www.cambridge.org/jhl

Research Paper

Cite this article: García-Teh JG, Hernández-Mena DI, Vidal-Martínez VM, Aguirre-Macedo ML (2023). Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from dusky flounder Syacium papillosum (Paralichthydae) from southern Gulf of Mexico: A new species without spines? Journal of Helminthology, 97, e46, 1–9

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000263>

Received: 05 March 2023 Revised: 01 May 2023 Accepted: 01 May 2023

Keywords:

Stephanostomum; flatfishes; integrative taxonomy; Yucatan Peninsula; phylogeny

Corresponding author: M.L. Aguirre-Macedo; Email: leopoldina.aguirre@cinvestav.mx

Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from dusky flounder Syacium papillosum (Paralichthydae) from southern Gulf of Mexico: A new species without spines?

J.G. García-Teh, D.I. Hernández-Mena, V.M. Vidal-Martínez Dand

M.L. Aguirre-Macedo^D

Aquatic Pathology Laboratory, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional (CINVESTAV-IPN), Unidad Mérida, Carretera Antigua a Progreso Km. 6, 97310 Mérida, Yucatán, México

Abstract

A new species of the genus Stephanostomum is described for the southeastern Gulf of Mexico based on morphological and nucleotide evidence. Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. infects the intestine of the dusky flounder Syacium papillosum in the Yucatan Continental Shelf, Mexico (Yucatan Peninsula). Sequences of the 28S ribosomal gene were obtained and compared with available sequences of the other species and genera of the families Acanthocolpidae and Brachycladiidae from GenBank. A phylogenetic analysis was conducted, including 39 sequences, 26 of which represented 21 species and six genera of the family Acanthocolpidae. The new species is characterized by the absence of circumoral spines and spines on the tegument. Nonetheless, scanning electron microscopy consistently revealed the pits of 52 circumoral spines distributed in a double row with 26 spines each, and forebody spined. Other distinctive features of this species are testes in contact (sometimes overlapping), the vitellaria running along the body lateral fields to the mid-level of the cirrus-sac, pars prostatica and ejaculatory duct similar in length, and uroproct present. The phylogenetic tree showed that the three species found as parasites of dusky flounder (the new adult species and two in metacercaria stages) were grouped into two different clades. S. minankisi n. sp. was the sister species of Stephanostomum sp. 1 (Bt = 56) and formed a clade with S. tantabiddii, supported by high bootstrap values (100).

Introduction

The genus Stephanostomum Looss, 1899, is a group of digenean found as parasites of marine teleost fish, with worldwide distribution (Bray [2005\)](#page-7-0). It is one of the most diverse genera in the family Acanthocolpidae Lühe, 1906, with 144 valid species (WoRMS [2022](#page-8-0)). The species of Stephanostomum are characterized by two rows of enlarged circumoral spines and strongly spined tegument; the number, shape, and arrangement of the circumoral spines are key to specific determination (Madhavi & Bray [2018](#page-7-1)).

In the western Atlantic Ocean, 24 species of Stephanostomum have been recorded, of which 17 species have been reported from the Gulf of Mexico, parasitizing both pelagic and benthic marine fish (Overstreet et al. [2009\)](#page-7-2). Four of them were recorded for the Yucatan Peninsula: S. casum (Linton [1910](#page-7-3)), S. dentatum (Linton [1940\)](#page-7-4), S. ghanense (Fischthal & Thomas [1968\)](#page-7-5), and S. trompeteri (Zhukov [1983\)](#page-8-1). All of these species were identified based on morphological and morphometric data.

Application of nucleotide analysis in taxonomy studies of marine fish parasites (Padial et al. [2010\)](#page-7-6) has contributed to a more accurate identification based on molecular detailed descriptions of confirmed species (Martínez-Aquino et al. [2020](#page-7-7)) and the discovery of new species and even subfamilies (Soler-Jimenez et al. [2021](#page-8-2)). In this context, Stephanostomum-like digeneans were found in dusky flounder Syacium papillosum from the Southeast of the Gulf of Mexico. At first look under the light microscope, specimens consistently show no circumoral spines, which casts doubts about its identification on the *Stephanostomum*. Thus, the objective of this study was to describe and characterize the specimens found by applying the combination of morphological (internal and external) and molecular analysis, taking into consideration the steps proposed by Pante et al. [\(2015\)](#page-7-8) for a proper description.

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press.

> **CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Fishes and specimen collection

From 2016 to 2018, three oceanographic research cruises were carried out on the Yucatan shelf onboard an oceanographic vessel: Gomex-4 (April 2016), Gomex-5 (October 2016), and

 $24°N$ **Gulf of Mexico** MEXICO o $23°N$ 22°N 21° N Yucatan \circ **Peninsula** $20°N$ Cilometers 92°W $91°W$ 90°W 88°W 87°W $93°W$ $89°W$ $86°W$

Figure 1. Study area and sampling points: ●-stations with fish infected with Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp.: o-stations where no infected fish were collected. Isobath line corresponds to the 200 m, which delimits the Yucatan continental shelf.

Gomex-6 (August 2018). The study area included 18 sampling points between 50 and 200 m in depth [\(Figure 1\)](#page-1-0). The dusky flounder Syacium papillosum were collected using trawl nets. Morphometric measurements, total length (cm), and weight (gr) were obtained for each fish. They were immediately labeled and isolated individually in plastic bags, stored at -20 °C for transportation to the Aquatic Pathology Laboratory at CINVESTAV-Merida. Once in the lab, the fish were defrosted and identified prior to dissection by the Necton Laboratory, following the methodology proposed by Vega-Cendejas and Hernández de Santillana ([2019\)](#page-8-3). Afterwards, a parasitological examination of the collected fish was carried out under a stereoscope microscope (National Optical & Scientific Instruments, Texas, USA). Adult Stephanostomum specimens were collected from the defrosted fish and preserved directly in 4% formalin and 70% ethanol for morphological study, and in absolute ethanol for molecular analysis. The specimens for morphological studies were stained with Carmín-Mayers, dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series (70%, 80%, 96%, and 100%), cleared in clove oil of different concentrations (10%, 50%, 90%, and 100%), and mounted in Canada balsam (Vidal-Martínez et al. [2002\)](#page-8-4). Some specimens were mounted only between coverslips to allow dorsal and ventral observation. Measurements and drawings were made on an Olympus DIC Nomarski-BX50 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). For the study of external morphology by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the specimens were fixed in Glutaraldehyde 2.5%, dehydrated in gradual ethanols (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, and 100%), and dried at a critical point with $CO₂$ in a K850 Critical Point Dryer (Quorum Technologies, East Sussex, United Kingdom). The specimens were placed in a sample holder, covered with a layer of gold, and subsequently observed on a Philips XLE30 ESEM microscope (FEI Company, Oregon, USA). The infection parameters (prevalence and mean abundance) proposed by Bush et al. ([1997\)](#page-7-9) were calculated for each cruise and overall. All measurements are given in micrometres (μm), unless otherwise noted, and presented with minimum and maximum values, with the arithmetic mean $(\pm SD)$ in parentheses. In addition, morphological measurements from adult Stephanostomum n. sp.

were compared with 25 congeneric Stephanostomum species ([Supplementary Table S1\)](http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000263). Voucher specimens were deposited in the Colección Nacional de Helmintos (CNHE), Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, and paratypes were deposited in the Colección Helmintológica del CINVESTAV Mérida (CHCM), Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Unidad Mérida.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

The total genomic DNA of 4 adult specimens of Stephanostomum was fixed in absolute ethanol and extracted individually from each value of \mathbb{R}^n one using the DNeasy® Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The 28S gene of the ribosomal region was amplified using the forward primer 391 (5'-AGCGGAGGAAAAGAAACTAA-3') (Nadler & Hud-Th absolute ethanol and extracted in
g the DNeasy® Blood & Tissue Kit
y) following the manufacturer's instru
bosomal region was amplified using
AGCGGAGGAAAAGAAACTAA–3' speth [1998\)](#page-7-10) plus the reverse primer 536 (5'-CAGCTATCCT-Germany) follown
of the ribosomal
391 (5'–AGCGGA
speth 1998) plus
GAGGGAAAC–3' GAGGGAAAC-3') (Stock et al. [2001](#page-8-5)). The PCR mix contained 12.5 μl of Green GoTaq Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 1 μl of each primer (10 μl), 8.5 μl of distilled water, and 2 μl of gDNA for a final volume of 25 μl. All PCR reactions were run in an Applied Biosystems Veriti™ Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, California, USA) according to the following amplification conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing at 50 °C for 1 min, extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and finally, an extension cycle at 72 °C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel using TAE $1\times$ buffer, stained with RedGel (Biotium, San Francisco, USA), and observed under UV light using –(Biotum, San Francisco, USA), and observed under UV light using
BioDoc-It2[™] (Analytik Jena US LLC, California, USA). Sequencing
of the PCR products was performed using the same pair of amp-
lification primers plus two ad of the PCR products was performed using the same pair of ampof the PCR products was performed using the same pair of amp-
lification primers plus two additional internal primers, the reverse
503 (5'-CCTTGGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACG-3') (Stock *et al.*
2001) and the forward 504 (5'-CGTCTTGAAA 503 (5'-CCTTGGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACG-3') (Stock et al. [2001\)](#page-8-5) and the forward 504 (5'-CGTCTTGAAACACGGAC-–) (García-Varela & Nadler [2005](#page-7-11)). Sanger sequencing of the PCR products was carried out by Genewiz, South Plainfield, NJ, USA (<https://www.genewiz.com/>). The sequences were reviewed and analyzed in Geneious Pro 4.8.4 software (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand), with which the 28S consensus sequences were obtained for each extracted specimen. The consensus sequences were published in the GenBank ([https://www.ncbi.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) [nlm.nih.gov/genbank/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/)).

Phylogenetic study

To carry out the molecular determination and the phylogenetic relationships of the sequenced specimens, a database was first built in Mesquite 3.62 (<https://www.mesquiteproject.org/>) with the new sequences and sequences of the 28S gene of other species of the families Acanthocolpidae Luhe, 1906 and Brachycladiidae Odhner, 1905 that are available at the Genbank. Using previous phylogenies as a reference, Cableia pudica was chosen as the outgroup to root the phylogenetic tree obtained. Subsequently, the alignment of the sequences of our data set was carried out using ClustalW (Thompson et al. [1994](#page-8-0)) at [http://www.genome.jp/](http://www.genome.jp/tools/clustalw/) [tools/clustalw/,](http://www.genome.jp/tools/clustalw/) with the following parameters: 'SLOW/ACCUR-ATE' and weight matrix 'CLUSTALW (for DNA)'. The genetic distances (uncorrected p distances = p distance and number of different nucleotides = nt) in each data set were calculated with MEGA v.6 (Tamura et al. [2013\)](#page-8-6). Next, the nucleotide evolution model was estimated for the data set aligned with jModelTest v2 (Darriba et al. [2012](#page-7-12)). Finally, the phylogenetic relationships were inferred using the maximum likelihood method (ML) in RAxML v. 7.0.4 (Stamatakis [2006\)](#page-8-7) with 1,000 Bootstrap (Bt) repetitions. The resulting phylogenetic tree was visualized in FigTree v.1.4.3. (Rambaut [2006\)](#page-7-13).

Results

Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. (Figures 2 and 3)

A total of 331 specimens of S. minankisi n. sp. were collected from 284 dusky flounder. The total length of the fish was 13.4 cm to 31.0 cm, and no significant differences were found in total fish length between oceanographic research cruises (Kruskal-Wallis, $H_{[2, 282]} = 0.99$, $p = 0.61$). The prevalence was 19.40%, and the mean abundance was 0.95 ± 6.35 . The meristic values of the fish captured and the infection parameters of S. minankisi n. sp. by oceanographic research cruise are presented in [Table 1](#page-2-0).

Description

Based on 24 mature specimens. Body elongated, expanded from Description
Based on 24 mature specimens. Body elongated, expanded from
ventral sucker forward, 4,750–6,625 (5,519±494) long, maximum **Description**
Based on 24 mature specimens. Body elongated, expanded from
ventral sucker forward, 4,750–6,625 (5,519±494) long, maximum
width 575–1,100 (819±123) at testicular level. Forebody 840–1,400

Table 1. Meristic values of the dusky flounder Syacium papillosum and infection parameters of Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. within the Yucatán peninsula

	Overall	Gomex-4	Gomex-5	Gomex-6
No. Fishes	284	118	69	97
x total length	$24.6 + 2.9$	$24.5 + 3.2$	$24.5 + 2.8$	$24.8 + 2.6$
No. S. minankisi n. sp.	268	97	40	131
Prevalence	19.4%	19.5%	14.5%	22.7%
x Abundance	$0.9 + 6.35$	$0.8 + 6.1$	$0.5 + 3.3$	$1.3 + 7.6$

No. = number total: \bar{x} = mean of: +SD = standard deviation

(1,115±159) long. Hindbody 3,420–5,145 (4,027±455) long. Fore-3
(1,115±159) long. Hindbody 3,420–5,145 (4,027±455) long. Fore-
body–hindbody ratio 1:2.5–5.7 (3.7±0.7). Tegument slightly spined to level of forebody ([Figure 3b](#page-3-0)) and without spines on hindbody ([Figure 3f](#page-3-0)). Eyespot pigment present. Oral sucker terminal, wide, body–hindbody ratio 1:2.5–5.7 (3.7±0.7). Tegument slightly spined
to level of forebody (Figure 3b) and without spines on hindbody
(Figure 3f). Eyespot pigment present. Oral sucker terminal, wide,
60–150 (99±17) long and 1 body–nindody ratio 1:2.5–5.7 (5.7±0.7). Fegunient signity spined
to level of forebody (Figure 3b) and without spines on hindbody
(Figure 3f). Eyespot pigment present. Oral sucker terminal, wide,
60–150 (99±17) long and 18 boserved, only pits (Figures 2b and $3c-e$), 32 in two unimerrupled
rows (26 pits per row), alternating with each other. Ventral sucker
oval, slightly protruding, 250–470 (377±52) long, 270–450 (365
±42) wide, located in t (Figure 31). Eyespot pignient present. Oral sucker terminal, wide, $60-150$ (99±17) long and 180–350 (269±39) wide. No spines observed, only pits (Figures 2b and 3c–e), 52 in two uninterrupted rows (26 pits per row), alte $60-130$ (99±17) long and 160–350 (269±39) wide. No spines
observed, only pits (Figures 2b and 3c–e), 52 in two uninterrupted
rows (26 pits per row), alternating with each other. Ventral sucker
oval, slightly protruding, oval, slightly protruding, 250–470 (377 \pm 52) long, 270–450 (365 \pm 42) wide, located in the first third of the body. Sucker-length ratio 1:2.7–5.3 (3.9 \pm 0.7); Sucker-width ratio 1:1.1–1.6 (1.4 \pm 0.1). Prepharynx long by divergency protruding, $250-470$ (377 ± 52) long, $270-450$ (365 ± 42) wide, located in the first third of the body. Sucker-length ratio 1:2.7–5.3 (3.9±0.7); Sucker-width ratio 1:1.1–1.6 (1.4±0.1). Prepharynx long an $(186±36)$ wide, iocated in the first third of the body. Sucker--ength ratio $1:2.7-5.3$ (3.9 ± 0.7); Sucker-width ratio $1:1.1-1.6$ (1.4 ± 0.1). Pre-
pharynx long and narrow, 100–500 (252 ± 113) long, $10-80$ (38 ± 17 (2.7–5.5 (3.9±0.7); Sucker-widdl ratio 1:1.1–1.6 (1.4±0.1). Pre-
pharynx long and narrow, 100–500 (252±113) long, 10–80 (38
 \pm 17) wide. Pharynx pyriform, 200–370 (298±48) long, 80–260
(186±36) wide. Esophagus similar le pharynx long and narrow, 100–500 (252±115) long, 10–80 (38
 \pm 17) wide. Pharynx pyriform, 200–370 (298±48) long, 80–260

(186±36) wide. Esophagus similar length to pharynx, 120–550

(295±120) long, 30–80 (49±11) wide. I body. Caeca long, narrow, extending to posterior end of body, opening to the excretory vesicle to form uroproct.

Testes 2, ovoid, smooth, asymmetric (anterior testis slightly smaller than posterior testis), in tandem, in contact or slightly overlapping, located near posterior end of body; anterior testis Testes 2, ovoid, smooth, asymmetric (anterior testis slightly smaller than posterior testis), in *tandem*, in contact or slightly overlapping, located near posterior end of body; anterior testis 450–770 (575±89) long, 300– Festes 2, ovoid, sinooin, asymmetric (ainerior testis signity
smaller than posterior testis), in *tandem*, in contact or slightly
overlapping, located near posterior end of body; anterior testis
450–770 (575±89) long, 300– shianer than posterior testis), in *tandem*, in contact or slightly
overlapping, located near posterior end of body; anterior testis
450–770 (575±89) long, 300–650 (431±89) wide; posterior testis
470–860 (683±112) long, 31 450–770 (575±89) long, 300–650 (431±89) wide; posterior testis 470–860 (683±112) long, 310–630 (476±83); post-testicular region short, 220–650 (408±131) long. Cirrus-sac elongate and sinuous, 1,016–1,870 (1,358±230) longi 470–860 (683±112) long, 310–630 (476±83); post-testicular region
short, 220–650 (408±131) long. Cirrus-sac elongate and sinuous,
1,016–1,870 (1,358±230) longitudinal extent, 120–230 (173±33)
wide. Seminal vesicle saccular long, 112–220 (158±31) wide. Pars prostatica narrower distally, lined with a nuclear cell-like bodies, surrounded by gland-cells, ²¹²–620 (419±124) long. Ejaculatory duct similar in length to pars

Figure 2. Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. from Syacium papillosum. A: entire ventral view of holotype; B: Oral sucker without circumoral spines; C: terminal genitalia.

Figure 3. Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. seen from scanning electron microscopy (SEM). a) ventral view; b) forebody showing the area with tegumental spines (yellow arrowhead); c) oral sucker showing pits of circumoral spines (blue arrowhead); d) oral sucker and neck showing pits of circumoral and tegumental spines (blue and yellow arrowhead, respectively); e) pits of circumoral spines (blue arrowhead); f) terminal posterior end of the body without tegumental spines.

prostatica, presents closely packed small cupolas, with round bases arrownead, respectively); e) pits or circlimoral spines (blue arrownead); 1) terminal
posterior end of the body without tegumental spines.
prostatica, presents closely packed small cupolas, with round bases
seen as circles atrium elongated, behind and reaching anterior half of ventral prostatica, presents closely packed small cupolas, with round bases
seen as circles on wall of duct, 350–740 (454±103) long. Genital
atrium elongated, behind and reaching anterior half of ventral
sucker, 76–460 (145±114) l in midline of body, at anterior margin of ventral sucker ([Figures 2c](#page-2-1) and [3b\)](#page-3-0). Ovary oval, entire, located in last third of body and well sucker, 76–460 (145±114) long (Figure 2c). Genital pore slit-like
in midline of body, at anterior margin of ventral sucker (Figures 2c
and 3b). Ovary oval, entire, located in last third of body and well
separated from ante (248±65) wide. Mehlis' gland and pore of Laurer's canal not (248±65) wide. Mennis giand and pore of Laurer's canal not observed. Uterus narrow, intercaecal, extending between ventral sucker and ovary. Metraterm short, slightly longer than ejaculatory duct. Vitellaria formed by nume sucker and ovary. Metraterm short, slightly longer than ejaculatory duct. Vitellaria formed by numerous small follicles covering poshalf of cirrus-sac to body's posterior end; in 75% of specimens, arranged mainly in two lateral stripes, slightly confluent dorsally at uterus region, between ovary and anterior testis, and in posttesticular region, in remaining specimens (25%), vitellaria cover entire space, overlapping internal organs. Eggs ovoid, operculate, arranged manny in two lateral stripes, signity confuent dorsany at
tierus region, between ovary and anterior testis, and in post-
testicular region, in remaining specimens (25%), vitellaria cover
entire space, overlapping 60–80 (66±7) long, 40–60 (45±6) wide. Excretory vesicle tubular $1,150-2,250$ ($1,588\pm285$) long; excretory pore terminal.

Taxonomic summary

Type host. Syacium papillosum (Linnaeus, 1758), Paralichthydae; dusky flounder

Site. Stomach and intestine

Type locality. Southern Gulf of Mexico, Yucatan Peninsula shelf.

Type material. Holotype CNHE No. 11669; 5 paratype CNHE No.11670; and 7 paratype CHCM No.586, 667, 667.2, 668, 668.2, 669, and 669.2.

Etymology. the name of minankisi comes from the Mayan term. Mina'an = without or these is not, and $Ki'ix = spine$, to say a Stephanostomum without spines.

Synonym. Stephanostomum sp.7 (in Vidal-Martínez et al. [2019](#page-8-8)). Zoobank Life Science Identifier: urn:lsid:zoobank.org: pub:889DD7F4-7DE1-45EC-8F51-C76830C8A53A

Representative DNA sequences: 28S rDNA (OQ867997- OQ867998-OQ867999)

Remarks

Although oral spines were not observed in the studied specimens, the presence of pits in the oral sucker allows us to infer that Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. does have two rows of oral spines, which have been lost for reasons that are still unknown. Therefore, the presence of a double row of oral spines allowed us to identify the trematodes as part of the genus Stephanostomum, in addition to the presence of other morphological characters such as terminal oral sucker, body elongated (not particularly extensile), and ventral sucker in the first third of the body.

Considering as taxonomic data the number of circumoral spines and according to our records, only three species have a similar circumoral spine number [\(Supplementary Table S1](http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000263)): S. baccatum described by Wolfgang [\(1955\)](#page-8-9), parasitizing Atlantic halibut Hip-Considering as taxonomic data the number of circumoral spines
and according to our records, only three species have a similar
circumoral spine number (Supplementary Table S1): S. *baccatum*
described by Wolfgang (1955), pa μm long), covered full of spines, esophagus very short, vitellaria may extend posteriorly to posterior margin of ventral sucker to slightly posterior to the cirrus-sac, ovary well separated from anterior testis, and uroproct absent; S. lineatum, originally described by Manter ([1934\)](#page-7-14), parasitizing deep-sea fishes, 'shortbeard codling' Laemonema barbatulum, and fishes of the genus Urophycis charsinghtly posterior to the cirrus-sac, ovary wen separated from anter-
ior testis, and uroproct absent; *S. lineatum*, originally described by
Manter (1934), parasitizing deep-sea fishes, 'shortbeard codling'
Laemonema ba wide), prepharynx much longer than pharynx, genital atrium spined, seminal vesicle bipartite, cirrus spined, ovary and testis widely separated from each other by vitelline follicles, the testes elongate-oval, post-testicular space very long and metraterm spined; and S. promicropsi first described by Manter ([1947\)](#page-7-15), parasitizing Jewfish Epinephelus itajara (Syn. Promicrops itaira) in Tortugas, Florida which presents a sucker ratio 1: 2, prepharynx longer than pharynx, and esophagus short, vitellaria at level of the posterior margin of ventral sucker, testes elongated and widely separated from each other by vitelline follicles. S. minankisi n. sp. differs from the mentioned species in having a more robust body, forebody spined and rest of the body without spines, sucker ratio 1:1.3 (1.1–1.5), seminal vesicle non-bipartite, testes rounded and continuous (in some overlapping), vitellaria at level of the posterior cirrus-sac and uroproct present.

In comparison with other Stephanostomum species described in the Yucatan Peninsula [\(Supplementary Table S1](http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000263)): S. casum parasitizing grey snapper Lutjanus griseus (Argáez-García et al. [2010\)](#page-7-2) has the body strongly spined, oral sucker funnel-shape with 37 circumoral spines distributed in two rows of spines (19 spines in the cumoral spines distributed in two rows of spines (19 spines in the upper row and 18 in the lower one), vitellaria from the posterior margin of ventral sucker, uroproct absent; *S. dentatum* from red grouper *Epinephelus m* margin of ventral sucker, uroproct absent; S. dentatum from red grouper Epinephelus morio recorded by Aguirre-Macedo and Bray circumoral spines, testes and ovary are small and slightly equal in size, vitellaria follicular from posterior border of ventral sucker, uroproct absent; S. ghanensis reported in the Florida pompano

Trachinotus carolinus (Sánchez-Ramírez & Vidal-Martínez [2002](#page-8-3)) is smaller (1,950 μm), with tegument spined to posterior level of Trachinotus carolinus (Sánchez-Ramírez & Vidal-Martínez 2002)
is smaller (1,950 µm), with tegument spined to posterior level of
testis, with 34 circumoral spines, sucker–width ratio 1:1.08, prepharynx longer than pharynx, ovary and anterior testes in contact; finally, S. trompeteri parasitizing Cornetfish Fistularia tobacaria (Zhukov [1983\)](#page-8-1) from Campeche contains 36 circum-oral spines, (*Zhukov 1985)* from Campeche contains 56 circum-oral spines, body fully spined, suckers of nearly equal size (sucker ratio 1:1.09). In contrast, *S. minankisi* n. sp. has 52 circumoral spines and the tegument spined only In contrast, S. minankisi n. sp. has 52 circumoral spines and the tegument spined only in the forebody, prepharynx, pharynx and the ovary, in contact, uroproct present and vitellaria anteriorly reaching the posterior half of the cirrus-sac.

To date, only S. casum (Syn. S. casus) and S. dentatum have been reported with spines lost, referred to as spines evanescent by Linton ([1910;](#page-7-3) [1940](#page-7-4)). S. casum was recorded from Epinephelus striatus, Lutjanus griseus, L. analis, and Ocyurus from Dry Tortugas
Lutjanus griseus, L. analis, and Ocyurus from Dry Tortugas
(Linton 1910). In contrast with our specimens, this species is
characterized by the presence of 36 circu (Linton [1910\)](#page-7-3). In contrast with our specimens, this species is characterized by the presence of 36 circumoral spines, a sucker to the middle of the length of the ventral sucker, and the ovary and testis large and continuous. In the case of S. dentatum, it was recovered from the summer flounder Paralichthys dentatus in Woods Hole (Linton [1940](#page-7-4)). This species has a small body size (2,302 μm) covered entirely with spines, 54 circumoral spines, the testes begin in the middle of the total of the body, and the vitelline follicles extend to mid-level of the ventral sucker. We include in the comparison S. tantabiddii, described by Bray and Crib [\(2004](#page-7-17)), comparison 3. *uniabidali*, described by Bray and Cfib (2004), parasitizing yellowspotted trevally *Carangoides fulvoguttatus* from Western Australia because it resulted nested in the phylogenetic analysis (Figure 4). I Western Australia because it resulted nested in the phylogenetic analysis [\(Figure 4](#page-4-0)). In this case, the species was characterized by having an average of circumoral spines of 42 (38–45), a body very elongate (12,230–14,874 μ m) with the tegument spined to the level of posterior testis, the testes being well separated, the pars prostatica longer than ejaculatory duct, the ovary well separated from anterior testis, the vitellaria follicular from posterior border of cirrus-sac to the posterior end of the body. Our new species differs from those mentioned above by presenting a body size medium (5,519 μm) and wide, with tegumental spines only in the forebody, 52 circumoral

Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree of the 28S gene showing the relationships of Stephanostomum minankisin. sp. and the other species of the genus that were found parasitizing Syacium papillosum and that were sequenced in this study (framed in purple rectangles). The numbers in bold type represent the new sequenced specimens. The tree represents the single best tree obtained from maximum likelihood analysis. Numbers near internal nodes indicate bootstrap support values. ** is the accession number that belongs to the species identified as Stephanostomum sp. 7 by Vidal-Martinez et al. (2019).

spines, pars prostatica and ejaculatory duct similar in length, ovary slightly separated from anterior testy, but testis in contact or overlapping.

Metacercariae. Stephanostomum sp. 4

overlapping.
M<mark>etacercariae. Stephanostomum s*p. 4*
Description. Cyst spherical, 366–413 in diameter (n = 2). Body</mark> Metacercariae. Stephanostomum s*p. 4*
Description. Cyst spherical, 366–413 in diameter (n = 2). Body
clavate, 1,652–2,706 (1,986±247) long, maximum width at poster-Metacercariae. Stephanostomum sp. 4
Description. Cyst spherical, 366–413 in diameter (n = 2). Body
clavate, 1,652–2,706 (1,986±247) long, maximum width at poster-
ior part of body 268–669 (465±14) [\(Supplementary Figure S1](http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000263)) Description. Cyst spherical, 366–413 in diameter (n = 2). Body
clavate, 1,652–2,706 (1,986±247) long, maximum width at poster-
ior part of body 268–669 (465±14) (Supplementary Figure S1).
Forebody 647–942 (792±103) long. T spines in forebody. Two eye-spots present. Oral sucker funnelclavate, 1,632–2,706 (1,986±247) long, maximum width at poster-
ior part of body 268–669 (465±14) (Supplementary Figure S1).
Forebody 647–942 (792±103) long. Tegument slightly covered with
spines in forebody. Two eye-spot for part of body 268–669 (463±14) (supplementary rigure 31).
Forebody 647–942 (792±103) long. Tegument slightly covered with
spines in forebody. Two eye-spots present. Oral sucker funnel-
shape, 102–152 (130±25) long, 130 Forebody $647-942$ (192±105) long, 1egument siightly covered with
spines in forebody. Two eye-spots present. Oral sucker funnel-
shape, 102–152 (130±25) long, 130–206 (167±25) wide, with
double row of 21 spines, 23–25 (24 spines in lorebody. 1wo eye-spots present. Oral sucker lunnel-
shape, 102–152 (130±25) long, 130–206 (167±25) wide, with
double row of 21 spines, 23–25 (24±1) spine long. Ventral sucker
141–193 (167±27) long, 116–180 (150± snape, 102–132 (130±23) long, 130–206 (167±23) wide, with
double row of 21 spines, 23–25 (24±1) spine long. Ventral sucker
141–193 (167±27) long, 116–180 (150±32) wide, in middle of body
length. Sucker ratio 1: 1–1.10 (1.0 wide. Oesophagus very short or absent. Caeca narrow extending to posterior end of the body. Caeca bifurcation just preacetabular. Genital primordia barely developed. Two testis median, in tandem, (580±115) long, Pharynx 60–155 (94±27) long, 50–65 (46±15)
wide. Oesophagus very short or absent. Caeca narrow extending
to posterior end of the body. Caeca bifurcation just preacetabular.
Genital primordia barely develope wide. Oesophagus very short of actor
to posterior end of the body. Caeca
Genital primordia barely developed.
 $60-90$ (75±15) long, 20–45 (28±10)
45 (40±5) long, 35–50 (43±8) wide.

Taxonomic summary

Host. Syacium papillosum (Linnaeus, 1758), Paralichthydae; dusky flounder

Site. Encysted in gill base, intestine, and muscle.

Locality. Southern Gulf of Mexico, Yucatan Peninsula shelf. Material. CNHE 11076.

Representative DNA sequences: 28S rDNA (OQ868000- OQ868001)

Phylogenetic affinities and genetic distances

A total of five new 28S DNA sequences were obtained, three belonging to the new species and two from specimens identified as Stephanostomum sp. 4 (metacercariae from S. papillosum). Sequence A total of five new 28S DNA sequences were obtained, three belong-
ing to the new species and two from specimens identified as *Stepha-*
nostomum sp. 4 (metacercariae from *S. papillosum*). Sequence
lengths were 1,275 bp results indicated that the four specimens of the new species have a percentage of identity with Stephanostomum sp. 1 (metacercariae from S. papillosum) and adults of S. tantabiddii of 99.51% and 99.19%, respectively. The aligned data set used for the phylogenetic analysis was 1,400 bp long and had a representation of 39 taxa. The nucleotide frequencies for this data set were: $A = 0.213$, $C = 0.222$, $G =$ 0.323 , T = 0.242 . The ML tree had a likelihood value of -6,179.20. The phylogenetic tree showed two non-monophyletic groups at two different taxonomic levels. On the one hand, at the family level, Acanthocolpidae was not monophyletic because Brachycladiidae species nested within it. On the other hand, at the genus level, Monostephanostomum and Pseudolepidapedon species nested within the Stephanostomum clade, so this last genus also appears as nonmonophyletic [\(Figure 4\)](#page-4-0). The phylogenetic tree showed that the three species found as parasites of dusky flounder were grouped into two different clades. On the one hand, Stephanostomum sp. 4 was grouped with a specimen identified as Acanthocolpidae VII with low values of bootstrap support (Bt = 18). On the other hand, S. minankisi n. sp. was the sister species of Stephanostomum sp. 1 $(Bt = 56)$ and formed a clade with *S. tantabiddii* supported by high bootstrap values ($Bt = 100$). The latter species was found as an adult parasite in the intestine of the fish Carangoides fulvoguttatus in Ningaloo, Australia [\(Figure 4](#page-4-0)). It is important to note that although S. minankisi n. sp., Stephanostomum sp. 1 and Stephanostomum sp. 4

are parasites in the dusky flounder, only the new species we are describing here is parasitic as an adult in the digestive system of the fish. In contrast, the other two species of Stephanostomum are found as metacercariae, encysted in organs such as muscle and fins. Regarding genetic distances, the new species has very low percentages of divergence with its congeneric species: 0.49% (nt = 3) from Stephanostomum sp. 1 and 0.55% ($nt = 7$) from *S. tantabiddii*. Additionally, it has a 5.35 % (nt = 68) difference from Stephanostomum sp. 4, and finally, from the rest of the species of the genus Stephanostomum, the genetic difference ranged from 4.48 to 8.82% (57 to 112 nt) ([Table 2\)](#page-6-0).

Discussion

Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. is identified and described as new species using morphological and molecular data. These specimens were first considered as species belonging to Acanthocolpus, due to the absence of oral spines and the poor visibility of tegumental spines [\(Figure 2a\)](#page-2-1). Madhavi and Bray ([2018](#page-7-1)) mentioned that a characteristic that separates Acanthocolpus from Stephanostomum is the absence of circumoral spines for members of the Acanthocolpus and the presence of a double row of circumoral spines for those of Stephanostomum. Data obtained from SEM revealed that our material indeed belongs to the genus Stephanostomum, as the presence of pits in the oral sucker and a fine spination of the tegument were observed ([Figure 3b](#page-3-0)–[e](#page-3-0)). Moreover, molecular analysis determined that, despite the absence of circumoral spines, our specimens belonged to the genus Stephanostomum and that it was related to S. tantabiddii ([Figure 4](#page-4-0)). The presence of pits indicates that our specimens at some point had a double row of circumoral spine that may consistently be lost during the parasite manipulation. The SEM treatment of the sample allowed us not only to see the pits but to clearly determine the number of circumoral spines lost (52) in the specimens of S. minankisi n. sp. ([Figure 3\)](#page-3-0).

The loss of circumoral spines in members of the genus Stephanostomum spp. has been poorly documented and mentioned only by Linton a couple of times. The first was when he described S. casum (Syn. S. casus) from Dry Tortugas, where he mentions that the specimen did not present spines, which he called spines evanescent (Linton [1910](#page-7-3)). Later, when collecting specimens of S. dentatum from Woods Hole, Massachusetts, he mentioned specimens with spines evanescent (Linton [1940](#page-7-4)). Since then, nothing on this matter has been mentioned for the rest of the species described for North America (Wolfgang [1955](#page-8-9); Overstreet et al. [2009\)](#page-7-2) or South America (Fischthal [1977](#page-7-5); Nahhas & Cable [1964;](#page-7-16) Kohn et al. [2007](#page-7-18)).

The loss of the circumoral and tegumental spines of our specimens may be due to the freezing and thawing of the fishes. Normally, when these fishes are captured, they spend several days frozen at -40 °C while they are transported from the sea to CIN-VESTAV, where they are stored at -20 °C. They are then thawed for identification, dissection, and extraction of Stephanostomum specimens. However, sometimes after fish identification, the fishes are frozen again for days or weeks until their parasitological examination. The idea of circumoral spines loss due to thawing and refreezing of fish specimens has not been documented for this genus. Still, it has been documented for other species such as echinostomes and heterophyids (Sepulveda & Kinsella [2013\)](#page-8-4); even the loss of tegumental spines in lepocreadiids can occur (Bray & Gibson [1991](#page-7-19)).

Concerning the phylogenetic position of the new species, we observed that S. minankisi n. sp. is the sister species of Stephanostomum sp. 1, which was found as a metacercaria in the same host

Table 2. Genetic distances in the 28S gene between Stephanostomum minankisi n. sp. and the other species of the genus Stephanostomum. Uncorrected p distance values are in percentages and the number of different nucleotides is in parentheses

and the same geographic region (Vidal-Martinez et al. 2019). Previously, it has been recorded that at least 7 species of Stephanostomum are parasitizing the same fish species as metacercaria (Vidal-Martínez et al. [2019](#page-8-8)), but unfortunately, molecular data are available only for metacercariae of Stephanostomum sp. 1. The fact that Stephanostomum sp. 1 appears as metacercariae in dusky flounder indicates that this species develops as an adult in the digestive tract of some other fish that feeds on this flatfish, whereas for the new species, the dusky flounder is the definitive host; therefore, the intermediate host of the new species is still unknown. Additionally, S. minankisi n. sp. plus Stephanostomum sp. 1 were grouped with S. tantabiddii that is distributed in Australia and parasitizes Carangoides fulvoguttatus (Carangidae). This fish belongs to a completely different fish order than the dusky flounders. The inferred genealogical relationships indicate that the

phylogenetic affinities of the new species do not obey either the geographic distribution because it was not grouped with sequenced species collected in the Gulf of Mexico (i.e. S. interruptum) or host specificity, as the species found in the dusky flounder were not grouped in a single clade ([Figure 4\)](#page-4-0). Finally, the definitive hosts of the sister species belong to a different fish order.

The genetic distances calculated between the species most closely related to S. minankisi were very low (0.49% with Stephanostomum sp. 1 and 0.55% with S. tantabiddii). There was also a low genetic difference (0.1%) with available sequences of S. interruptum and S. minutum collected from different hosts and in different regions of the world (in Menticirrhus americanus from the Gulf of Mexico and in Uranoscopus scaber from Corsica, respectively) (Bray et al. [2005](#page-7-20)). The low values observed may be the result of the 28S gene having a low rate of substitution in this group of parasites,

which is reflected in little variability. Although, in general, the 28S gene is a good marker to differentiate trematode species, it has been observed that it may have less variation with respect to other genes such as the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) or the cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 (cox 1), which are more variable due to a higher mutation rate (Vilas et al. [2015](#page-8-5)). Unfortunately, at the moment, there are not enough ITS and cox 1 sequences to make a good comparison or a complete phylogenetic analysis among the different species of Stephanostomum. In other groups of trematodes, new species have also been described when there are clear morphological differences even though the percentage of genetic difference is not greater than 1% in the 28S gene (Curran et al. [2013](#page-7-21); Kasl et al. [2014;](#page-7-22) Hernández-Mena et al. [2016](#page-7-23)). In our study, we found important morphological differences to support the description of a new species.

In conclusion, this new description increases the known diversity of digenean parasites of marine fishes in the area, expanding to five the species of Stephanostomum described for the Yucatan Peninsula and to 18 for the Gulf of Mexico [\(Supplementary Table S1](http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000263)). This is the first record of a species of Stephanostomum using flounder as definitive hosts, particularly parasitizing the intestine of dusky flounder S. papillosum in this area. To conclude, we emphasize that the differentiation of S. minankisi n sp. with the species already described is not only based on the absence of circumoral and tegumental spines, but also the description of this new species follows the path of integrative taxonomy since the identification is supported by morphological, host, genetic differences, and phylogenetic analysis.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000263>.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Gregory Arjona-Torrres and Francisco Puc-Itza for collecting the fish. We thank the staff of the Aquatic Pathology Laboratory, especially Clara Vivas-Rodríguez, Arturo Centeno-Chále, Francisco Puc-Itza, Nadia Herrera-Castillo, Efraín Sarabia-Eb, and German Lopez-Guerra for the parasitological examination of the fish. JGGT thanks Wendy Padron for her support with the photographs of the SEM and Zita Arriaga-Piñón for the advice in drawing making.

Financial support. This study has been supported by CONACYT and the Mexican Ministry of Energy through the Hydrocarbon Fund (project 201441). This is a contribution of the Gulf of Mexico Research Consortium (CIGoM). We acknowledge PEMEX´S specific request to the Hydrocarbon Found to address the environmental effects of oil spills in the Gulf of Mexico.

Competing interest. None.

Ethical standard. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional guides on the care and use of laboratory animals.

References

- Aguirre-Macedo ML and Bray R (1996) Some trematodes from Epinephelus morio (Pisces: Serranidae) from the Peninsula of Yucatan, Mexico. Studies of the Natural History of the Caribbean Region ⁷³, 1–23.
- Argáez-García N, Guillén-Hernández S, and Aguirre-Macedo ML (2010) Intestinal helminths of *Lutjanus griseus* (Perciformes: Lutjanidae) from three environments in Yucatán (Mexico), with a checklist of its parasites in the Gulf
of Mexico and Caribbean region. *Revista Mexicana de Biodivers* environments in Yucatán (Mexico), with a checklist of its parasites in the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean region. Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad 81,
- Bray R and Cribb TH (2004) Stephanostomum tantabiddii n. sp. (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from Carangoides fulvoguttatus (Forsskal, 1775) (Perciformes: Carangidae) from Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. Zootaxa ay **R** and
Acanthoo
(Percifor
457, 1–8.
- Bray RA, and Gibson DI (1991) The Lepocreadiidae (Digenea) of fishes from the north-east Atlantic: *Profundivermis intercalarius* n. g., n. sp. from
the marine fish *Coryphaenoides (Nematonurus) armatus* (Hector) (Macro-
uridae) from the Porcupine Abyssal Plain. *Systematic Parasitology* 18,
121 the marine fish *Coryphaenoides (Nematonurus) armatus* (Hector) (Macro-
uridae) from the Porcupine Abyssal Plain. Systematic Parasitology 18,
121–125.
Bray R (2005) Family Acanthocolpidae Lühe, 1906. pp. 603–620 in Jones uridae) from the Porcupine Abyssal Plain. Systematic Parasitology 18,
- Bray R, Gibson D (Eds), Keys to the Trematoda vol. 2. London, CABI Publishing Press.
- Bray R, Webster BL, Bartoli P, and Littlewood DTJ (2005) Relationships within the Acanthocolpidae Lühe, 1906 and their place among the Digenea. Publishing Press.
ay R, Webster BL, Bartoli P,
within the Acanthocolpidae Lüh
A*cta Parasitologica* 50, 281–291.
- Bush AO, Lafferty KD, Lotz JM, and Shostak AW (1997) Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. Journal of Parasitology 83 Acta Parasitologica 50, 281–291.
 sh AO, Lafferty KD, Lotz JM, a

ecology on its own terms: Margol

(4), 575–583.
- **Curran SS, Tkach V, and Overstreet RM** (2013) Molecular evidence for two cryptic species of *Homalometron* (Digenea: Apocreadiidae) in freshwater fishes of the Southeastern United States. *Comparative Parasitology* **80**, cryptic species of Homalometron (Digenea: Apocreadiidae) in freshwater fishes of the Southeastern United States. Comparative Parasitology 80, 186-195.
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, and Posada D (2012) jModel Test 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods ⁹, 1–772.
- Fischthal JH (1977) Some digenetic trematodes of marine fishes from the **irriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, and Posada D** (2012) jModel T
models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods*
sc**hthal JH** (1977) Some digenetic trematodes of marine fishe
barrier reef and reef lagoon of B
- García-Varela M and Nadler SA (2005) Phylogenetic relationships of Palaeacanthocephala (Acanthocephala) inferred from SSU and LSU rDNA gene barrier reef and reef lagoon of Belize. Zoologica S.
cráa-Varela M and Nadler SA (2005) Phylogenet
canthocephala (Acanthocephala) inferred from S
sequences. Journal of Parasitology **91**, 1401–1409.
- Hernández-Mena D, Lynggaard C, Mendoza-Garfias B, and Pérez-Ponce de León G (2016) A new species of Auriculostoma (Trematoda: Allocreadiidae) from the intestine of Brycon guatemalensis (Characiformes: Bryconidae) from the Usumacinta River basin, Mexico, based on morphology and 28S rDNA sequences, with a key to species of the genus. Zootaxa 4196, from the
from the
288 rDN
261–277.
- Kasl EL, Fayton TJ, Font WF, and Criscione CD (2014) Alloglossidium floridense n. sp. (Digenea: Macroderoididae) from a spring run in North 261–277.
 sl EL, Fayton TJ, Font WF, and Criscione CD
 floridense n. sp. (Digenea: Macroderoididae) from a

Central Florida. *Journal of Parasitology* 100, 121–126.
- Kohn A, Fernandes B, and Cohen SC (2007) South American trematodes parasites of fishes. 307 pp. Rio de Janeiro, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnologico, Fundacion Oswaldo Cruz Press.
1601 E (1910) Helminth fauna of the dry Tortugas. *II. Trematodes.* Carnegie Insti mento Cientifico e Tecnologico, Fundacion Oswaldo Cruz Press.
- Linton E (1910) Helminth fauna of the dry Tortugas. II. Trematodes. Carnegie
Institution of Washington IV, 67–74.
Linton E (1940) Trematodes from fishes mainly from the Woods Hole region.
Massachusetts. Proceedings of the
- Linton E (1940) Trematodes from fishes mainly from the Woods Hole region,
- Madhavi R and Bray R (2018) Digenetic trematodes of Indian marine fishes. 688
pp. Netherlands, Springer.
Manter HW (1934) Some digenetic trematodes from deep-water fish of Tortugas, Florida. Papers from the Tortugas Labora pp. Netherlands, Springer.
- Manter HW (1934) Some digenetic trematodes from deep-water fish of Tortu-**The HW (1934)** Some digenetic trematodes from deep-water fish gas, Florida. *Papers from the Tortugas Laboratory* **16**, 258–376.
 nnter HW (1947) The digenetic trematodes of marine fishes of Telorida. *The American Midl*
- Manter HW (1947) The digenetic trematodes of marine fishes of Tortugas,
- Martínez-Aquino A, García-Teh JG, Ceccarelli FS, Aguilar-Aguilar R, Vidal-Martínez VM, and Aguirre-Macedo ML (2020) New morphological and molecular data for Xystretrum solidum (Gorgoderidae, Gorgoderinae) from Sphoeroides testudineus (Tetraodontiformes, Tetraodontidae) in Mexican Martínez VM, and Aguirre-I
molecular data for *Xystretrum*
Sphoeroides testudineus (Tetr.
waters. ZooKeys **925**, 141–161.
- Nadler SA and Hudspeth DSS (1998) Ribosomal DNA and phylogeny of the Ascaridoidea (Nemata: Secernentea): Implications for morphological evoluwaters. ZooKeys 925, 141–161.
 dler SA and Hudspeth DSS (1998) Ribosomal DNA and phylogeny of th

Ascaridoidea (Nemata: Secernentea): Implications for morphological evolution

tion and classification. *Molecular Phylogen*
- Nahhas FM and Cable RM (1964) Digenetic and aspidogastrid trematodes from marine fishes of Curacao and Jamaica. *Tulane studies in zoology and botany*
11, 169–232.
verstreet RM, Cook JO, and Heard RW (2009) Trematoda (Platyhelminthes)
of the Gulf of Mexico. pp. 419–486 in Felder DL and Camp DK (tion and clas
 hhas FM and

marine fishe
 11, 169–232.
- Overstreet RM, Cook JO, and Heard RW (2009) Trematoda (Platyhelminthes) Mexico origins, waters, and biota: Biodiversity vol. 1. Texas, Texas A&M University Press. Mexico origins, waters, and biota: Biodive:
University Press.
dial JM, Miralles A, De la Riva I, and Vence
of taxonomy. Frontiers in Zoology **14**, 7–16.
- Padial JM, Miralles A, De la Riva I, and Vences M (2010) The integrative future dial JM, Miralles A, De la Riva I, and Vences M (2010) The integrative fut of taxonomy. *Frontiers in Zoology* 14, 7–16.
nte E, Schoelinck C, and Puillandre N (2015) From integrative taxonomy
species description: One step
- Pante E, Schoelinck C, and Puillandre N (2015) From integrative taxonomy to
- Rambaut A (2006) FigTree v1.3.1. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh.
- Sánchez-Ramírez C and Vidal-Martínez VM (2002) Metazoan parasite infracommunities of Florida pompano (Trachinotus carolinus) from the coast of nchez-Ramírez C and Vidal-Martínez VM (2002) Metazoan parasite infr
communities of Florida pompano (*Trachinotus carolinus*) from the coast
the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. *Journal of Parasitology* 88(6), 1087–1094.
- Sepulveda MS and Kinsella JM (2013) Helminth collection and identification from wildlife. Journal of Visualized Experiments 82, e51000.
- Soler-Jiménes LC, Hernández-Mena DI, Centeno-Chalé OA, and Vidal-Martínez VM (2021) A new species of Neoheterobothrium Price,1943 (Monogenea, Diclidophoridae) from Syacium papillosum (Linnaeus) (Pleuronectiformes, Paralichthyidae) in the Yucatan Shelf, with notes on the validity of the subfinez VM (2021) A new species of *Neoheterobothrium* Price,1943 (Mc Diclidophoridae) from *Syacium papillosum* (Linnaeus) (Pleuronec Paralichthyidae) in the Yucatan Shelf, with notes on the validity of families in the Dicl
- Stamatakis A (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum likelihood- based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22, families in t
matakis A
etic analyse
2688–2690.
- Stock SP, Campbell JF, and Nadler SA (2001) Phylogeny of Steinerma Travassos, 1927 (Cephalobina: Steinermatidae) inferred from ribosomal DNA 2688–2690.
 ock SP, Campbell JF, and Nadler SA (2001) Phylogeny of *Steinerma* Tra-

vassos, 1927 (Cephalobina: Steinermatidae) inferred from ribosomal DNA

sequences and morphological characters. *Journal of Parasitolog*
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, and Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and sequences and morpholog
 mura K, Stecher G, Pete

Molecular evolutionary g

Evolution **30,** 2725–2729.
- Thompson JD, Higgins HG, and Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTALW: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Aci the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic
- Vega-Cendejas ME and de Santillana MH (2019) Demersal fish assemblages and their diversity on the Yucatan continental shelf: Gulf of Mexico. Regional Studies in Marine Science 29, 100640.
- Vidal-Martínez VM, Aguirre-Macedo ML, Scholz T, González-Solís D, and Mendoza-Franco ÉF (2002) Atlas of the helminth parasites of cichlid fish of Mexico. 1st edn. 165 pp. Czech Republic, Academia Press.
- Vidal-Martínez VM, Velázquez-Abunader I, Centeno-Chalé OA, May-Tec AL, Soler-Jiménez LC, Pech D, Mariño-Tapia I, Enriquez C, Zapata-Pérez O, Herrera-Silveira J, Hernández-Mena D, Herzka S, Ordoñez-López U, and Aguirre-Macedo ML (2019) Metazoan parasite infracommunities of the dusky flounder (Syacium papillosum) as bioindicators of environmental conditions in the continental shelf of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. Para**and Aguirre-Macedo ML** (20
dusky flounder (*Syacium pa*
conditions in the continental
sites & *Vectors* **12(277)**, 1–18.
- Vilas R, Criscione CD, and Blouin MS (2005) A comparison between mitochondrial DNA and the ribosomal internal transcribed regions in prospecting for cryptic species of platyhelminth parasites. Parasitology las R, Criscie
mitochondria
prospecting f
131, 839–846. prospecting for cryptic species of platyhelminth parasites. *Parasitolo*, 131, 839–846.
 olfgang RW (1955) Studies of the trematode *Stephanostomum baccatu*

(Nicoll, 1907). III. Its life cycle. *Canadian Journal of Zool*
- Wolfgang RW (1955) Studies of the trematode Stephanostomum baccatum
- World Register of Marine Species (2022) WoRMS. Retrieved February 17, 2022, from <http://www.mariespecies.org> orld Register of Marine Species (2022) WoRMS. Retrie
from http://www.mariespecies.org
ukov E (1983). New representatives of the fauna of trer
of the Gulf of Mexico. *Parazitologiya* **2(17**), 112–117.
- Zhukov E (1983). New representatives of the fauna of trematodes from the fishes