

A BROUWER TYPE COINCIDENCE THEOREM AND THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA

BY

M. M. DODSON

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a coincidence theorem which is a natural generalisation of Brouwer's fixed point theorem also gives a short and simple proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra.

Schirmer [6] has proved the following interesting coincidence theorem for the k -dimensional closed unit disc D^k .

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that the continuous function $f: D^k \rightarrow D^k$ maps the boundary S^{k-1} essentially onto itself. Then for any continuous function $g: D^k \rightarrow D^k$, the equation*

$$g(x) = f(x)$$

has a solution in D^k .

This result is closely related to Brouwer's fixed point theorem that for each continuous function $g: D^k \rightarrow D^k$ there is a point x_0 in D^k such that $g(x_0) = x_0$. Clearly Brouwer's theorem follows immediately on putting $f(x) = x$; and by considering the intersection of the directed ray from $g(x)$ through $f(x)$ with the boundary S^{k-1} , a standard proof of Brouwer's theorem (for example [8], p. 194) can be adapted readily to give a proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 has been generalised in a number of ways by Schirmer and others ([1], [2], [4], [5], [7]); a particularly elegant and simple extension to a Poincaré type of coincidence theorem is due to Reich [5]. Schirmer's proof of Theorem 1 is somewhat complicated and involves higher homotopy groups but her object was to provide a new proof of Brouwer's theorem. The object of this note is to show that Theorem 1 also gives a simple and apparently new proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra. This and the other applications to complex analysis arose out of conversations with Dr T. B. Sheil-Small.

THEOREM 2. *Every complex, non-constant polynomial has a zero.*

Proof. To solve the equation

$$(1) \quad a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0,$$

Received by the editors October 19, 1983.

AMS classification. Primary 55M20. Secondary 54H25, 20C15.

© Canadian Mathematical Society, 1984.

where $n \geq 1$ and $a_n \neq 0$, put $z = R w$, where

$$R = \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{|a_n|} (|a_{n-1}| + \dots + |a_0|) \right\}.$$

Then on rearranging, (1) becomes

$$(2) \quad w^n = -\frac{1}{R a_n} \left(a_{n-1} w^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{a_1 w}{R^{n-2}} + \frac{a_0}{R^{n-1}} \right) \\ = q(w) \text{ say.}$$

The function $q : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous and when $|w| \leq 1$,

$$|q(w)| \leq \frac{1}{R |a_n|} (|a_{n-1}| + \dots + \frac{|a_1| |w|}{R^{n-2}} + \frac{|a_0|}{R^{n-1}}) \\ \leq \frac{1}{R |a_n|} (|a_{n-1}| + \dots + |a_1| + |a_0|) \\ \leq 1,$$

so that $q(D^2) \subseteq D^2$. As is well known, the n th power map $P_n : S^1 \rightarrow S^1 : w \mapsto w^n$ is of degree $n \geq 1$ and so is essential. Thus the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and (2) has a solution in D^2 , whence (1) has a solution in the disc $\{z : |z| \leq R\}$.

This proof relies on the polynomial being dominated by z^n and can be extended to show that the harmonic polynomial

$$a_n z^n + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 + a_{-1} \bar{z} + \dots + a_{-n} \bar{z}^n,$$

where $|a_n| \neq |a_{-n}|$, has a zero with modulus at most

$$\max \{ 1, \| |a_n| - |a_{-n}| \|^{-1} (|a_{n-1}| + \dots + |a_{-n+1}|) \}.$$

More general versions of the fundamental theorem can be proved using similar arguments. For instance it can be shown that a continuous function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(z)}{a z^n + b \bar{z}^n} \neq 0$$

for some positive integer n and complex numbers a, b , is surjective.

Eilenberg and Niven [3] have proved a fundamental theorem of algebra for quaternions by showing that a polynomial of degree n of the form

$$p(x) = a_0 x a_1 x \cdots x a_n + r(x),$$

where a_0, \dots, a_n are non-zero quaternions and $r(x)$ is a sum of a finite number of monomials of the form $b_0 x b_1 x \cdots x b_m$, $m < n$, always has a zero. Their proof is also topological and they prove that the map $p : S^4 \rightarrow S^4$, where S^4 is the one

point compactification of the quaternions \mathbb{H} , is homotopic to the map $P_n : S^4 \rightarrow S^4 : z \mapsto z^n$ of degree n . By taking $k = 4$ in Theorem 1, Theorem 2 can be modified readily to give another proof of the fundamental theorem for quaternions. The main change needed is that the map $P_n : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ is replaced by the homotopic map $h : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$, given by

$$h(x) = a_0 x a_1 x \cdots x a_n;$$

the fact that h is essential follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 of [3].

Providing that the polynomial is parenthesised in a definite manner, a fundamental theorem can also be proved for Cayley numbers (corresponding to $k = 8$ in Theorem 1) by using either the approach of Eilenberg and Niven or that of Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I am grateful to Dr. R. Wood for drawing my attention to [6].

REFERENCES

1. R. F. Brown, Coincidences of maps of Euclidean spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **75** (1968), 523–525.
2. J. Bryszewski and L. Gorniewicz, A Poincaré type coincidence theorem for multi-valued maps. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. sci., math., astr. et phys.* **24** (1976), 593–598.
3. S. Eilenberg and I. Niven, The “Fundamental Theorem of Algebra” for quaternions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **50** (1944), 246–248.
4. I. Yu. Komarov, On points of coincidence for two transformations (in Russian). *Vest. Mosk. Univ.* **2** (1976), 11–14.
5. S. Reich, A Poincaré type coincidence theorem. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **81** (1974), 52–53.
6. H. Schirmer, A Brouwer type coincidence theorem. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **9** (1966), 443–446.
7. H. Schirmer, A Kakutani type coincidence theorem. *Fund. Math.* **69** (1970), 219–226.
8. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF YORK
HESLINGTON
YORK, YO1 5DD
GREAT BRITAIN