Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

Marine Record

Cite this article: Hwang C-H, Lee SJ, Seok HJ, Kim H-J, Hwang InS, Kang MG, Park JM (2024). The distribution of the red-throated ascidian *Herdmania momus* shifts northwards in association with ocean warming in the Korean Peninsula. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **104**, e44, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0025315424000365

Received: 31 October 2023 Revised: 21 February 2024 Accepted: 11 March 2024

Keywords:

ascidian; habitat extension; Herdmania momus; ocean warming; warm-water species

Corresponding author: Joo Myun Park; Email: joomyun.park@kiost.ac.kr

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom



The distribution of the red-throated ascidian *Herdmania momus* shifts northwards in association with ocean warming in the Korean Peninsula

Choul-Hee Hwang¹, Su Jin Lee¹, Hyeong Ju Seok¹, Hyun-Jung Kim¹, InSeo Hwang², Min Gu Kang³ and Joo Myun Park³ (D

¹Marine Eco-Technology Institute, Busan 48520, Republic of Korea; ²Marine Ecosystem Management Department, Korea Marine Environment Management Corporation, Busan 49111, Republic of Korea and ³Dokdo Research Center, East Sea Research Institute, Korea Institute of Ocean Science & Technology, Uljin 36315, Republic of Korea

Abstract

Climate change poses a major threat to marine ecosystems, with its effects felt worldwide. A major effect of climate change on marine ecosystems is the rise in water temperature, leading to a northward expansion of habitats for marine organisms. *Herdmania momus*, a species of ascidians (sea squirts), originally found in tropical and subtropical regions, was introduced to the Korean Peninsula. In this study, we examined the habitat of *H. momus* along the southeastern coast of the Korean Peninsula between 2016 and 2022. We found that *H. momus* settlements were observed across the entire survey area, with confirmed habitation in Busan in 2016, Ulsan in 2021, and Gyeongju (the northernmost location) in 2022. The observed habitation trend indicates a rapid geographical expansion, occurring approximately 79 years earlier than previously predicted. These observations demonstrate that marine organisms are undergoing a more rapid geographical expansion than previously projected. These unexpected findings should inform government policies related to proactive measures and strategies for managing the impact of climate change on marine ecosystems.

Introduction

Marine biodiversity in temperate seas faces several threats, including climate change and invasive species (Halpern *et al.*, 2008). The increasing global temperatures associated with climate change have led to notable shifts in the distribution ranges of marine species, often pushing them towards higher latitudes (Gervais *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, anthropogenic changes in marine ecosystems can introduce invasive species, resulting in changes in species composition and thresholds in ecosystems such as intertidal rocky shores, temperate and tropical reefs, estuaries, and pelagic systems (Bulleri *et al.*, 2020). Such transformations in marine ecosystems can result in complex adverse interactions (Council of Europe, 2008).

Unlike terrestrial organisms, marine species tend to exhibit more pronounced geographic expansion in response to climate change. Planktonic larvae, in particular, can readily spread to new environments because of their transportability within turbulent boundary layers (Eckman, 1990; Pinsky *et al.*, 2020). Pinsky *et al.* (2020) reported two major trends in the geographical distribution of warm-water marine organisms in the Northern Hemisphere: (1) a northward expansion in their range and (2) a shift into deeper waters. Numerous warm-water organisms have extended their geographical habitats from lower to higher latitudes (Rubenstein *et al.*, 2023). For example, the Korean Peninsula has witnessed the northward movement of species such as perforated barnacles (*Perforatus perforatus*) and Korean top shells (*Turbo sazae*) due to the recent increase in seawater temperature along the East Sea (Kim *et al.*, 2020; Son *et al.*, 2020).

The precise prediction of ecological responses, including alterations in habitat range due to climate change, has become a pressing challenge (Bennett *et al.*, 2019). Geographical information system (GIS) technology combined with species distribution modelling has shown that the rate of invasive species entering and spreading into new habitats has been accelerating globally (Maravelias and Reid, 1997; Tittensor *et al.*, 2009; Capinha *et al.*, 2012; Tyberghein *et al.*, 2012; Assis *et al.*, 2018; Park *et al.*, 2020). The 5th Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2014) provided long-term climate change projections, termed representative concentration pathways (RCPs), defined by different carbon dioxide emission assumptions. In IPCC RCP4.5 scenario, technological developments enable substantial stabilisation of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations and a consequent deceleration of seawater warming. Conversely, the IPCC's RCP8.5 depicts unmitigated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration increases and sharp temperature rises. Multiple studies have explored

marine species distribution based on these IPCC RCP scenarios (e.g. Jones and Cheung, 2015; Allyn *et al.*, 2020; Fabri-Ruiz *et al.*, 2020).

The red-throated ascidian Herdmania momus is an invasive, solitary sea squirt species (Class: Ascidiacea) that is globally distributed in tropical and subtropical marine habitats (Palomares and Pauly, 2023). Recent GIS-based species distribution analysis has predicted range shifts for warm-water species along the Korean Peninsula (Park et al., 2020). The results showed that H. momus, which was first observed along the coast of Jeju Island in 1969 (Rho, 1971), has spread throughout Jeju and reached parts of Busan. According to Park et al. (2020) and the RCP8.5 scenario, the habitat range of H. momus is projected to expand from Busan to Ulsan by 2100. Due to its short lifespan (between 10 and 12 months) and rapid growth, H. momus can readily extend its habitat range in response to environmental changes (Yi and Kim, 2016; Park et al., 2020). However, it may face spatial competition with perennial seaweeds (e.g. Sargassum spp. and Ecklonia cava) and/or corals (e.g. scleractinian corals and Alveopora japonica) in its introduced habitat.

The aim of this study was to examine the extent of northward expansion of the *H. momus* habitat in line with Park *et al.*'s (2020) predictions based on IPCC RCP scenarios. *H. momus* habitat was assessed via qualitative scuba diving surveys conducted at nine stations in Busan, Ulsan, and Gyeongju between 2016 and 2022.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study area is located along the coastal region from Busan to Gyeongju, Korea (Figure 1), including the northernmost points where H. momus habitat has been confirmed or predicted previously by Park et al. (2020). Observations were made at nine rocky subtidal stations within the geographically interconnected Busan-Ulsan-Gyeongju area, including three stations in Busan (BS-1, BS-2, and BS-3), four stations in Ulsan (US-1, US-2, US-3, and US-4), and two stations in Gyeongju (GJ-1 and GJ-2) (Figure 1). Three stations, BS-1, BS-3, and GJ-2, were among the National Marine Ecosystem Monitoring Program (rocky ecosystem) Project (NMMP) study sites. The remaining six stations were selected arbitrarily while considering the requirement for maintaining a consistent distance among study stations for this research (Table 1). The water depths of each study site were between 5.0 and 39.0 m, with US-2 and US-3 being shallower compared to the other stations, while GJ-1 and GJ-2 were deeper (Table 1).

Field survey

To document the distribution of *H. momus* and co-occurring ascidians, a field survey was conducted by underwater scuba



Figure 1. Locations of study sites (nine stations) for observing the presence of solitary ascidians via scuba observations from 2016 to 2022. Black symbols indicate three NMMP stations and open symbols are additionally selected stations.

Table 1. Characteristics	of	the	study	sites
--------------------------	----	-----	-------	-------

Location	Station	Latitude	Longitude	Water depth (m)	
Busan	BS-1	35°03′41.5″N	129°01′13.6″E	13.5–14.8	
	BS-2	35°10′09.2″N	129°13′02.8″E	19.0-26.5	
	BS-3	35°17′56.5″N	129°15′23.1″E	20.0-30.0	
Ulsan	US-1	35°23′03.3″N	129°20′46.4″E	5.0-28.0	
	US-2	35°28′49.3″N	129°22′00.4″E	5.5-11.0	
	US-3	35°30′16.8″N	129°25′01.2″E	8.5-12.0	
	US-4	35°36′23.3″N	129°27′35.3″E	23.5–39.0	
Gyeongju	GJ-1	35°42′36.5″N	129°28′09.9″E	18.0-32.0	
	GJ-2	35°48′43.1″N	129°30′33.1″E	11.0-33.0	

diving at the nine study stations in rocky subtidal zones to water depths of 1-20 m. In accordance with the NMMP framework, quantitative samples were collected according to the Protocol of National Survey on Marine Ecosystems (MOF and KOEM, 2022). Photographs were taken to identify solitary ascidians during the survey. As the present study focused on the latitudinal trends of solitary ascidians, the presence or absence of *H. momus* was identified by analysing high-resolution underwater images in a laboratory. At all stations, two professional divers moved approximately 20 m in a zigzag pattern while remaining at a distance of 2–5 m from each other. They photographed a number of the individual images encountered during their movement, which lasted approximately 30 min overall.

Field surveys were conducted between 2016 and 2022, except in 2019 when the sites were not surveyed. The three NMMP stations were examined twice a year (May and August), whereas observations at the other six stations were conducted once a year (between May and June).

We collected surface water temperature data from Marine Environment Monitoring stations operated by the Korea Marine Environment Management Corporation to understand the factors affecting the spatial distributions of ascidians (https://www.meis. go.kr/portal/; accessed 10 February 2024).

Species identification and historical distribution

Solitary ascidians photographed from field surveys were identified to the species level based on various taxonomic references (e.g. Bae *et al.*, 2022; Shenkar *et al.*, 2023). Species identifications for each ascidian species were conducted by two or more researchers and then double-checked from expert ascidian taxonomists in Korea. The occurrence of each ascidian species as well as their geographical location and time were also recorded. The species identified by image analysis were initially annotated according to their Korean names based on the National List of Marine Species II: Marine Invertebrates (MABIK, 2022; MOF and KOEM, 2022), and their scientific names were confirmed in accordance with the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS, 2023).

For *H. momus*, the current observations recorded on the southeastern coast of Korea were compared with previous global records from the biological databases of the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, 2023).

Results

Sea surface water temperatures (SSTs) gradually decreased from southern to northern stations, but SSTs tended to increase over time across the entire study area (Figure 2). In May, SSTs remained below 16°C at all stations except for the southernmost station BS-1, but there was a notable increase in SSTs at middle latitude station BS-3 beginning in 2018, followed by a widespread increase in SSTs at most of the stations between 2021 and 2022. While in August, SSTs remained consistently above 23°C at three stations located in Busan coast (BS-1, BS-2, and BS-3); significant increases in SSTs were observed at all stations in Ulsan and Gyeongju during 2017, 2018, and 2021.

Seven solitary-type ascidian species were identified across all nine stations, with *Styela clava* being the most frequently observed and *Pyura sacciformis*, the least common. The stations with the highest diversity of solitary ascidians were BS-3 and US-4, where six species were observed. The remaining stations showed the presence of between four and five ascidian species. In 2020, five of the seven species, with the exception of *Boltenia echinata* and *P. sacciformis*, were consistently observed at the southernmost station (i.e. BS-1) since 2016. The most common *S. clava* occurred



Figure 2. Heat map showing SSTs at nine study stations over 6 years between 2016 and 2022 on the southeastern coast of the Korean Peninsula.

at Busan and Ulsan stations during the study period, but not at the Gyeongju station.

The presence of *H. momus* was confirmed at five stations (BS-1, BS-3, US-3, US-4, and GJ-2). Notably, *H. momus* was observed only at the southernmost station, BS-1, from 2016 to 2020. However, their presence was observed for the first time at more northern stations, US-3 and US-4, in 2021, and their habitats expanded to GJ-2, the northernmost station within the study area by the last year of the survey (Table 2).

Discussion

In the present study, seven solitary-type ascidian species were observed in nine study locations from 2016 and 2022. Most of the ascidians were subtropical or tropical species except for B. echinata and Halocynthia roretzi (Palomares and Pauly, 2023). Their lifespans were largely different from 1 to 4 years depending on the species, showing shorter lifespans in tropical species including Ciona savignyi, H. momus, and Styela plicata (Svane and Lundälv, 1982; Nomaguchi et al., 1997; Lambert and Lambert, 1998; de Barros et al., 2009; Yi and Kim, 2016). Generally, short-lived species take advantage of new opportunities to settle and colonise quickly in new marine environments by maximising population growth through high fecundity, rapid development, and a large investment in reproduction (Heppell et al., 2005). Among ascidians recorded in this study, tropical species, including H. momus, have relatively shorter longevity, and thus, they may have an advantageous edge for inhabiting newly settled temperate reef habitats (Figley, 2003).

The main finding of the present study was the poleward extension of *H. momus*' habitat from the southernmost $(35^{\circ}03'41.5''N)$ to the northernmost $(35^{\circ}48'43.1''N)$ stations within our study area between 2016 and 2022. This finding indicates that by the end of the study period, the entire Busan–Ulsan–Gyeongju region had become part of the habitat range of *H. momus*. The rising seawater temperatures caused by global climate change have had a direct effect on the recruitment and habitat expansion of invasive species along the Korean Peninsula (Raitsos *et al.*, 2010; Son

 Table 2. Summary of Ascidiacea observation data from 2016 to 2022 by station

et al., 2020; Hong *et al.*, 2022). These invasive species are expanding into other habitats through various routes, and their recruitment is progressing faster than predicted (Lee *et al.*, 2008; Capinha *et al.*, 2012).

H. momus is a typical tropical and subtropical species found primarily in the Indo-West Pacific Ocean, Atlantic Ocean, and Mediterranean Sea (Palomares and Pauly, 2023). According to the GBIF database (Figure 3), the northernmost recorded distribution of *H. momus* in the West Pacific was at 35°03′30″N in 2015, along the Busan coast of Korea (GBIF, 2023).

Except for this record, the northern distribution limit of the species is approximately 35°N or lower latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere, whereas the southern distribution limit reaches approximately 43°S (GBIF, 2023). Therefore, our study presents a new northernmost record of *H. momus*, implying *ca.* 50′ poleward expansion in species distribution since the mid-2010s.

The use of GIS analysis coupled with species distribution modelling has significantly improved our ability to observe and predict the climate change-associated expansion of various taxa (Guinotte et al., 2006; Januario et al., 2015; Assis et al., 2018). Our present findings build upon previous observations of the northward expansion of the H. momus habitat range (Park et al., 2020). According to the IPCC (2014) RCP4.5 scenario, which involves some mitigation of carbon dioxide concentrations, the habitat range of *H. momus* is projected to expand from the already established coastal habitats on Jeju Island to certain areas of the southern Korean Sea by 2100. In contrast, the worst-case RCP8.5 scenario predicts that the distributional expansion of H. momus will encompass the eastern part of the southeastern coast of the Korean Peninsula, including our study area. Park et al. (2020) deduced that the most influential environmental factor affecting H. momus expansion was the maximum seawater temperature, which accounted for 77.1% of the expansion observed.

Since 2016, the habitat range of *H. momus* observed by Park *et al.* (2020) has primarily included Jeju Island and some areas on the Busan coast. The observations we present in this study extend far beyond the habitat range of the RCP4.5 scenario, which predicted the habitat range of *H. momus* to remain

	Stations								
	Busan			Ulsan			Gyeongju		
Year	BS-1	BS-2	BS-3	US-1	US-2	US-3	US-4	GJ-1	GJ-2
2016	C , F	A	A, F	D	A, F	G	B, D, G	В	D
2017	c	D, E	B, F	B, F, G	B, F	F	D	D	
2018	В, С		F		B, F	B, F	D, G	А, В	G
2020	B, C , D	G	D, G	B, F		G	А	E	A, B, D
2021	-	F	-	D	E	C , F	C , F, G	B, D	-
2022		D, G	c	F	G				В, С
Number of species	4	5	6	4	5	4	6	4	5
A: B. echinata, B: C. savignyi, C: H. momus, D: H. roretzi, E: P. sacciformis, F: S. clava, G: S. plicata									
							and all		
А	В	С	D	E		F		G	

Grey shadings indicate the presence of H. momus.

Note: The labels A-G correspond to arbitrarily assigned alphabetical designations used by the authors for species classification purposes. A blank space indicates that there no observed occurrences, while '-' indicates that no examination of the site.



Figure 3. Distributional records of *H. momus*, showing historical records (red circles) from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, 2023) and the new record (blue square) off southeastern coast, South Korea.

relatively stable throughout 2100 (Park *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, our observations of *H. momus* in the waters off Ulsan in 2021 indicate that the species has spread more rapidly than was predicted in the RCP8.5 scenario of unmitigated climate change, wherein it was anticipated to expand from Busan to Ulsan by 2100 (Park *et al.*, 2020). This accelerated northward expansion of *H. momus* occurrences, beyond previous predictions, is likely due to the recent sharp increase in water temperatures in the East Sea (Lee and Park, 2019). Compared with global trends, sea surface temperatures around the Korean Peninsula, as well as the eastern coast of North America, are rising relatively quickly (Fasullo and Gent, 2017; Widlansky *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, continuous monitoring is required to better predict the habitat expansion of warm-water species and assess the impact of subtropical marine species on temperate marine ecosystems (Batten *et al.*, 2006).

In conclusion, our study's findings suggest that the poleward habitat expansion of subtropical marine species entering the Korean Peninsula due to climate change outpaces previous predictions, at least in the case of *H. momus.* Although the IPCC's RCP scenarios are broadly used to predict future changes in marine ecosystems, it is difficult to apply them to specific regions experiencing rapid localised temperature increases, such as the Korean Peninsula. These scenarios are based on only four representative pathways and consider global socioeconomic, political, and policy factors, which limits their accuracy in predicting local marine ecosystem changes. Effectively addressing marine ecosystem changes in the Korean Peninsula will require the development of governmental policies that incorporate proactive measures and strategies to mitigate eventualities that exceed even the most recent predictions.

Data availability statement. Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank all the individuals who contributed to the progress of this research.

Author's contribution. Choul-Hee Hwang: conceptualisation, data curation, methodology, formal analysis, and writing of the original draft. Su Jin Lee, Hyeong Ju Seok, and Hyun-Jung Kim: methodology and formal analysis. InSeo Hwang: conceptualisation, data curation, and supervision. Min Gu Kang: methodology, visualisation. Joo Myun Park: methodology, software, visualisation, writing – review, and editing.

Financial support. This research was part of the 'National Marine Ecosystem Monitoring Program' project, funded by the Ministry of Oceans and Fisheries of Korea, and managed by the Korea Marine Environment Management Corporation. This study was also supported by the Korea Institute of Ocean Science and Technology (grant number: PEA0205).

Competing interests. None.

Ethical standards. Because this study conducted a field survey using nondisturbing scuba observations, an ethical review by the Statement Animal Experiment Committee was not required.

References

- Allyn AJ, Alexander MA, Franklin BS, Massiot-Granier F, Pershing AJ, Scott JD and Mills KE (2020) Comparing and synthesizing quantitative distribution models and qualitative vulnerability assessments to project marine species distributions under climate change. *PLoS ONE* **15**, e0231595.
- Assis J, Tyberghein L, Bosch S, Verbruggen H, Serrão EA and De Clerck O (2018) Bio-ORACLE v2.0: extending marine data layers for bioclimatic modelling. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 27, 277–284.
- Bae S, Lee SH, Kim JM and Yi CH (2022) First record of the invasive ascidian *Microcosmus squamiger* Michaelsen, 1927 (Ascidiacea: Pyuridae) in Jeju Island, South Korea. *BioInvasions Record* 11, 937–946.
- Batten SD, Hyrenbach KD, Sydeman WJ, Morgan KH, Henry MF, Yen PP and Welch DW (2006) Characterising meso-marine ecosystems of the North Pacific. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 53, 270–290.
- Bennett S, Duarte CM, Marbà N and Wernberg T (2019) Integrating withinspecies variation in thermal physiology into climate change ecology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **374**, 20180550.
- Bulleri F, Batten S, Connell SD, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Gibbons M, Nugues MM and Gribben P (2020) Human pressures and the emergence of novel marine ecosystems. In Hawkins SJ, Allcock AL, Bates AE, Firth L.B, Smith IP, Swearer S, Evans A, Todd P, Russell B, McQuaid C (eds), Oceanography and Marine Biology. London: CRC Press, pp. 456–535.
- Capinha C, Anastacio P and Tenedorio JA (2012) Predicting the impact of climate change on the invasive decapods of the Iberian inland waters: an assessment of reliability. *Biological Invasions* 14, 1737–1751.

- **Council of Europe** (2008) Convention on the conservation of European wildlife and natural habitats. 2nd meeting of the group of experts on biodiversity and climate change. Strasbourg, 27 November 2008, 53 pp.
- de Barros RC, da Rocha RM and Pie MR (2009) Human-mediated global dispersion of *Styela plicata* (Tunicata, Ascidiacea). *Aquatic Invasions* 4, 45–57.

Eckman JE (1990) A model of passive settlement by planktonic larvae onto bottoms of differing roughness. *Limnology and Oceanography* 35, 887–901.

- Fabri-Ruiz S, Danis B, Navarro N, Koubbi P, Laffont R and Saucède T (2020) Benthic ecoregionalization based on echinoid fauna of the Southern Ocean supports current proposals of Antarctic Marine Protected Areas under IPCC scenarios of climate change. *Global Change Biology* 26, 2161–2180.
- Fasullo JT and Gent PR (2017) On the relationship between regional ocean heat content and sea surface height. *Journal of Climate* **30**, 9195–9211.
- Figley B (2003) Marine life colonization of experimental reef habitat in temperate ocean waters of New Jersey. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Fish and Wildlife, Bureau of Marine Fisheries, 63 pp.
- **GBIF** (2023) Global Biodiversity Information Facility. Available at https:// www.gbif.org/ (accessed 11 September 2023).
- Gervais CR, Champion C and Pecl GT (2021) Species on the move around the Australian coastline: a continental-scale review of climate-driven species redistribution in marine systems. *Global Change Biology* **27**, 3200–3217.
- Guinotte JM, Bartley JD, Iqbal A, Fautin DG and Buddemeier RW (2006) Modeling habitat distribution from organism occurrences and environmental data: case study using anemonefishes and their sea anemone hosts. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **316**, 269–283.
- Halpern BS, Walbridge S, Selkoe KA, Kappel CV, Micheli F, D'Agrosa C, Bruno JF, Casey KS, Ebert C, Fox HE, Fujita R, Heinemann D, Lenihan HS, Madin EMP, Perry MP, Selig ER, Spalding M, Steneck R and Watson R (2008) A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems. Science (New York, N.Y.) 319, 948–952.
- Heppell SS, Heppell SA, Read AJ and Crowder LB (2005) Effects of fishing on long-lived marine organisms. In Norse EA and Crowder LB (eds), Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Washington, DC: Island Press, pp. 211–231.
- Hong S, Jang I, Kim D, Kim S, Park HS and Lee K (2022) Predicting potential habitat changes of two invasive alien fish species with climate change at a regional scale. *Sustainability* 14, 6093.
- IPCC (2014) Synthesis Report. Contribution of working groups I, II and III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovermental Panel on Climate Change (Core writing team, Pachauri, R.K. and Meyer, L.A. eds.). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.
- Januario SM, Estay SA, Labra FA and Lima M (2015) Combining environmental suitability and population abundances to evaluate the invasive potential of the tunicate *Ciona intestinalis* along the temperate South American coast. *PeerJ* **3**, e1357.
- Jones MC and Cheung WW (2015) Multi-model ensemble projections of climate change effects on global marine biodiversity. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 72, 741–752.
- Kim HK, Chan BK, Lee SK and Kim W (2020) Biogeography of intertidal and subtidal native and invasive barnacles in Korea in relation to oceanographic current ecoregions and global climatic changes. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 100, 1079–1091.
- Lambert CC and Lambert G (1998) Non-indigenous ascidians in southern California harbors and marinas. *Marine Biology* **130**, 675–688.
- Lee EY and Park KA (2019) Change in the recent warming trend of sea surface temperature in the East Sea (Sea of Japan) over decades (1982–2018). *Remote Sensing* 11, 2613.
- Lee II H, Reusser DA, Olden JD, Smith SS, Graham J, Burkett V, Dukes JS, Piorkowski RJ and McPhedran J (2008) Integrated monitoring and

information systems for managing aquatic invasive species in a changing climate. *Conservation Biology* **22**, 575–584.

- MABIK (2022) 2022 National List of Marine Species: II. Marine Invertebrates. Seoul: Namuprint.
- Maravelias CD and Reid DG (1997) Identifying the effects of oceanographic features and zooplankton on prespawning herring abundance using generalized additive models. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 147, 1–9.
- **MOF and KOEM** (2022) *Protocol of National Survey on Marine Ecosystems*, 4th edn. Seoul: Jinhanbook.
- Nomaguchi TA, Nishijima C, Minowa S, Hashimoto M, Haraguchi C, Amemiya S and Fujisawa H (1997) Embryonic thermosensitivity of the ascidian, *Ciona savignyi. Zoological Science* 14, 511–515.
- Palomares MLD and Pauly (eds) (2023) SeaLifeBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. Available at https://www.sealifebase.org, version (08/2023) (accessed 11 September 2023).
- Park JU, Lee T, Kim DG and Shin S (2020) Prediction of potential habitats and distribution of the marine invasive sea squirt, *Herdmania momus*. *Korean Journal of Environmental Biology* **38**, 179–188.
- Pinsky ML, Selden RL and Kitchel ZJ (2020) Climate-driven shifts in marine species ranges: scaling from organisms to communities. Annual Review of Marine Science 12, 153–179.
- Raitsos DE, Beaugrand G, Georgopoulos D, Zenetos A, Pancucci-Papadopoulou AM, Theocharis A and Papathanassiou E (2010) Global climate change amplifies the entry of tropical species into the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Limnology and Oceanography* 55, 1478–1484.
- Rho BJ (1971) A study on the classification and the distribution of the Korean ascidians. *Journal of the Korean Research Institute for Better Living* 6, 103–160.
- Rubenstein MA, Weiskopf SR, Bertrand R, Carter SL, Comte L, Eaton MJ, Johnson CG, Lenoir J, Lynch AJ, Miller BW, Morelli TL, Rodriguez MA, Terando A and Thompson LM (2023) Climate change and the global redistribution of biodiversity: substantial variation in empirical support for expected range shifts. *Environmental Evidence* 12, 1–21.
- Shenkar N, Gittenberger A, Lambert G, Rius M, Moreira da Rocha R, Swalla BJ and Turon X (2023) Ascidiacea World Database. Available at https://www.marinespecies.org/ascidiacea (accessed 30 June 2023).
- Son MH, Lee CI, Park JM, Kim HJ, Riedel R, Hwang I, Kim YN and Jung HK (2020) The northward habitat expansion of the Korean top shell *Turbo* sazae (Gastropoda: Vetigastropoda: Turbinidae) in the Korean Peninsula: effects of increasing water temperature. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* 8, 782.
- Svane I and Lundälv T (1982) Population dynamics and reproductive patterns of *Boltenia echinata* (Ascidicea) on the Swedish west coast. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research* 16, 105–118.
- Tittensor DP, Baco AR, Brewin PE, Clark MR, Consalvey M, Hall-Spencer J, Rowden AA, Schlacher T, Stocks KI and Rogers AD (2009) Predicting global habitat suitability for stony corals on seamounts. *Journal of Biogeography* 36, 1111–1128.
- Tyberghein L, Verbruggen H, Pauly K, Troupin C, Mineur F and De Clerck O (2012) Bio-ORACLE: a global environmental dataset for marine species distribution modelling. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 21, 272–281.
- Widlansky MJ, Long X and Schloesser F (2020) Increase in sea level variability with ocean warming associated with the nonlinear thermal expansion of seawater. *Communications Earth & Environment* 1, 9.
- **WoRMS Editorial Board** (2023) World Register of Marine Species. Available at https://www.marinespecies.org at VLIZ (accessed 30 June 2023).
- Yi CH and Kim JH (2016) Population dynamics of the solitary ascidian Herdmania momus (Savignyi, 1816) in Jeju Island, Korea. Ocean Science Journal 51, 363–371.