

# DERIVATIVE OF SINGULAR SET-FUNCTIONS

MORTEZA ANVARI

The purpose of this paper is to prove that the general derivative of a completely additive singular set-function defined on certain measurable subsets of an abstract measure space is zero almost everywhere. As a corollary the celebrated Lebesgue decomposition theorem has been sharpened.

This result is well known for set-functions defined on measurable subsets of an  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space (**2**, p. 119). The proof in this setting depends on two things: Vitali's covering theorem and the fact that for every measurable set  $A$  there exists an open set  $O$  which contains  $A$  and the images of  $O$  and  $A$  under the set-function can be made arbitrarily close. Here the covering theorem is due to Trjitzinsky and the open set is replaced by an envelope, an entirely measure-theoretic concept.

Let  $\phi$  be a measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -field  $\Sigma$  of subsets of an abstract space  $S$ . A subset  $A \subset S$  is said to be indefinitely covered by a family  $H$  of measurable sets if for every  $x \in A$  there exists a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}$  contained in  $H$ , containing  $x$  for each  $n$ , and  $\phi(\gamma_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . A family  $G \subset \Sigma$  of measurable sets is said to be regular in the sense of the measure  $\phi$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $\phi_e(D) < \infty$ , where  $D = \bigcup_{\gamma \in G} \gamma$  and  $\phi_e$  denotes the outer measure.

(ii) Denote by  $\rho(\gamma)$  the set of points outside  $\gamma$  and indefinitely covered by those  $\gamma' \in G$  which have points in common with  $\gamma$ , and let  $\alpha(u)$  be a real-valued function with the following property: given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  of positive numbers converging to zero such that whenever  $0 < u_{i,n} < \eta_n$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_{i,n} \leq \phi_e(D) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then

$$\sum_{n, i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(u_{i,n}) < \epsilon;$$

we postulate that  $\phi_e(\rho(\gamma)) < \alpha(\phi(\gamma))$ .

(iii) Let  $\Omega_a(\gamma)$  denote the union of the sets  $\gamma'$  which have points in common with  $\gamma$  and  $\phi(\gamma') < a\phi(\gamma)$ . We postulate that there exist two numbers  $a$  and  $b$  ( $b > a > 1$ ) such that  $\phi_e[\Omega_a(\gamma)] < b\phi(\gamma)$  for each  $\gamma$ .

As an example of the function  $\alpha$  mentioned in (ii) we may take  $\alpha(u) = u^c$  ( $c > 1$ ). In this case

---

Received January 25, 1963 and revised July, 1964.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,i} \alpha(u_{i,n}) &= \sum_{n,i} u_{i,n}^c = \sum_{n,i} u_{i,n}^{c-1} u_{i,n} \\ &< \sum_{n,i} \eta_n^{c-1} u_{i,n} = \sum_n \eta_n^{c-1} \sum_i u_{i,n} \leq \phi_\epsilon(D) \sum_n \eta_n^{c-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to choose

$$\eta_n^{c-1} = \epsilon \frac{2^{-n}}{\phi_\epsilon(D)}.$$

The following theorem has been proved by W. J. Trjitzinsky (3, p. 16).

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Delta(G)$  (or simply  $\Delta$ ) denote the set of points indefinitely covered (in the sense of measure  $\phi$ ) by the regular family  $G$ . Then*

- (a)  $\Delta$  is  $\phi$ -measurable;
- (b) there exists a sequence  $\{\gamma_i\}$  of disjoint sets in  $G$  such that  $\phi(\Delta - \Delta \cap \Gamma) \leq s$ , where

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(\phi(\gamma_i)), \quad \Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i,$$

and  $s$  can be made less than any positive number  $\epsilon$ ;

- (c) given  $\epsilon > 0$ , the sequence  $\{\gamma_i\}$  can be chosen in such a way that  $s < \epsilon$  and

$$\phi(\Delta) - \epsilon < \phi(\Gamma) < \phi(\Delta) + \epsilon.$$

A set-function  $\psi$ , finite and real valued, defined on subsets of  $\Delta$  is said to be completely additive if

$$(i) \quad \psi\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(E_n)$$

for every sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of disjoint subsets of  $\Delta$  for which

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\psi(E_n)| < +\infty$$

and

- (ii)  $\psi(E_1 - E_2) = \psi(E_1) - \psi(E_2)$  for all  $E_2 \subset E_1 \subset \Delta$ .

In the following  $\psi$  shall denote a non-negative completely additive set-function. For, if it is not non-negative, it can be expressed as the difference of two non-negative completely additive set-functions (2, p. 11). Let  $G(E)$  denote the subfamily of  $G$  whose elements intersect the set  $E$  and  $\Delta[G(E)]$  denote the set indefinitely covered by  $G(E)$ .  $E$  is said to be a kernel if  $\phi(\Delta[G(E)] - E) = 0$ . It is said to be an envelope if its complement with respect to  $\Delta(G)$  is a kernel.

Kernel and envelope are roughly equivalent to closed and open set, respectively.  $\Delta[G(E)] - E$  represents the boundary of a kernel  $E$ ; hence the assumption that it has measure zero.

As an additional property of the set-function  $\psi$  we assume that

HYPOTHESIS H. For every measurable set  $A \subset \Delta$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an envelope  $O$  such that  $A \subset O \subset \Delta$  and  $\psi(O - A) < \epsilon$ ; also  $x \in A, x \in \gamma \in G$ , and  $\phi(\gamma)$  sufficiently small imply  $\gamma \subset O$ .

By the upper derivative of  $\psi$  at a point  $M \in \Delta$  with respect to a regular family  $G$  we shall mean the least upper bound of the ratio  $\psi(\gamma \cap \Delta)/\phi(\gamma)$  over all  $\gamma$  which contain  $M$  and whose measures tend to zero. The upper derivative is denoted by  $\bar{D}(\psi, M, G)$ . The lower derivative is defined dually. When these two derivatives are equal, the common value is denoted by  $D(\psi, M, G)$  and is called the general derivative.

THEOREM 2. For every non-negative  $\psi$  that satisfies Hypothesis H, if  $\bar{D}(\psi, M, G) \geq \mu$  for every  $M \in A \subset \Delta$ , then  $\psi(A) \geq \mu\phi(A)$ .

Proof. Let  $X$  denote a subfamily of  $G$  whose elements  $\gamma$  are contained in an envelope  $O \supset A$  and satisfy the inequality  $\psi(\gamma \cap \Delta)/\phi(\gamma) > \lambda$  for some  $\lambda < \mu$ . If

$$\sup_{\substack{M \in \gamma \\ \phi(\gamma) \rightarrow 0}} \frac{\psi(\gamma \cap \Delta)}{\phi(\gamma)} \geq \mu$$

for every  $M \in A$ , then  $X$  covers  $A$  indefinitely. Hence, by Theorem 1(c), there exists a sequence  $\{\gamma_i\}$  of disjoint sets belonging to  $X$  for which

$$\phi\left(A - A \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i\right)\right) < \xi \quad \text{or} \quad \phi\left(A \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i\right)\right) > \phi(A) - \xi$$

for any preassigned  $\xi > 0$ . Because of Hypothesis H,  $O$  can be chosen such that for any  $\epsilon > 0, \psi(A) > \psi(O) - \epsilon$ ; and since the  $\gamma_i$  are disjoint and all contained in  $O$ ,

$$\psi(O) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi(\gamma_i).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(A) &> \psi(O) - \epsilon \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi(\gamma_i) - \epsilon \geq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi(\gamma_i) - \epsilon \\ &= \lambda \phi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i\right) - \epsilon \geq \lambda \phi\left(A \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i\right)\right) - \epsilon > \lambda \phi(A) - \lambda \xi - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\xi$  and  $\epsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small, by letting  $\lambda \rightarrow \mu$ , one finds  $\psi(A) \geq \mu\phi(A)$ .

$\psi$  is said to be singular on  $\Delta$  if there exists a null-set  $E_0 \subset \Delta$  such that for all  $A \subset \Delta, \psi(A) = \psi(E_0 \cap A)$ .

THEOREM 3. If  $\psi$  is singular on  $\Delta$  and satisfies Hypothesis H, then  $D(\psi, M, G) = 0$  almost everywhere.

*Proof.* Since  $\psi$  is singular, there exists a set of measure zero  $E_0 \subset \Delta$  such that for every measurable  $A \subset \Delta$ ,  $\psi(A \cap (\Delta - E_0)) = 0$ . Let

$$F = \{M \in \Delta | D(\psi, M, G) > 0\}.$$

We shall prove that  $\phi(F) = 0$ . Otherwise there exists a positive integer  $N$  for which the set

$$E_N = \{M \in \Delta - E_0 | D(\psi, M, G) > 1/N\}$$

will have a positive measure and  $\phi(E_N \cap \gamma) > 0$  for some  $\gamma$ . Since  $E_N \subset \Delta - E_0$  and  $D(\psi, M, G) > 1/N$  on  $E_N \cap \gamma$ , Theorem 2 yields

$$\psi[(\Delta - E_0)] \geq \psi(E_N \cap \gamma) \geq \phi(E_N \cap \gamma)1/N > 0.$$

But this contradicts the assumption that  $\psi$  is singular, for it shows that  $\psi$  is positive on a subset of  $\Delta - E_0$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\psi$  be a completely additive set-function defined on subsets of  $\Delta$  and satisfying the Hypothesis H. Then there exists a unique decomposition of  $\psi$  in the form*

$$\psi(E) = \int_E D(\psi, M, G)d\phi + S(E),$$

where  $E \subset \Delta$  is measurable and  $S(E)$  is singular.

*Proof.* Every completely additive set-function defined on measurable subsets of  $\Delta$  can be expressed as the sum of an absolutely continuous and a singular set-function (**2**, p. 33), denoted by  $A(E)$  and  $S(E)$ , respectively. Trjitzinsky has proved (**3**, p. 26) that there exists an integrable function  $f$  such that  $A(E) = \int_E f d\phi$  and  $D(A, M, G) = f$  almost everywhere. Therefore

$$D(\psi, M, G) = f + D(S, M, G) \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

By the previous theorem the second term on the right-hand side is zero almost everywhere, and the proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Denjoy, *Une extension du théorème de Vitali*, Amer. J. Math. 73 (1951), 314–356.
2. S. Saks, *Theory of the integral* (New York, 1937).
3. M. W. J. Trjitzinsky, *Théorie métrique dans les espaces où il y a une mesure*, Mémorial des sciences mathématiques, 143 (1960).

*The University of British Columbia*