore, geNorm suggested adding two internal reference genes for normalisation (fig. 5A). Finally, *EF1a* and *RPS3a* were identified by RefFinder as suitable reference genes for normalisation of gene expression (fig. 5B).

The stability ranking of candidate reference genes in all samples

For all samples, BestKeeper analysis revealed that *RPL3* was the most stable gene, followed by *RPS9*, *EF1* α , *RPL10*, *RPS3a*, *TATA*, α -*ACT*, *GAPDH*, and *EIF4A*. NormFinder analysis suggested *RPS3a* was the most stable gene, followed by *RPL3*, *EF1* α , *RPS9*, *TATA*, *RPL10*, α -*ACT*, *EIF4A*, and *GAPDH*.



Figure 2. Average Ct values of nine candidate reference genes for all experimental groups in *H. hampei*.

However, geNorm analysis indicated *RPL10* and *RPS9* should be the most stable genes, followed with *RPL3*, *RPS3a*, *EF1a*, *TATA*, α -*ACT*, *EIF4A*, and *GAPDH* (Table 5). Furthermore, according to geNorm analysis, also two reference genes were required for normalisation accurately (fig. 6A). Finally, a comprehensive assessment of RefFinder revealed that *RPL3* and *RPS3a* could be the best combination of reference genes for all samples (fig. 6B).

Validation of reference gene selection

The expression level of one target gene Lysozyme, an innate immune defence factor, was analysed across developmental stages, temperature stress and B. bassiana infection conditions to verify the reliability of reference gene selection. The most stable reference gene (RPL3), the two best reference genes (RPL3 & EF1 α) combination and the most unstable reference gene (α -ACT) were used for normalisation in different developmental stages. The relative expression level of Lysozyme gene normalised by α -ACT in larva, pupa, and adult was significantly different compared to normalised by RPL3 and RPL3 & EF1a combination. Lysozyme exhibited similar expression profiles in all three developmental stages above, whether using RPL3 alone or RPL3 & $EF1\alpha$ combination (fig. 7A). In temperature stress, the best reference gene (RPS3a), the top two stable reference genes combination (RPS3a & RPL3) and the most unstable gene EIF4A were used for gene expression normalisation. For 4°C treatment, The relative expression level of Lysozyme standardised by EIF4A showed significant difference with the results standardised by RPS3a and RPS3a & RPL3 combination. For the 32°C treatment, there was a significant difference in Lysozyme expression levels when standardised by EIF4A compared to the RPS3a & RPL3 combination (fig. 7B). For the B. bassiana infection, the most

		BestKeeper		NormFinder		geNorm	
Gene	Rank	SD	CV	Rank	SV	Rank	М
RPL3	3	0.24	1.30	1	0.24	1	0.19
RPS3a	4	0.37	2.00	4	0.42	1	0.19
RPL10	9	0.62	3.09	8	0.70	3	0.38
RPS9	7	0.52	2.71	5	0.53	2	0.31
EIF4A	8	0.54	2.48	7	0.63	7	0.62
EF1 <i>a</i>	2	0.22	0.90	2	0.24	5	0.51
TATA	5	0.46	1.98	3	0.24	4	0.44
α-ACT	6	0.51	2.34	9	0.78	8	0.68
GAPDH	1	0.19	0.95	6	0.55	6	0.57

Table 2. Expression stability of candidate reference gene in developmental stages analysed by BestKeeper, NomFinder and geNorm.



Figure 3. Comprehensive ranking of expression stability of nine candidate reference genes in developmental stages of *H. hampei*. (a) Optimal number of reference genes for normalisation in developmental stages. (b) The stability of the nine housekeeping genes in developmental stages determined by RefFinder.

stable reference gene (*EF1a*), the best reference gene combination (*EF1a* & *RPS3a*) and the most unstable reference gene (*GAPDH*) were tested. After infection for 24 h, the gene expression profiles of Lysozyme standardised by *GAPDH* exhibited highly significant

difference to those of Lysozyme standardised by $EF1\alpha$ and the $EF1\alpha$ & RPS3a combination. The relative expression level normalised by $EF1\alpha$ was significantly different with *GAPDH* only after infection for 48 h (fig. 7C).

Table 3.	Expression stabilit	y of candidate	reference gene in te	nperature stress ana	vsed b	y BestKeeper	, NomFinder and	geNorn

		BestKeeper		Norm	Finder	geNorm	
Gene	Rank	SD	CV	Rank	SV	Rank	М
RPL3	2	0.20	1.06	5	0.62	1	0.08
RPS3a	5	0.39	2.01	1	0.15	4	0.45
RPL10	1	0.19	0.91	6	0.65	1	0.08
RPS9	3	0.24	1.23	4	0.60	2	0.10
EIF4A	9	1.88	4.96	9	1.33	8	0.88
EF1 <i>a</i>	6	0.46	1.84	3	0.41	6	0.64
ТАТА	7	0.54	2.18	2	0.25	5	0.58
α-ACT	8	0.86	3.77	7	0.81	7	0.74
GAPDH	4	0.32	1.60	8	0.88	3	0.23



Figure 4. Comprehensive ranking of expression stability of nine candidate reference genes in *H. hampei* exposed to temperature stress. (a) Optimal number of reference genes for normalisation in temperature stress. (b) The stability of the nine housekeeping genes in temperature stress determined by RefFinder.

Table 4. Expression stability of candidate reference gene in B. bassiana infection analysed by BestKeeper, NomFinder and geNorm

		BestKeeper		NormFinder		geNorm	
Gene	Rank	SD	CV	Rank	SV	Rank	М
RPL3	4	0.39	2.02	2	0.19	4	0.48
RPS3a	5	0.44	2.34	3	0.22	1	0.30
RPL10	3	0.39	1.88	1	0.18	6	0.61
RPS9	2	0.39	2.02	5	0.50	7	0.68
EIF4A	7	0.63	2.80	8	0.90	3	0.39
EF1α	1	0.38	1.57	6	0.59	1	0.30
ТАТА	6	0.57	2.36	7	0.73	2	0.32
α-ACT	8	0.71	3.30	4	0.35	5	0.54
GAPDH	9	1.69	8.56	9	2.32	8	1.08

Discussion

RT-qPCR is a widely used molecular technique for the analyses of target gene transcript levels in different experimental treatments. The stably expressed reference genes play an important role in normalising the expression level of a target gene. However, the stability of reference genes is usually affected by the experimental conditions, leading to inaccurate results. Therefore, the selection of reference genes is an essential step for molecular biology research in a specific organism under a specific experimental condition using RT-qPCR.



Figure 5. Comprehensive ranking of expression stability of nine candidate reference genes in *H. hampei* infected with *B. bassiana*. (a) Optimal number of reference genes for normalisation in *B. bassiana* infection. (b) The stability of the nine housekeeping genes in *B. bassiana* infection determined by RefFinder.

		BestKeeper		NormFinder		geNorm	
Gene	Rank	SD	CV	Rank	SV	Rank	М
RPL3	1	0.35	1.83	2	0.36	2	0.35
RPS3a	5	0.5	2.64	1	0.27	3	0.45
RPL10	4	0.47	2.30	6	0.51	1	0.20
RPS9	2	0.45	2.33	4	0.49	1	0.20
EIF4A	9	0.93	4.08	8	1.00	7	0.75
EF1 α	3	0.46	1.88	3	0.38	4	0.54
ТАТА	6	0.64	2.68	5	0.49	5	0.58
α-ACT	7	0.74	3.34	7	0.70	6	0.67
GAPDH	8	0.76	3.88	9	1.41	8	0.93

Table 5. Expression stability of candidate reference gene in all samples analysed by BestKeeper, NomFinder and geNorm



Figure 6. Comprehensive ranking of expression stability of nine candidate reference genes in all samples of CBB. (a) Optimal number of reference genes for normalisation in all samples. (b) The stability of the nine housekeeping genes in all samples determined by RefFinder.

Although CBB is the most destructive pest of the coffee plant, the molecular biology research of this species is still in its infancy. The construction of the CBB genome draft and analysis of transposable elements provided enough gene information for molecular physiology study (Vega *et al.*, 2015; Hernandez-Hernandez *et al.*, 2017). This study was the first to evaluate the expression stability of nine candidate reference genes under different conditions of developmental stages, temperature stress, and *B. bassiana* infection using BestKeeper, NormFinder, geNorm and RefFinder software. In terms of the results, the rankings of gene stability obtained by BestKeeper, NormFinder and geNorm algorithms were not exactly the same under the same condition. For example, *GAPDH* was recommended as the most stable reference gene by BestKeeper in developmental stages. However, NormFinder



Figure 7. Validation of reference genes using Lysozyme gene. The relative expression levels of a target gene Lysozyme were normalised by the recommended stable reference genes and unstable gene in development stages (a), temperature stress (b) and *B. bassiana* infection (c). Asterisk indicated that Lysozyme relative expression normalised by one reference gene or combination of two references was significant differences among different treatment. One asterisk means *P* < 0.05 analysed by Duncan's test, and two asterisks means *P* < 0.01 analysed by Duncan's test.

identified it was not. Under temperature stress, the top two stable genes based on BestKeeper and geNorm are the gene ranking were totally the same, namely *RPL10* and *PRL3*. However, NormFinder indicated *RPS3a* and *TATA* as the most two stable genes. The situation in *B. bassiana* infection treatment was almost the same. The most stable genes recommended by the BestKeeper, NormFinder and geNorm were totally different. Therefore, to ensure the result reliability, the final determined results required an integrated evaluation of the three algorithms above using the online analysis software RefFinder, which could help to obtain the optimal reference genes.

The recommended reference genes for CBB were significantly different from species of the same family (Curculionidae) under the same treatment conditions. Specifically, Sympiezomias velatus (Li et al., 2018) and Anthonomus eugenii Cano (Pinheiro and Siegfried, 2020), demonstrating that reference genes cannot be extrapolated across closely related species. In this study, the traditional reference gene, such as α -ACT and GAPDH, were not predicted as the most stable reference genes. Instead, they were both considered as the most unstable genes. Based on our results, the most stable reference genes were mainly RPL3, RPS3a, and RPL10, which all belong to ribosomal protein widely distributed and high conserved in eukaryotes (Deiorio-Haggar et al., 2013). Recently, numerous studies have indicated that ribosomal protein can be used as reference genes. For example, RPL32 and RPS15 were the most stable reference genes for developmental stages in A. suturalis (Luo et al., 2018), RPS27a and RPL10a for insecticide stress in X. quadripes (Meng et al., 2022), RPS20 for different temperatures in Diaphorina citri (Bin et al., 2019), RPS3a for different sexes in Diaphania caesalis (Wang et al., 2020), RPL13A and RPS3A for developmental stages and tissues in H. theivora (Wang et al., 2019), RPS18 and RPL13 for Lipaphis erysimi (Koramutla et al., 2016). This study supports the use of ribosomal protein genes as reference genes. Another gene TATA was unstable in all the treatment based on our result. But it was recommended as a suitable reference gene for efficient normalisation among treatments of host-plant resistance experiments, tissues, and developmental stages of Aphis glycines (Bansal et al., 2012). Therefore, due to their stability vary within different organisms or under different conditions, this is also why we need to evaluate their stability in CBB.

In summary, the expression stability of nine candidate reference genes of CBB was comprehensively assessed by four common programs. The final results determined that the combination of *RPL3* and *EF1a* were recommended as the most stable reference genes for developmental stages, the *RPS3a* and *RPL3* combination were confirmed as the optimal reference genes for temperature stress and all samples, the *EF1a* and *RPS3a* combination were determined the ideal reference genes for *B. bassiana* infection. Our results should be beneficial for analysing the expression profiles of target genes in the future, especially for molecular studies on insect genetic development, temperature adaptability and immune responses to entomogenous fungi in *H. hampei*.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by grants from National Key R & D Program of China (No. 2020YFD1001202), Belt & Road Program, CAST (Grant No. 2020ZZGJB072046) and The First Batch of Key Discipline on Public Health in Chongqing.

References

Andersen CL, Jensen JL and Ørntoft TF (2004) Normalization of real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. *Cancer Research* 64, 5245–5250.

- Aristizábal LF, Olga L and Arthurs SP (2012) Implementing an integrated pest management program for coffee berry borer in a specialty coffee plantation in Colombia. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management* 3, G1–G5.
- Azrag A and Babin R (2023) Integrating temperature-dependent development and reproduction models for predicting population growth of the coffee berry borer, *Hypothenemus hampei* Ferrari. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 113, 79–85.
- Azrag A, Yusuf A, Pirk C, Niassy S, Mbugua K and Babin R (2020) Temperature-dependent development and survival of immature stages of the coffee berry borer *Hypothenemus hampei*(Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 110, 207–218.
- Baker PS (1999) The coffee berry borer in Colombia. Final report of the DIFI-Cenicafé-CABI Bioscience IPM for coffee project. Chinchiná, Colombia.
- Bansal R, Mamidala P, Rouf Mian MA, Mittapalli O and MICHEL AP (2012) Validation of reference genes for gene expression studies in Aphis glycines (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Entomological Society of America* 105, 1432–1438.
- Barrera JF, Moore D, Abraham YJ, Murphy ST and Prior C (1990) Biological control of the coffee berry borer, Hypothenemus hampei, in Mexico and possibilities for further action. In *Brighton Crop Protection Conference, Pests and Diseases*, Vol. 1, pp. 391–396.
- Bin SY, Pu XH, Shu B, Luo S, Kang C, Tang Y, Wu Z and Lin J (2019) Selection of reference genes for optimal normalization of quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction results for *Diaphorina citri* adults. *Journal* of Economic Entomology 112, 355–363.
- Bustin SA (2010) Developments in real-time PCR research and molecular diagnostics. Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics 10, 713–715.
- Carmenza EG, Tapias J, Jaramillo J, Medina R, Gonzalez S, Casanova H, Ortiz A and Benavides P (2020) Evaluation of terpene-volatile compounds repellent to the coffee berry borer, *Hypothenemus hampei* (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 46, 881–890.
- Chiu-Alvarado P and Rojas JC (2008) Host location behaviour by two Cephalonomia spp. (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae) wasps associated with the coffee berry borer Hypothenemus hampei (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). International Journal of Tropical Insect Science 28, 179–184.
- Cruz-López L, Díaz-Díaz B and Rojas JC (2016) Coffee volatiles induced after mechanical injury and beetle herbivory attract the coffee berry borer and two of its parasitoids. *Arthropod-Plant Interact* 10, 151–159.
- Cruz Roblero, E.N. and Malo, E.A. (2013) Chemical analysis of coffee berry volatiles that elicit an antennal response from the coffee berry borer *Hypothenemus hampei. Journal of the Mexican Chemical Society* 57, 321–327.
- Damon A (2000) A review of the biology and control of the coffee berry borer, Hypothenemus hampei (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 90, 453–465.
- Deiorio-Haggar K, Anthony J and Meyer MM (2013) RNA structures regulating ribosomal protein biosynthesis in bacilli. RNA Biology 10, 1180–1184.
- Duque-O H and Baker PS (2003) Devouring Profit; the Socio-Economics of Coffee Berry Borer IPM, Chinchiná, Colombia. Colombia: The Commodities Press, CABI, Cenicafé.
- Espinoza JC, Infante F, Castillo A, Pérez J, Nieto G, Pinson EP and Vega FE (2009) The biology of *Phymastichus coffea* LaSalle (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) under feld conditions. *Biological Control* **49**, 227–233.
- Heid CA, Stevens J, Livak KJ and Williams PM (1996) Real time quantitative PCR. *Genome Research* **6**, 986–994.
- Hernandez-Hernandez EM, Fernández-Medina RD, Navarro-Escalante L, Nuňez J, Benavides-Machado P and Carareto CMA (2017) Genome-wide analysis of transposable elements in the coffee berry borer Hypothenemus hampei (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): description of novel families. Molecular Genetics & Genomics Mgg 292, 565–583.
- Hu Y, Fu H, Hui Q, Sun S, Zhang W, Jin S, Jiang S, Gong Y, Xiong Y and Wu Y (2018) Validation and evaluation of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in *Macrobrachium nipponense*. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19, 2258–2273.
- Infante F (2018) Pest management strategies against the coffee berry borer (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **66**, 5275–5280.

- Infante F, Valdéz J, Penagos DI and Barrera JF (1994) Description of the life stages of *Cephalonomia stephanoderis* (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae), a parasitoid of *Hypothenemus hampei* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Vedalia* 1, 13–18.
- Infante F, Pérez J and Vega FE (2012) Farming: redirect research to control coffee pest. *Nature* **489**, 502.
- Jaramillo J, Torto B, Mwenda D, Troeger A, Borgemeiste C, Poehlingr HM and Francke W (2013) Coffee berry borer joins bark beetles in coffee klatch. *PLoS One* 8, e74277.
- Koramutla MK, Aminedi R and Bhattacharya R (2016) Comprehensive evaluation of candidate reference genes for qRT-PCR studies of gene expression in mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt). *Scientific Reports* **6**, 25883.
- Lee PD, Sladek R, Greenwood CM and Hudson TJ (2002) Control genes and variability: absence of ubiquitous reference transcripts in diverse mammalian expression studies. *Genome Research* 12, 292–297.
- Le Pelley R.H. (1973) Coffee insects. Annual Review of Entomology 18, 121–142.
- Li X, Li JW, Cheng B, Li W, Sun WX, Gao HY, Ju Q, JIiang XJ, Du L, Qu CJ and Qu MJ (2018) Screening of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in sympiezomias velatus (coleoptera: curculionidae). *Acta Entomologica Sinica* **61**, 1284–1249.
- Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods (San Diego, Calif.)* 25, 402–408.
- Luo J, Ma C, Li Z, Zhu BQ, Zhang J, Lei CL, Jin SX, Joe JH and Chen LZ (2018) Assessment of suitable reference genes for qRT-PCR analysis in *Adelphocoris suturalis. Journal of Integrative Agriculture* **17**, 2745–2757.
- Mafra-Neto A, Ponce JI, Urrutia WH, Bernardi CR and Silva ROD (2018) Compositions and methods for repelling coffee berry borer. U.S. Patent No. 9,877,477.
- Meng Q, Shu B, Sun S, Wang Y, Yang M, Zhu E, Liu A, Gao S, Gou Y and Wang Z (2022) Selection of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR normalization in the coffee white stem borer, *Xylotrechus quadripes* Chevrolat (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 112, 151–161.
- Pereira AE, Vilela EF, Tinoco RS, de Lim JOG and Frana CFM (2012) Correlation between numbers captured and infestation levels of the coffee berry-borer, *Hypothenemus hampei*: a preliminary basis for an action threshold using baited traps. *International Journal of Pest Management* 58, 183–190.
- Pfaffl MW, Tichopad A, Prgomet C and Neuvians TP (2004) Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: BestKeeper-Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. *Biotechnology Letters* 26, 509–515.

- Pinheiro DH and Siegfried BD (2020) Selection of reference genes for normalization of RT-qPCR data in gene expression studies in *Anthonomus eugenii* Cano (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Scientific Reports* 10, 5070.
- Renault D, Laparie M, McCauley SJ and Bonte D (2018) Environmental adaptations, ecological filtering, and dispersal central to insect invasions. *Annual Review of Entomology* **63**, 345–368.
- Sinaga M and Tobing MC (2020) Comparison of attractants and height traps to coffee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei* Ferr. Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in Soban Village, Dairi Regency, North Sumatra, Indonesia. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 1485, pp. 012035
- Sun S, Wang Z, Liu A, Lai C, Wang J, Meng Q, Gou Y, Johnson AJ and Li Y (2020) First report of the coffee berry borer, *Hypothenemus Hampei* (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) on Hainan Island, China. *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 74, 710–713.
- Umeda C and Paine T (2019) Temperature can limit the invasion range of the ambrosia beetle *Euwallacea nr. fornicatus*. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 21, 1–7.
- Vandesompele J, Preter KD, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Roy NV, Paepe AD and Speleman F (2002) Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative rt-pcr data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome biology* 3, 1–12.
- Vega FE, Infante F, Castillo A and Jaramillo J (2009) The coffee berry borer, *Hypothenemus hampei* (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): a short review, with recent findings and future research directions. *Terrestrial Arthropod Review* 2, 129–147.
- Vega FE, Brown SM, Chen H, Shen E, Nair MB, Ceja-Navarro JA, Brodie EL, Infante F, Dowd PF and Pain A (2015) Draft genome of the most devastating insect pest of coffee worldwide: the coffee berry borer, *Hypothenemus hampei. Scientific Reports* 5, 12525.
- Vega FE, Simpkins A, Miranda J, Harnly JM, Infante F, Castillo A, Wakarchuk D and Cossé A (2017) A potential repellent against the coffee berry borer (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). Journal of Insect Science 17, 122.
- Wallner WE (1987) Factors affecting insect population dynamics: differences between outbreak and non-outbreak species. *Annual Review of Entomology* 32, 317–340.
- Wang Z, Meng QQ, Zhu X, Sun SW and Liu AQ (2019) Evaluation and validation of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in *Helopeltis theivora* waterhouse (Hemiptera: Miridae). *Scientific Reports* 9, 13291.
- Wang Z, Meng QQ, Zhu X, Sun SW, Liu AQ and Gou YF (2020) Identification and evaluation of reference genes for normalization of gene expression in developmental stages, sexes, and tissues of *Diaphania caesalis* (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae). *Journal of Insect Science* 20, 1–9.
- Xie F, Xiao P, Chen D, Xu L and Zhang B (2012) miRDeepFinder: a miRNA analysis tool for deep sequencing of plant small RNAs. *Plant Molecular Biology* 80, 75–84.