A note on a discrete
analytic function

C.J. Harman

An unsolved problem in discrete analytic function theory has
been to find a suitable analogue of the function $\sqrt{z}$. An
analogue $z^{(\alpha)}$, of the function z^{α} , is found here for discrete
analytic functions of the first kind (or *monodiffric* functions).
This function resolves a conjecture of Isaacs in the negative,
and at the same time it introduces multi-valued functions into
the discrete analytic theory.

1. Introduction

In [3, Problem 1] it was stated that a discrete analytic analogue of
the function $\sqrt{z}$ had not been found, and a result has been obtained by the
author [7] in connection with a discrete analytic theory for q-difference
functions. In this note the monodiffric analogue $z^{(\alpha)}$, of the classical
function z^{α} , is found.

Isaacs [9, 10] conjectured that there were no rational monodiffric
functions other than polynomials, and in the following, it is shown that
the function $z^{(\alpha)}$ resolves this conjecture in the negative. Also, multi-
valued functions are introduced into the theory.

Monodiffric functions were defined by Isaacs [9, 10] and further
developed by Kurowski [11] and Berzsenyi [1, 2]. They are defined on the
set of gaussian integers and satisfy the forward-difference equation,

123

$$(1.1) \qquad\qquad f(z+1) - f(z) = \frac{f(z+i)-f(z)}{i} \ .$$

The monodiffric function corresponding to z^n (n a non-negative integer) is denoted by $z^{(n)}$ and was found by Isaacs to be

$$(1.2) \qquad\qquad z^{(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} x^{(n-j)} i^j y^{(j)} \ ; \quad z^{(0)} = 1 \ ,$$

where $z = (x, y)$ and $x^{(j)} = x(x-1) \ldots (x-j+1) \ ; \ x^{(0)} = 1$.

   Discrete analytic functions of the second kind were introduced by Ferrand [5] and extensively developed by Duffin [4] and others. They are defined by the difference quotient equality,

$$\frac{f(z+1+i)-f(z)}{1+i} = \frac{f(z+1)-f(z+i)}{1-i} \ .$$

In this latter theory however, the corresponding function $z^{(n)}$ does not have a simple algebraic form.

2. Preliminaries

   In monodiffric theory it is usual to employ forward-differences but it will be more convenient here to utilize backward-differences, the results obtained applying equally well to the standard monodiffric scheme. Accordingly the following definitions of the operators E_1, E_2, Δ_1 and Δ_2 are made:

$$(2.1) \qquad\qquad E_1 f(z) = f(z-1) \ , \quad E_2 f(z) = f(z-i) \ ,$$

$$\Delta_1 f(z) = \left(1-E_1\right)f(z) = f(z) - f(z-1) \ ,$$

$$\Delta_2 f(z) = \frac{\left(1-E_2\right)}{i} f(z) = \frac{f(z)-f(z-i)}{i} \ .$$

If $\Delta_1 f(z) = \Delta_2 f(z)$, then f is said to be *monodiffric* at the point z , and a common operator Δ can be used, where

$$(2.2) \qquad\qquad\qquad \Delta \equiv \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \ .$$

   The domain of definition is to be restricted to the set G of

gaussian integers. Hence,

$$G = \{z; \ z = x+iy \ , \text{ where } \ x, y \ \text{ are integers}\} \ .$$

Subsequently a complex number z will be used synonymously with its
components (x, y) .

Subsets of G in the four quadrants of the complex plane are defined
as follows:

$$G_1 = \{z; \ z \in G, \ x > 0, \ y > 0\} \ , \quad G_2 = \{z; \ z \in G, \ x < 0, \ y > 0\} \ ,$$

$$G_3 = \{z; \ z \in G, \ x < 0, \ y < 0\} \ , \quad G_4 = \{z; \ z \in G, \ x > 0, \ y < 0\} \ ,$$

and on the axes,

$$X^+ = \{z; \ z \in G, \ x \geq 0, \ y = 0\} \ , \quad X^- = \{z; \ z \in G, \ x \leq 0, \ y = 0\} \ ,$$

$$Y^+ = \{z; \ z \in G, \ x = 0, \ y \geq 0\} \ , \quad Y^- = \{z; \ z \in G, \ x = 0, \ y \leq 0\} \ .$$

Before proceeding to the derivation of $z^{(\alpha)}$ it will be shown that if
a function is defined for points of G on the axes $\left(\text{on } X^+, X^-, Y^+ \text{ and}\right.$
$\left. Y^- \right)$, then it can be extended as a monodiffric function into G .

Kurowski [11] constructed an operator E which provides the
extension of a function, defined on the X-axis, to a monodiffric function
defined on a half plane.

Defining the operators $\left(1-i\Delta_1\right)^m$ and $\left(1-\Delta_2\right)^m$ by

$$\left(1-i\Delta_1\right)^m = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k}(-i)^k\Delta_1^k \ ; \quad \left(1-i\Delta_1\right)^0 = I \ ,$$

$$\left(1-\Delta_2\right)^m = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k}(-1)^k\Delta_2^k \ ; \quad \left(1-\Delta_2\right)^0 = I \ ;$$

where m is a positive integer, Δ_1 and Δ_2 are as defined in (2.1), and
I is the identity operator; then the following two theorems are
equivalent to Kurowski's result and will only be stated.

THEOREM 2.1. *If* $z = (x, y) \in G$ *, and a function* f *is defined for*
$z \in X^+ \cup X^-$ *(on the X-axis), then a monodiffric function with these*

prescribed values is determined uniquely for $z \in G_3 \cup G_4 \cup Y^-$ *(below the X-axis) and is given by*

$$f(z) = \left(1-i\Delta_1\right)^{-y} f(x, 0) = \sum_{j=0}^{-y} \binom{-y}{j} (-i)^j \Delta_1^j [f(x, 0)] \ .$$

THEOREM 2.2. *The function* f *defined by*

$$f(z) = \left(1-\Delta_2\right)^{-x} f(0, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{-x} \binom{-x}{j} (-1)^j \Delta_2^j [f(0, y)]$$

is the unique monodiffric function for $z \in G_2 \cup G_3 \cup X^-$ *(to the left of the Y-axis), with prescribed values* $f(0, y)$ *on* $Y^+ \cup Y^-$.

On the other hand, if f is defined on the positive-half X and Y axes, then a monodiffric function is determined explicitly for all $z \in G_1$ in the first quadrant, as the following theorem shows.

THEOREM 2.3. *If a function* f *is defined on* X^+ *and* Y^+ , *then it has a unique monodiffric extension into* G_1 , *and in fact for* $z = (x, y) \in G_1$,

$$f(z) = (1-i)^{-(x+y)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x+y}{j} (-i)^j \left(1-i\Delta_1\right)^{x-j} [f(x-j, 0)] \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{j=x+1}^{x+y} \binom{x+y}{j} (-i)^j \left(1-\Delta_2\right)^{j-x} [f(0, j-x)] \right\} \ .$$

Proof. From (2.2), if f is monodiffric at $z \in G_1$, then $\Delta_1 f(z) = \Delta_2 f(z)$, and so by (2.1),

$$f(z) = (1-i)^{-1}[f(z-i)-if(z-1)]$$
$$= (1-i)^{-1}\left[E_2-iE_1\right]f(z) \ .$$

Similarly,

$$f(z) = (1-i)^{-2}\left[E_2-iE_1\right]^2 f(z) \ ,$$

and in general,

$$f(z) = (1-i)^{-n}\left[E_2-iE_1\right]^n f(z) \ ,$$

so that for $n = x + y$,

$$f(z) = (1-i)^{-(x+y)} \sum_{j=0}^{x+y} \binom{x+y}{j}(-i)^j E_2^{x+y-j} E_1^j f(z)$$

$$= (1-i)^{-(x+y)} \sum_{j=0}^{x+y} \binom{x+y}{j}(-i)^j f(x-j, \ j-x) \ .$$

When $0 < j \le x$, the argument of f lies in G_4 and when $x \le j \le x+y$ it lies in G_2 . Hence by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 the expression for $f(z)$ in the above statement of the theorem is obtained. Uniqueness follows from the constructive method used, completing the proof of the theorem.

As a consequence of the above three theorems, it follows that if a function is defined on the axes, then it has a monodiffric extension to all points of G , the resulting function being unique at least in G_1, G_2 and G_4 .

An alternative form of the above theorem, which is given by the case $n = x + y - 1$, proves useful and is now stated.

THEOREM 2.4. *For $z \in G_1$ and with f defined on the positive-half axes as in the above theorem, then*

$$f(z) = (1-i)^{-(x+y-1)}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{x-1} \binom{x+y-1}{j}(-i)^j \left(1-i\Delta_1\right)^{x-j-1} f(x-j, \ 0)\right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{j=x}^{x+y-1} \binom{x+y-1}{j}(-i)^j \left(1-\Delta_2\right)^{j-x} f(0, \ 1+j-x)\right\} \ .$$

3. The function $z^{(\alpha)}$

A monodiffric function $z^{(\alpha)}$ is said to be an analogue of the classical function z^α if

$$
\text{(3.1)} \quad
\begin{cases}
\text{(i)} \quad \Delta z^{(\alpha)} = \alpha z^{(\alpha-1)} \;, \\[2ex]
\text{(ii)} \quad 0^{(\alpha)} = 0 \;; \quad \alpha > 0 \;, \\[2ex]
\text{(iii)} \quad z^{(0)} = 1 \;,
\end{cases}
$$

where Δ is defined by (2.2).

When $\alpha = n$, a non-negative integer, $z^{(n)}$ is given by Isaacs's function (1.2), where for the backward-difference case, $x^{(j)} = x(x+1) \ldots (x+j-1)$. A general form is now obtained for $z^{(\alpha)}$ (α not a negative integer - but otherwise an arbitrary constant) which is consistent with Isaacs's function when α is a non-negative integer.

For $x \in X^+ \cup X^-$ on the X-axis, the function $x^{(\alpha)}$ is defined by

$$
\text{(3.2)} \qquad x^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(x+\alpha)}{\Gamma(x)} \;,
$$

where α is not a negative integer, and where Γ is the classical gamma function. This function satisfies (3.1) with $\Delta = \Delta_1$.

When $\alpha = n$, a non-negative integer, $x^{(\alpha)}$ reduces to,

$$
x^{(n)} = x(x+1) \ldots (x+n-1) \;; \quad x^{(0)} = 1 \;,
$$

so that $x^{(n)} = 0$; $x = 0, -1, -2, \ldots, -n+1$. When α is not an integer, then since $\Gamma(x)$ has a pole when x is a negative integer, it follows that

$$
\text{(3.3)} \qquad x^{(\alpha)} = 0 \;; \quad x \in X^- \;.
$$

For points of G on the Y-axis $\left(iy \in Y^+ \cup Y^-\right)$, the function $(iy)^{(\alpha)}$ is defined by

$$
\text{(3.4)} \qquad (iy)^{(\alpha)} = i^\alpha y^{(\alpha)} \;,
$$

where $y^{(\alpha)}$ is given by (3.2). This function satisfies (3.1) with $\Delta = \Delta_2$.

The case when α is a non-negative integer has been solved by Isaacs.
When α is a negative integer, the function $x^{(\alpha)}$, as defined by (3.2),
has a singularity at each of the points $x = 1, 2, \ldots, -\alpha$. Subsequently
it will be assumed that α is not an integer.

From Theorem 2.1 it follows that the function

$$z^{(\alpha)} = \left(1 - i\Delta_1\right)^{-y} x^{(\alpha)} \quad ; \quad z = (x, y) \in G_3 \cup G_4 \cup Y^- \quad ;$$

is the unique monodiffric function in this region, with prescribed values
$x^{(\alpha)}$ on the X-axis. Hence,

$$z^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=0}^{-y} \binom{-y}{j} (-i)^j \Delta_1^j x^{(\alpha)} \quad ,$$

and since $\Delta_1 x^{(\alpha)} = \alpha x^{(\alpha-1)}$, $\Delta_1^j x^{(\alpha)} = \alpha(\alpha-1) \ldots (\alpha-j+1) x^{(\alpha-j)}$, it

follows on simplification that

$$(3.5) \qquad\qquad z^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=0}^{-y} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(\alpha-j)} i^j y^{(j)} \quad .$$

From (3.3) it follows that

$$(3.6) \qquad\qquad z^{(\alpha)} = 0 \quad ; \quad z \in G_3 \cup Y^- \cup X^- \quad .$$

Similarly,

$$z^{(\alpha)} = \left(1 - \Delta_2\right)^{-x} (iy)^{(\alpha)} \quad ; \quad z = (x, y) \in G_2 \cup G_3 \cup X^- \quad ,$$

is the unique monodiffric function with values $(iy)^{(\alpha)}$ on the Y-axis,
and this reduces to

$$(3.7) \qquad\qquad z^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=0}^{-x} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(j)} i^{\alpha-j} y^{(\alpha-j)} \quad .$$

Once again $z^{(\alpha)} = 0$; $z \in G_3 \cup Y^- \cup X^-$, and so this definition is
consistent with (3.5).

By construction, the function $z^{(\alpha)}$ (given by (3.5), (3.6) and
(3.7)), is monodiffric and it can be readily verified that it satisfies

conditions (i) and (ii) of (3.1).

For the remaining region G_1 , it follows from Theorem 2.3 that for $z \in G_1$,

$$z^{(\alpha)} = (1-i)^{-(x+y)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x+y}{j} (-i)^j (1-i\Delta_1)^{x-j} (x-j)^{(\alpha)} \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{j=x+1}^{x+y} \binom{x+y}{j} (-i)^j (1-\Delta_2)^{j-x} [i(j-x)]^{(\alpha)} \right\} ,$$

and so by (3.5) and (3.7),

$$(3.8) \qquad z^{(\alpha)} = (1-i)^{-(x+y)} \sum_{j=0}^{x+y} \binom{x+y}{j} (-i)^j (x-j, \ j-x)^{(\alpha)} ,$$

where for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, x$, $(x-j, \ j-x)^{(\alpha)}$ is given by (3.5), and by (3.7) when $j = x+1, x+2, \ldots, x+y$. The function is monodiffric by Theorem 2.3 and it satisfies $0^{(\alpha)} = 0$. It remains to be verified that $\Delta z^{(\alpha)} = \alpha z^{(\alpha-1)}$.

THEOREM 3.1. *For* $z \in G_1$, *the function* $z^{(\alpha)}$ *as defined by* (3.8) *satisfies the condition*

$$\Delta z^{(\alpha)} = \alpha z^{(\alpha-1)} .$$

Proof. Let $z \in G_1$. From (2.1),

$$\Delta_1 z^{(\alpha)} = z^{(\alpha)} - (z-1)^{(\alpha)} ,$$

and so by (3.8),

$$\Delta_1 z^{(\alpha)} = (1-i)^{-(x+y)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{x+y} \binom{x+y}{j} (-i)^j (x-j, \ j-x)^{(\alpha)} \right.$$

$$\left. - (1-i) \sum_{j=0}^{x+y-1} \binom{x+y-1}{j} (-i)^j (x-1-j, \ j+1-x)^{(\alpha)} \right\}$$

$$= (1-i)^{-(x+y)}\left\{(x,\ -x)^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{j=0}^{x+y-1} \binom{x+y}{j+1}(-i)^{j+1}(x-j-1,\ j+1-x)^{(\alpha)}\right.$$

$$- \sum_{j=0}^{x+y-1} \binom{x+y-1}{j}(-i)^{j+1}(x-j-1,\ j+1-x)^{(\alpha)}$$

$$\left. - \sum_{j=0}^{x+y-1} \binom{x+y-1}{j}(-i)^{j}(x-j-1,\ j+1-x)^{(\alpha)}\right\}\ ,$$

and on combining the first two sums,

$$\Delta_1 z^{(\alpha)} = (1-i)^{-(x+y)}\left\{(x,\ -x)^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{j=0}^{x+y-2} \binom{x+y-1}{j+1}(-i)^{j+1}(x-j-1,\ j+1-x)^{(\alpha)}\right.$$

$$\left. - \sum_{j=0}^{x+y-1} \binom{x+y-1}{j}(-i)^{j}(x-1-j,\ j+1-x)^{(\alpha)}\right\}$$

$$= (1-i)^{-(x+y)} \sum_{j=0}^{x+y-1} \binom{x+y-1}{j}(-i)^{j}\left[(x-j,\ j-x)^{(\alpha)}-(x-1-j,\ j+1-x)^{(\alpha)}\right]\ .$$

Now if $Z \in G_2$ or G_4 then it has been shown previously that $\Delta_1 Z^{(\alpha)} = \Delta_2 Z^{(\alpha)} = \alpha Z^{(\alpha-1)}$, and from this it follows that

$$(Z-i)^{(\alpha)} - (Z-1)^{(\alpha)} = \alpha(1-i)Z^{(\alpha-1)}\ .$$

Hence with $Z = (x-j,\ j+1-x)$,

$$\Delta_1 z^{(\alpha)} = (1-i)^{-(x+y)} \sum_{j=0}^{x+y-1} \binom{x+y-1}{j}(-i)^{j}\alpha(1-i)(x-j,\ j+1-x)^{(\alpha-1)}$$

$$= \alpha z^{(\alpha-1)}$$

(from Theorem 2.4). Since $z^{(\alpha)}$ is monodiffric it follows that $\Delta z^{(\alpha)} = \Delta_1 z^{(\alpha)} = \alpha z^{(\alpha-1)}$, completing the proof of the theorem.

Hence $z^{(\alpha)}$ has been specified for all points of G and it can be seen that (3.5) and (3.7) demonstrate an analogy with the binomial expansion of the function $z^{\alpha} = (x+iy)^{\alpha}$. In the region G_1 the expression for $z^{(\alpha)}$ given by (3.8) is a little more complicated, but it can be shown that (3.8) becomes

$$(3.9) \qquad z^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(\alpha-j)} {}_i j_y (j) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(j)} {}_i \alpha^{-j} {}_y (\alpha-j) \ ,$$

where the two divergent series are summable (E, q) for $q > 0$ in the Euler sense (see Hardy [6]). This represents a remarkable analogy with the binomial expansion of z^{α} and the proof, being lengthy, is given in [8].

To summarize the preceding results:- the monodiffric function corresponding to z^{α} is given by:

$$(3.10) \quad z^{(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(\alpha-j)} {}_i j_y (j) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(j)} {}_i \alpha^{-j} {}_y (\alpha-j) \ ; \quad z \in G_1 \ , \\[2mm] \sum_{j=0}^{-x} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(j)} {}_i \alpha^{-j} {}_y (\alpha-j) \ ; \quad z \in G_2 \cup Y^+ \ , \\[2mm] 0 \ ; \quad z \in G_3 \cup X^- \cup Y^- \ , \\[2mm] \sum_{j=0}^{-y} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(\alpha-j)} {}_i j_y (j) \ ; \quad z \in G_4 \cup X^+ \ . \end{cases}$$

4. Properties and discussion

Isaacs [9, 10] conjectured that there were no monodiffric functions, rational in x and y , other than polynomials. Now from the definition of $x^{(\alpha)}$, it follows that if $z \in G_4$ $(x > 0, y < 0)$, then

$$\binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(\alpha-j)} {}_y (j) = \frac{(\alpha-j+1)(\alpha-j+2)\ldots(\alpha-j+x-1)y(y+1)\ldots(y+j-1)}{(x-1)!} \ ,$$

and so for $z \in G_4$, the function $z^{(\alpha)}$, given in (3.10) by

$$z^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=0}^{-y} \binom{\alpha}{j} x^{(\alpha-j)} {}_i j_y (j) \ ,$$

is both monodiffric and rational in x and y . This shows that Isaacs's conjecture is false.

In the classical case, the function z^{α} is multi-valued, and in fact if z_1^{α} denotes a particular branch, then it is well known that

$$z^{\alpha} = e^{2i\alpha n\pi} z_1^{\alpha} \ ; \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \ .$$

In the region G_2 , $z^{(\alpha)}$ (given in 3.10) is multi-valued due to the presence of the factor i^{α} , and in fact if $z_1^{(\alpha)}$ denotes a branch, then

$$z^{(\alpha)} = e^{2i\alpha n\pi} z_1^{(\alpha)} \; ; \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \; ;$$

demonstrating a close analogy with the function z^{α} .

The representation of $z^{(\alpha)}$ in G_1 is promising. It is derived from a combination of values of $z^{(\alpha)}$ on the positive half axes; it is multi-valued; it is a very good approximation to x^{α} on the positive X-axis and to $(iy)^{\alpha}$ on the positive Y-axis.

On the other hand the representation of $z^{(\alpha)}$ in G_3 and G_4 illustrates a certain lack of symmetry in the usage of monodiffric functions. The function is single-valued in G_3 and G_4 and in fact is zero in G_3 – a poor analogy with the classical function z^{α} .

In view of the above observations, a need is suggested for an alternative method of defining discrete analyticity, which retains the algebraic simplicity of monodiffric functions, and which at the same time introduces a symmetry similar to the Schwarz Reflection Principle. Such a theory is discussed in [8].

In the preceding analysis, a backward-difference scheme was used to define a monodiffric function. However if the more usual forward-difference scheme is used, all of the corresponding results, comments and criticisms apply without loss of generality, where $x^{(\alpha)}$ is defined by $(-1)^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha-x)/\Gamma(-x)$.

References

[1] George Berzsenyi, "Line integrals for monodiffric functions", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **30** (1970), 99-112.

[2]   George Berzsenyi, "Convolution products of monodiffric functions", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **37** (1972), 271-287.

[3]   Charles R. Deeter, "Problems in discrete function theory and related topics", *Symposium in discrete function theory* (Texas Christian University, 1969).

[4]   R.J. Duffin, "Basic properties of discrete analytic functions", *Duke Math. J.* **23** (1956), 335-363.

[5]   Jacqueline Ferrand, "Fonctions préharmoniques et fonctions préholomorphes", *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) **68** (1944), 152-180.

[6]   G.H. Hardy, *Divergent series* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949).

[7]   Christopher John Harman, "A discrete analytic theory for geometric difference functions", PhD thesis, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, 1972. See also the abstract, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **9** (1973), 299-300.

[8]   C.J. Harman, "A new definition of discrete analytic functions", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **10** (1974), 123-134.

[9]   Rufus Philip Isaacs, "A finite difference function theory", *Univ. Nac. Tucumán Rev. Ser. A* **2** (1941), 177-201.

[10] Rufus Isaacs, "Monodiffric functions", *Construction and applications of conformal maps*, 257-266 (Proc. Sympos. 1949, Numerical Analysis National Bureau of Standards, Univ. California, Los Angeles. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, **18**. United States Department of Commerce; US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1952).

[11] G.J. Kurowski, "Further results in the theory of monodiffric functions", *Pacific J. Math.* **18** (1966), 139-147.

Department of Supply,
Weapons Research Establishment,
Salisbury, South Australia,
and
Department of Mathematics,
University of Adelaide,
Adelaide, South Australia.