

1 **Exploring preference for delivery methods for a Psychosocial Intervention for Prenatal**
2 **Anxiety: A Qualitative Study from a Tertiary Care Hospital in Pakistan**

3

4 **Maria Atiq¹, Huma Nazir¹, Atif Rahman^{1,2}, Abid Malik^{1,3}, Najia Atif^{1*}, Pamela J. Surkan^{4**}**

5

6

7 ¹. Human Development Research Foundation, Gujar Kan, Pakistan

8 ². Institute of Population Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

9 ³. Public Mental Health Department, Health Services Academy, Islamabad

10 ⁴. Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public
11 Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

12

13

14 ***Joint last author**

15

16

17 ****Corresponding author**

18

19 **Pamela J Surkan**

20 Department of International Health

21 Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

22 **Email:** psurkan@jhu.edu

1

This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI.

10.1017/gmh.2024.59

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

1

2

3

4

5 **Source of support:** Human Development Research Foundation, Gujar Khan, Pakistan.

6 **Trial registration number:** NCT03880032.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Objective:** This qualitative study explores therapists and participants' preferences for delivery
3 methods (face-to-face and phone sessions) of a Cognitive Behavioral Therapy based psychosocial
4 intervention for prenatal anxiety delivered in tertiary care hospital.

5 **Setting:** The research was conducted in a randomized controlled trial in Pakistan, where a shift
6 from face-to-face to phone-based therapy occurred during COVID-19 pandemic.

7 **Participants:** Twenty in-depth interviews and a focus group discussion were conducted with
8 participants and therapists respectively. Transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis.

9 **Results:** Participants generally preferred face-to-face sessions for rapport building,
10 communication, and comprehension. However, barriers like venue accessibility, childcare, and
11 lack of family support hindered engagement. Telephone sessions were favored for easy scheduling
12 and comfort of receiving the session at home, but there were challenges associated with the phone,
13 short attention spans, and limited mental health awareness. A mix of face-to-face and telephone
14 sessions was preferred, with rapport from in-person sessions carrying over to telephone
15 interactions.

16 **Conclusion:** This study underscores the need for adaptable intervention delivery strategies that
17 consider cultural norms, logistical challenges, and individual family dynamics. By combining the
18 benefits of both delivery methods, mental health interventions can be optimized to effectively
19 address prenatal anxiety and promote well-being in resource-constrained settings like Pakistan.

20 **Keywords:** Prenatal anxiety, Delivery methods, COVID-19 pandemic, Family support, Logistical
21 challenges

22

23 **Impact Statement**

24 This study sheds light on the crucial considerations surrounding the delivery methods of
25 psychosocial interventions, i.e., face-to-face vs. phone-based, in settings where mental health
26 facilities are scarce or not easily accessible. The findings emphasize the importance of adaptable
27 intervention delivery strategies that take into account cultural norms, logistical challenges, and
28 family dynamics.

29

30

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Traditionally, psychotherapies and counseling have been conducted through face-to-face
3 interactions (Zeren 2015). However, the global coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic
4 necessitated a rapid transition to online therapy, with little time for many therapists to prepare
5 (Békés and Aafjes-van Doorn 2020). Remote delivery of psychotherapy has been advocated as an
6 alternative to enhance accessibility to psychological treatments (Barceló-Soler et al. 2023). The
7 Swedish Council on Health Technology Assessment highlights that online therapy is cost- and
8 time-efficient (Bengtsson 2014), offering a convenient means to reach individuals who may either
9 avoid or face difficulties in receiving treatment at a clinic (Gratzer and Khalid-Khan 2016).
10 Nevertheless, online therapy poses several challenges in its implementation, including difficulties
11 in interpreting facial expressions or body language, effectively responding to crisis situations,
12 maintaining confidentiality (Kotera et al. 2021), and in reaching individuals with limited access to
13 telephones or computers (Bengtsson 2014).

14 An international longitudinal survey of 1,257 therapists conducted during the transition from in-
15 person to online therapy at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as three months later,
16 identified four types of challenges (Békés and Aafjes-van Doorn 2020). Firstly, there were
17 challenges related to emotional connection, wherein therapists faced difficulties in establishing a
18 sense of connection with patients, accurately understanding their emotions, and expressing or
19 feeling empathy. Secondly, distractions were found to be a problem, with therapists and patients
20 becoming sidetracked during therapy sessions. Thirdly, privacy concerns arose, including issues
21 such as finding a suitable private space for therapy. Lastly, therapists experienced difficulties in
22 maintaining professional boundaries and establishing a conducive online workspace. Qualitative
23 studies have yielded mixed findings (Kotera et al. 2021), with some clients perceiving online
24 therapy as impersonal, lacking authenticity, and creating barriers to establishing a therapeutic
25 alliance. These experiences may also be influenced by cultural norms and the recipients'
26 socioeconomic status, indicating that the online delivery of psychotherapy is a multifaceted and
27 intricate process.

28 Perinatal anxiety poses a notable challenge in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs),
29 affecting one out of every four women either during pregnancy or in the postpartum period. The
30 pooled estimates of anxiety symptoms in LMICs is 29.2% during the antenatal period and 24.4%

1 during the postnatal period (Nielsen-Scott et al. 2022). The Happy Mother-Healthy Baby study
2 specifically addresses the issue of prenatal anxiety in low- and middle-income countries,
3 emphasizing the necessity for targeted treatment. Levels of prenatal anxiety and postnatal
4 depression are very high in Pakistan, making it an ideal setting for such a study. Also,
5 specialized mental health professionals are scarce, suggesting the need for effective programs
6 that can be implemented by non-specialist providers (Surkan et al. 2020). Therefore, recognizing
7 the importance of mental health care during pregnancy, especially in this region, we conducted a
8 phase 3, two-arm, single-blind, randomized controlled trial (RCT) in Pakistan with non-
9 specialized providers. This anxiety-focused early prenatal preventive intervention was effective
10 in reducing the prevalence of postnatal Common Mental Disorders (CMDs) (Surkan et al. 2024).
11 This research is vital for advancing interventions that can alleviate the burden of mental health
12 challenges in the perinatal period in resource-constrained settings. In Pakistan, the closure of
13 hospital outpatient departments due to the COVID-19 pandemic prompted a shift from face-to-
14 face to phone-based intervention delivery in the Happy Mother Healthy Baby study.

15
16 To date, no research has been conducted to compare the experiences of online and face-to-face
17 therapy in Pakistan, where a massive mental health treatment gap exists due to a scarcity of mental
18 health professionals and facilities (Jacob et al. 2007). Conducting such studies can provide
19 valuable insights into leveraging existing resources effectively to address this gap.

20
21 This transition provided us with a unique opportunity to conduct an in-depth investigation into
22 the experiences of both research therapists and participants who encountered both face-to-face and
23 phone therapy, primarily among a low-income population of pregnant women in Pakistan.
24 Consequently, this study aims to explore the experiences associated with the delivery and receipt
25 of a CBT-based treatment for prenatal anxiety using both phone-based and face-to-face methods.

26 ***1.0.METHODS***

1 ***1.1. Research Design:***

2 Qualitative research design was used in this study as it allowed the researchers to explore in-depth
3 experiences, behaviors, and perspectives of participants and to generate insights that can inform
4 theory, practice, policy, and increases the credibility of the data.

5 ***1.2. Settings and Participants***

6 This study was nested within a randomized controlled trial that was conducted at a tertiary care
7 hospital in Rawalpindi, Pakistan. It aimed to evaluate a psychosocial intervention called Happy
8 Mother - Healthy Baby (HMHB) for prenatal anxiety. The trial took place from April 16, 2019 to
9 October 7, 2022, and was registered with the US National Library of Medicine (clinicaltrials.gov
10 identifier NCT03880032) (Surkan et al. 2020). Participants were recruited from the Obstetrics and
11 Gynecology Department of a large public hospital. Participant inclusion criteria included: ≤ 22
12 weeks of gestation, aged ≥ 18 years, residing within a 20 km radius of the study hospital with the
13 intention to remain in the area until the study's completion, ability to understand spoken Urdu, and
14 a score of ≥ 8 on the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (Zigmond and Snaith 1983).
15 Exclusion criteria included a diagnosis of depression according to the Structured Clinical Interview
16 for DSM Disorders (SCID) (First and Gibbon 2004) (Gorman et al. 2004).

17 Participants in this qualitative study were purposively recruited between March 2021 and August
18 2021, from the arm that received the intervention. We sampled participants in order to achieve
19 variation on the number of sessions they received in-person and over the telephone, as well as their
20 age, education, and number of children. All participants in this study received at least the first
21 session in-person. After sampling, the participants were contacted over the phone to inquire if
22 they were interested in participating in an interview. Informed consent was obtained upon
23 receiving a positive response, prior to conducting the interview. Initially, all participants recruited,
24 received face-to-face sessions. However, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, lockdown
25 measures were implemented on March 24, 2020 and lasted until August 17, 2020, leading to the
26 closure of hospital outpatient departments (Anadolu Agency 2020). During the lockdown period,
27 all the sessions were conducted over telephone. After the lockdown, as the screening resumed,
28 existing and new participants were given the option to receive sessions either face-to-face or by

- 1 telephone. This decision was made to address participants' ongoing apprehension about coming to
 2 hospital due to COVID-19, even after the official lockdowns were over.
- 3 Detailed participant demographic information and the number of sessions delivered through each
 4 modality can be found in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics of the participants						
Reference number	Age	Years of schooling	Number of children	Family Structure	Core sessions received in-person (out of six possible)	Core sessions received over the phone (out of six possible)
MO1	34	12	4	Nuclear	1	5
MO2	26	12	2	Nuclear	4	2
M03	24	14	2	Joint	4	2
M04	20	10	1	Joint	1	2
M05	25	12	3	Nuclear	5	1
M06	26	10	3	Nuclear	4	2
M07	43	14	7	Joint	2	4
M08	33	8	1	Joint	5	1
M09	42	8	3	Nuclear	3	3
M10	37	5	1	Joint	3	3
M11	27	4	2	Joint	3	1
M12	23	12	2	Joint	3	3
M13	29	10	3	Nuclear	4	1
M14	28	0	3	Joint	5	1
M15	30	8	4	Nuclear	4	2
M16	26	10	2	Joint	5	1

M17	27	0	3	Nuclear	5	1
M18	34	10	5	Nuclear	3	3
M19	30	5	3	Nuclear	3	3
M20	24	10	1	Joint	5	1

1

2 **1.3. Data Collection**

3 Data were collected from trial participants in the intervention arm and the research therapists
4 who delivered the intervention. Research therapists were non-specialist providers with a two-
5 year bachelor's degree and two-year master's degree in psychology and no clinical experience.
6 They were trained in delivery of the HMHB by the mental health specialist (NA). The data
7 collection included in-depth interviews (IDIs) with 20 women and a focus group discussion
8 (FGD) with seven therapists. Out of the 20 IDIs, 11 were conducted face-to-face, while nine
9 were carried out over the phone. A topic guide was developed, pilot-tested with two women, and
10 revised based on the feedback received. The interviews were scheduled at times that were
11 convenient for the participants, and measures were taken to ensure privacy and confidentiality.
12 The IDIs were conducted by two research associates who had prior experience in collecting
13 qualitative data. Face to face interviews took place in a private room in the department of
14 Obstetrics and Gynecology at Holy Family Hospital and lasted between 40-60 minutes. During
15 face-to-face interviews, specifically, strict protocols were implemented to ensure that only the
16 participant, note-taker, or interviewer was present in the room during data collection. This setup
17 was intentionally designed to create a secure environment, fostering a sense of safety for
18 participants to respond and speak freely without hesitation. Prior to conducting telephone
19 interviews, the interviewers received specific training for conducting interviews over the phone,
20 focusing on the importance of addressing participants' privacy concerns and implementing
21 mitigation strategies when necessary. These strategies included advising interviewers to guide
22 the conversation away from sensitive topics or disconnecting the call if they felt a participants'
23 privacy was compromised. Additionally, prior to initiating each interview, interviewers reiterated
24 the confidentiality policy. Notably, interviewers were trained to promptly cease the interview if a

1 participant was at risk of self-harm or posing harm to others, followed by conducting a risk
2 assessment.

3 To safeguard the data, audio recorded files were stored on secured computers, and hard data
4 related to participants or interview transcripts were kept in a locked cabinet. Only authorized
5 personnel from the research team had access to the data.

6

1 ***1.4. Content of the HMHB intervention***

2 HMHB is a CBT-based psychosocial intervention aimed to treat prenatal anxiety (Atif et
3 al. 2020). It was adapted from the Thinking Healthy Program (THP), an evidence-based
4 psychosocial intervention for mothers experiencing perinatal depression (Rahman et al. 2008). It
5 consists of six core sessions and up to six additional booster sessions, which are conducted
6 individually by a trained research therapist under the supervision of a mental health specialist. The
7 first five core sessions are delivered weekly, starting as early in the pregnancy as possible. These
8 are followed by the booster sessions, the frequency and duration of which is tailored to individual
9 needs of the woman and integrated into her routine visits. The final core session is delivered late
10 in the third trimester of pregnancy. The core components of the intervention involve establishing
11 an empathetic relationship, challenging negative thoughts, promoting active behavior, problem-
12 solving, and encouraging family involvement (Atif et al. 2020). HMHB focuses on enhancing the
13 well-being of mothers in three key areas: their personal health, their relationships with significant
14 others, and their bond with their baby. To effectively engage participants, the program utilizes
15 culturally tailored illustrations and scenarios that raise mental health awareness, facilitate cognitive
16 restructuring, and collaboratively set tasks for behavioral activation. It also involved relaxation
17 exercises for managing anxiety symptoms (Atif et al. 2020).

18

19 ***1.4. Data Analysis***

20 Data collection and analysis was carried out simultaneously. Thematic analysis, a method
21 that emphasizes identifying, analyzing and interpreting patterns of meaning within qualitative data
22 (Clarke and Braun 2013), was employed for data analysis. All six-steps of thematic analysis were
23 utilized; 1) becoming familiar with the data, 2) generating codes, 3) searching for themes, 4)
24 reviewing themes, 5) defining and naming themes, and 6) writing up (Maguire and Delahunt 2017).
25 After transcribing each interview, the raw data were read and re-read to gain familiarity and to
26 generate codes. A code sheet was developed and thoroughly examined to establish connections
27 and associations. This process facilitated the emergence of subthemes and themes, as presented in
28 Table 2.

29

1 Reflexivity was maintained through consistent field notes and bi-weekly discussions
 2 among team members and with the supervisor. To mitigate bias, interview transcripts were also
 3 shared and discussed among the research team and with the supervisor. In the analysis we made
 4 both horizontal and vertical comparisons, i.e. comparing findings across different participants'
 5 transcripts as well as within an individual's transcript. Furthermore, all steps of thematic analysis
 6 were carefully followed including reading and re-reading the transcripts and analyzing and
 7 discussing any outliers with the team, ensuring transparency and accuracy in our findings.

8 Ethical approval for this research was obtained from the Institutional Review Boards of
 9 Rawalpindi Medical University, Human Development Research Foundation, the Johns Hopkins
 10 Bloomberg School of Public Health, and a NIMH appointed Data Monitoring and Safety Board.
 11 All participants provided written informed consent prior to data collection.

13 **2.0.RESULTS**

14 In total, 20 IDIs (11 in-person and nine by phone) were conducted with participants from the
 15 intervention arm and a FGD with seven therapists. Interviews were conducted in-person or over
 16 the telephone based on participants' preference. All participants had received at least one telephone
 17 session of the HMHB intervention. Participants were between 24-43 years old, with a mean age of
 18 29.4. They had between 0-14 years of formal schooling, and between one and five children (see
 19 Table 1). The analysis of the data generated five themes with two to five subthemes grouped under
 20 each theme (see Table 2).

21 **Table 2: Themes and sub-themes related to delivery of Happy Mother-Healthy Baby in-**
 22 **person versus by phone.**

Themes	Sub-themes
Theme 1: Preference for face-to-face sessions over phone sessions	<i>1.1 Better rapport building</i>
	<i>1.2 Better non-verbal and verbal communication</i>
	<i>1.3 Better comprehension of intervention contents and activities</i>
	<i>1.4: Conducive therapeutic environment</i>
	<i>1.5: Better family involvement</i>

Theme 2: Barriers to face-to-face sessions in comparison to phone sessions	<i>2.1: Travel to the venue</i>
	<i>2.2: Preoccupation with children and competing demands from family</i>
Theme 3: Preference for telephone sessions in comparison to face-to-face sessions	<i>3.1: Better flexibility of scheduling sessions</i>
	<i>3.2: Less hassle and fewer cost implications</i>
	<i>3.3: Less hesitance to talk over the phone</i>
Theme 4: Barriers to phone sessions in comparison to face-to-face sessions:	<i>4.1 Unavailability of phone and poor connectivity</i>
	<i>4.2: Loss of participant interest/concentration</i>
	<i>4.3: Lack of mental health awareness</i>
Theme 5: Preference for mixed therapy	<i>5.1: Initial face to face sessions allows rapport building</i>
	<i>5.2: Handing over of the intervention material</i>

1

2 **Theme 1.0: Preference for Face-to-Face Sessions over Phone Sessions**

3 ***Sub-theme 1.1: Better Rapport Building***

4 Most of the participants expressed appreciation for having face-to-face sessions, highlighting its
5 positive impact on their relationship with their therapists. They valued the empathetic behavior of
6 their therapists and felt a sense of hope. One participant described her experience, saying, "*It was*
7 *my first session; I remember looking at my therapist. Her voice was so reassuring. She told me*
8 *that if I tried, I would get better bit by bit. She demonstrated the breathing exercises in front of me,*
9 *and then we practiced together. I left the session feeling so calm"* (IDI-M12).

10 Confidentiality was another factor that participants valued when expressing a preference for face-
11 to-face sessions. They developed a greater sense of trust in their therapists and experienced
12 increased reassurance regarding the confidentiality of their discussions. One participant explained,
13 "*I shared all my worries, things I hadn't told anyone before. I knew it would not be disclosed. Over*
14 *the phone, it was different; God knows there might be another person sitting in the room with her"*
15 (IDI-M01).

1

2 Sub-theme 1.2: Better Non-Verbal and Verbal Communication

3 The therapists believed that face-to-face sessions allowed them to pick up on participants' non-
4 verbal cues, which assisted in their therapeutic work. One therapist highlighted the advantages of
5 being in-person with participants, stating, *"During a face-to-face [session], you can tell how*
6 *participants are feeling just by looking at their facial expressions, which helps in therapy. You can*
7 *also tell while reviewing their charts whether they have actually practiced their homework or not,*
8 *something which was hard to guess over the phone"* (FGD-R1).

9 Another participant, who had mostly attended face-to-face sessions, stated, *"During the face-to-*
10 *face sessions, I felt relaxed and shared all my worries with my therapist. My last session was on*
11 *the phone; it was different talking without seeing her. I could have shared much more if it had not*
12 *been a phone session"* (IDI-M16).

13 Sub-theme 1.3: Better Comprehension of Intervention Contents and Activities

14 For the therapists, face-to-face sessions provided a valuable opportunity to comprehend the
15 intervention contents and strategies effectively. They could use illustrations to visually convey
16 information and engage in discussions with the participants. Moreover, in person they were able
17 to demonstrate breathing and relaxation exercises and set goals for the participants using health
18 charts. They found that explanations of intervention activities over the phone were not fully
19 comprehended by some participants; *"There were some exercises that were difficult to understand.*
20 *Doing these exercises in-person would have been easier"* (IDI-M01).

21 The therapists also found it easier to discuss sensitive topics like domestic abuse and gender
22 preference when they were physically present with the participants. One therapist shared her
23 perspective, saying, *"Face-to-face sessions made it easier to explain the intervention's contents*
24 *and review the health charts. Also, talking about sensitive topics like domestic violence was less*
25 *intimidating"* (FGD-R3).

26

1 ***Sub-theme 1.4: Conducive Therapeutic Environment***

2 Many participants, who belonged to lower socioeconomic status and lived in overcrowded houses,
3 preferred the hospital setting as it offered them some breathing space and a sense of privacy. They
4 perceived it as an opportunity to take a break from their daily responsibilities. One participant
5 expressed, *"I would prefer face-to-face sessions. At home, we have a lot of household*
6 *responsibilities, but when we come here (to the hospital), we felt that we had some time for*
7 *ourselves too"* (IDI-M04 (DO)). Furthermore, most participants felt at ease during face-to-face
8 sessions, as it allowed them to talk openly without the fear of being overheard. A participant shared
9 her experience, saying, *"During face-to-face sessions, we used to talk openly without any*
10 *hesitation or fear. For phone sessions, I used to sit in a separate room while the family was in the*
11 *other room. However, I could hear them roaming around outside the room, and that bothered me"*
12 (IDI-M12). Some participants also expressed discomfort during phone sessions fearing that their
13 children, going back and forth into the room, might overhear the conversation.

14 ***Sub-theme 1.5: Better Family Involvement***

15 The intervention encouraged key family members to attend the sessions to enhance their support
16 for the participants, which was reported by the therapist as beneficial for most participants; *"In*
17 *most cases when husbands and mothers-in-law attend the session with the participants, they*
18 *realize its importance and encourage them to attend sessions regularly"* (FGD-R2). A therapist
19 further added that involving the family members was much easier during the delivery of face-to-
20 face sessions; *"Over the phone sometimes they say, 'yes my husband is also listening to you', but*
21 *without directly interacting with him, you can't tell how attentive or engaged he was during the*
22 *session"* (FGD-R2).

23 **Theme 2.0: Barriers to Face-to-Face Sessions in Comparison to Phone Sessions**

24 ***Sub-theme 2.1: Travel to the Venue***

25 Two significant barriers to accessing the venue were identified by the participants. Firstly, some
26 participants faced challenges due to their families' custom to be accompanied by either a male
27 family member or a female elder. Consequently, the absence of an escort resulted in their missed

1 appointments. For instance, a 26-year-old participant shared her disappointment: *"I struggled to*
2 *attend face-to-face sessions; my husband was at work, and no one else was available to accompany*
3 *me to the hospital"* (IDI-M06).

4 Secondly, participants who lived farther away from the hospital encountered difficulties in
5 affording the travel expenses for attending the weekly sessions. Despite receiving a standard travel
6 allowance of \$2 US, one participant described the situation, stating, *"My husband is a very angry*
7 *person. He used to fight with me about the travel expenses to attend appointments. Attending*
8 *sessions at the hospital became difficult for me because of our financial problems"* (IDI-M15).

9 ***Sub-theme 2.2: Preoccupation with Children and Competing Demands from Family***

10 Participants who had young children expressed difficulty in fully concentrating during the sessions
11 due to their worries about their children left at home. A mother of three explained, *"It was difficult*
12 *for me to leave my children at home. It took me an hour to reach the hospital and another hour to*
13 *receive the session. I used to worry about them while receiving the session"* (IDI-M17). Similarly,
14 a few participants expressed that during in-person sessions they experienced competing demands
15 on them from their families. A participant who dropped out after receiving three sessions stated,
16 *"It was difficult to attend sessions in the hospital because my in-laws started calling me on the*
17 *phone and asking me to come home, and my husband also used to say that it is getting late, and I*
18 *should be back"* (IDI-M04 (DO)).

19 **Theme 3.0: Preference for Telephone Sessions in Comparison to Face-to-Face Sessions**

20 ***Sub-theme 3.1: Better Flexibility of Scheduling Sessions***

21 The participants who were experiencing issues in accessing the face-to-face sessions found the
22 phone sessions more manageable and convenient. They appreciated the flexibility it offered in
23 terms of scheduling. One participant explained, *"My therapist would adjust session times for my*
24 *convenience. This approach allowed me to successfully receive my sessions"* (IDI-M01). Similar
25 views were expressed by a therapist, *"Some participants found telephone sessions helpful as they*

1 *didn't need to travel to the hospital. Moreover, they felt more comfortable receiving sessions at*
2 *home" (FGD-R1).*

3 ***Sub-theme 3.2: Less Hassle and Fewer Cost Implications***

4 One of the major reasons most participants preferred telephone sessions was the convenience of
5 receiving them without disrupting their daily routine. A participant stated, "*Sessions over the*
6 *telephone were good. I used to take sessions from home, whereas for the face-to-face sessions, I*
7 *would have to take time out from household responsibilities to go to the hospital" (IDI-M17).*
8 Another reason for preferring phone sessions was the time and cost savings associated with not
9 having to travel. As one participant mentioned, "*The time that would have been wasted in traveling*
10 *was saved. During that time, I used to do my housework" (IDI-M03).* A participant reported that
11 her husband also expressed a preference for her to have the HMHB sessions over the phone: "*My*
12 *husband used to say that phone sessions are better because the travel expenses are hard to bear,*
13 *and it's also saved him from the trouble of looking after the children" (IDI-M15).*

14 ***Sub-theme 3.3: Less Hesitance to Talk Over the Phone***

15 The intervention aims to encourage participants to openly share their emotional problems. For a
16 few participants who were reluctant to talk in-person, telephone sessions provided a more useful
17 and easier means of communication. One participant shared her views saying, "*Some people can't*
18 *talk openly face-to-face, so they can talk more easily on the phone" (IDI-M02).* This sentiment was
19 supported by a therapist who reported, "*One of my participants said that she feels more*
20 *comfortable at home and talking over the phone, as she felt hesitant to share her problems sitting*
21 *in-person with her therapist" (FGD-R2).*

22 **Theme 4.0: Barriers to Phone Sessions in Comparison to Face-to-Face Sessions**

23 ***Sub-theme 4.1: Unavailability of Phone and Poor Connectivity***

24 Many women did not have personal phones and had to share or borrow from their husbands or
25 other family members. This led to delays in receiving sessions, as mentioned by a participant, "*I*
26 *used to take calls on my husband's mobile. Sometimes he was at home and sometimes not, so my*

1 *sessions got delayed" (IDI-M02). Therapists also faced challenges in reaching participants, often*
2 *having to make multiple calls or wait for extended periods of time to connect with the participants,*
3 *as explained by a therapist, "It wasn't easy for us to deliver sessions to participants who didn't own*
4 *a cellphone. We used to call their family members' phone and at times were put on hold for a long*
5 *period of time" (FGD-R3).*

6 Some participants experienced difficulties due to electricity failures or poor internet signals,
7 resulting in frequent dropped calls during the sessions. One participant, who eventually stopped
8 receiving the intervention, shared her experience, saying, *"I faced difficulty in receiving sessions.*
9 *Because of the poor connection, the call used to drop so many times. She [the therapist] used to*
10 *call me back again and again to complete the session" (IDI-M04 (DO)). Therapists also expressed*
11 *frustration due to the impact of poor telephone connections, which could lead to participants losing*
12 *interest or engagement in the program.*

13 ***Sub-theme 4.2: Loss of Participants' Interest/Concentration***

14 The therapists reported the duration of phone sessions was much shorter compared to face-to-face
15 and complained that participants sometimes either lost interest or struggled to concentrate,
16 resulting in brief responses. One therapist expressed, *"It was difficult for me to explain things over*
17 *the phone. Some participants struggled to understand me; some used to make excuses by saying,*
18 *'I had lost my health file or I couldn't find the right page'" (FGD-R3). Similar views were expressed*
19 *by a participant stating, "I used to talk briefly on the phone. I lost track of our conversation and*
20 *couldn't talk as much in detail" (IDI-M02).*

21 Furthermore, the therapists felt that participants valued face-to-face sessions more compared to
22 telephone sessions, *"We felt that they were not valuing our phone services. At times they didn't*
23 *respond to our calls or told us to call back later because they were busy" (FGD-R4).*

24 ***Sub-theme 4.3: Lack of Mental Health Awareness***

25 Most participants described a lack of awareness and empathy from their in-laws regarding talking
26 therapy. A therapist recalled a participant expressing, *"My mother-in-law can't understand why the*

1 *therapist is calling me at home and why am I talking about my personal issues with a stranger"*
2 *(IDI-M16)*. Another participant complained about being scolded by her mother-in-law: *"She used*
3 *to taunt me by saying that she'd been pregnant a few times, but never had undergone phone*
4 *consultations with doctors, like I was" (IDI-M06)*. A few participants mentioned family members
5 coming into the room out of curiosity to find out what they were talking about over the phone.

6 **Theme 5.0: Preference for Mixed Therapy:**

7 ***Sub-theme 5.1: Initial Face-to-Face Sessions Allow Rapport Building***

8 Overall, mixed delivery of therapy was favored by most participants, as it combined the strengths
9 of both delivery methods. A participant living in a nuclear family stated, *"In my experience, the*
10 *mixed approach is quite valuable as it gave me options (to receive sessions either face-to-face or*
11 *over the phone). It also appealed to me because my therapist listened attentively during both face-*
12 *to-face and phone interactions" (IDI-M18)*. A majority of those who showed their preference for
13 mixed therapy expressed the importance of having at least the first session conducted face-to-face.
14 They felt that the initial in-person interaction allowed them to build rapport with their therapists,
15 understand the basics of the intervention, and grasp what was expected from them, along with
16 receiving the intervention materials. A participant expressed, *"My first and second sessions were*
17 *face-to-face. If not for the face-to-face sessions, I don't think I would have that relationship with*
18 *my therapist or have understood what was being explained" (IDI-M06)*.

19 Therapists also emphasized the importance of building a relationship in-person first before
20 switching to phone sessions. A therapist reported, *"Having met them once or twice, before the*
21 *phone sessions, really helped me to build our relationship, understand their problems, explain the*
22 *health charts, and demonstrate the breathing exercises" (FGD-R1)*.

23 ***Sub-theme 5.2: Handing Over of Intervention Material***

24 The initial face-to-face session/s allowed the therapists to hand the intervention materials (such as
25 health charts to indicate their activities and progress with the intervention) to the participants and
26 to demonstrate the relaxation exercises. These interactions were reported to be very helpful for the

1 majority of the participants. They found that doing the exercises initially in-person with the
2 therapists allowed them to fully comprehend them and to continue practicing them afterwards.
3 Furthermore, the intervention materials that were given to them could be referred to while
4 receiving the sessions at home. One participant mentioned, *"I used to keep my health file with me
5 when receiving her call. Everything was mentioned in the health file, so it became easy for me to
6 understand what therapist was referring to"* (IDI-M06).

7 **DISCUSSION**

8 Our study investigates participants' and research therapists' preferences for the delivery methods
9 (face-to-face sessions and phone sessions) of a CBT-based psychosocial intervention for prenatal
10 anxiety. Most participants favored face-to-face sessions due to their ability to build rapport,
11 enhance communication, and facilitate comprehension. However, barriers such as venue
12 accessibility, childcare responsibilities, and lack of family support hindered engagement.
13 Conversely, telephone sessions appealed to some participants as they allowed flexibility in
14 scheduling and the comfort of being at home, but challenges included phone unavailability, poor
15 connections, shorter attention spans, and limited mental health awareness, which posed potential
16 obstacles. Notably, a blend of face-to-face and telephone sessions emerged as the most preferred
17 approach, primarily driven by the rapport established during initial in-person sessions, which
18 carried over into subsequent telephone interactions.

19 The majority of participants in our study valued face-to-face sessions for their efficacy in
20 establishing rapport with therapists. This adds to the evidence from existing literature, highlighting
21 the advantages of face-to-face therapy sessions and emphasizes their capacity to rapidly build
22 rapport through enhanced verbal and non-verbal communication, ultimately strengthening the
23 therapeutic relationship (Del Giacco et al. 2020). On the contrary, telephone-based relationships
24 may pose challenges to establishing a therapeutic alliance (Brenes et al. 2011; Haas et al. 1996).
25 Without access to nonverbal cues, therapists may find it difficult to grasp clients' experiences and
26 convey empathy effectively (Bennett 2004; Miller 1973; Mozer et al. 2008). Notably, most of our
27 participants expressed greater comfort in disclosing personal information during face-to-face
28 sessions compared to telephone sessions. Although most studies report no significant differences

1 in patient or therapist ratings of information disclosure (Brown 1985; Spizman 2001), a patient
2 self-assessment questionnaire revealed that 78% felt their counsellor better understood and
3 supported their self-exploration in face-to-face interactions than in telephone conversations
4 (Antonioni 1973).

5 Both therapists and participants agreed that face-to-face sessions promoted a deeper understanding
6 of the intervention's content and associated activities in comparison to the telephone sessions. This
7 enhanced comprehension may be due to the ability to pick up on non-verbal cues during the
8 sessions (Bennett 2004; Miller 1973). In the original conception of HMHB, we envisioned it would
9 be delivered face-to-face. The protocol incorporated pictorial illustrations designed to help
10 participants challenge and replace unhelpful thought patterns and behaviors with helpful thoughts
11 and behaviors, promote in-depth discussions for mental health awareness, and active participation
12 in relaxation exercises that would be guided by the therapist during face-to-face sessions. Another
13 notable feature of the intervention was family involvement, which therapists found more feasible
14 during in-person therapy.

15 Some participants expressed a preference for telephone sessions, especially those living in nuclear
16 family households and in environments that were not prone to disruptions. Phone sessions offered
17 scheduling flexibility, easy rescheduling, and the convenience of avoiding travel to the session
18 venue. A recent study examining attitudes toward internet-delivered CBT found that convenience
19 and time flexibility were frequently cited as primary reasons for preference (McCall et al. 2020).

20 The therapists reported that phone sessions were relatively shorter compared to face-to-face
21 sessions, which they attributed to the less serious attitudes of the participants when receiving
22 sessions by phone, as well as their shorter attention spans during phone-based interactions. While
23 existing evidence supports the notion that phone sessions are generally shorter than face-to-face
24 ones (Irvine et al. 2020), findings are contradictory regarding recipients' attentiveness. Some
25 studies reported no significant difference in attentiveness (Spizman 2001; Stephenson et al. 2004),
26 while others noted reduced attentiveness due to the absence of visual cues or due to the perceptions
27 of telephone psychotherapy as being less important (Mozer et al. 2008). This perception of not
28 being taken seriously during phone sessions was also reported by therapists in our study.

1 Interestingly, a recent systematic review of 15 studies investigating the interactional differences
2 between telephone and face-to-face psychological therapy found no significant disparities in
3 therapeutic alliance, disclosure, empathy, attentiveness, or participation (Irvine et al. 2020).
4 However, our participants' preference for face-to-face or phone sessions was notably influenced
5 by practical and emotional barriers. Those favoring phone sessions encountered difficulties
6 stemming from a lack of family support, including the absence of an escort, typically a close male
7 relative or elder female, to accompany them to hospital appointments in line with prevailing family
8 norms, as well as a lack of financial resources to cover travel costs. Among those who successfully
9 attended face-to-face sessions, some faced challenges in maintaining full concentration due to
10 childcare responsibilities and family pressures. In other qualitative studies conducted with similar
11 populations, the issues of inadequate family support and lack of money to pay transportation have
12 been consistently highlighted as barriers to receiving interventions (Atif et al. 2015; Atif et al.
13 2023; Nakku et al. 2016; Nazir et al. 2022; Rowther et al. 2020).

14 Many participants who favored face-to-face sessions were women who encountered significant
15 obstacles related to the unavailability of a personal mobile phone. This issue is underscored by a
16 UNESCO report highlighting Pakistan's substantial gender gap in mobile phone ownership, with
17 Pakistani women being 38% less likely than men to own a mobile phone and 49% less likely to
18 use mobile internet (UNESCO 2023). Given that a majority of our participants came from lower
19 socioeconomic backgrounds, they had to depend on male family members' mobile phones to
20 receive the sessions, which were often unavailable to them during normal working hours.
21 Furthermore, even when mobile phones were accessible, technical issues, such as poor call quality
22 and frequent call drops, could disrupt the sessions. Both therapists and participants acknowledged
23 this challenge as a major barrier to phone-based sessions.

24 Additional challenges encountered during phone sessions, as reported by certain participants,
25 included a lack of privacy due to overcrowded households and suspicion from family members
26 regarding extended conversations with the 'therapist,' reflecting a broader issue of limited mental
27 health awareness. These individuals valued face-to-face sessions as safe spaces for sharing
28 concerns without fear of disclosure, eavesdropping by family members, or stigmatization. Notably,
29 a review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) utilizing telephone-delivered CBT identified

1 challenges such as limited control over the environment and potential threats to privacy and
2 confidentiality (Brenes et al. 2011).

3 It is worth highlighting that among participants who preferred telephone sessions, a significant
4 number attributed their positive experiences to the initial face-to-face contact with their therapist.
5 They felt that this initial in-person interaction played a pivotal role in establishing rapport, which,
6 in turn, facilitated the effective delivery of subsequent phone-based sessions. This finding aligns
7 with an online survey conducted among 514 individuals, indicating that people who combine face-
8 to-face and online psychotherapy perceive it as more efficient with fewer access barriers compared
9 to face-to-face therapy (Sora et al. 2022).

10 One notable strength of our study is that data is collected from both the trial participants and the
11 research therapists, enabling us to enhance the thoroughness of our inquiry. Additionally, the
12 deliberate selection of participants through purposive sampling, of those who did and did not
13 complete the therapy sessions, broadened the range of insights gathered from these interviews.
14 Moreover, two independent members of the research team were engaged in creating the codebook,
15 ensuring the reliability and validity of the coding framework and increasing the robustness and
16 objectivity.

17 There were several limitations to our study. The research took place in a tertiary hospital situated
18 in a semi-urban region, with fairly homogeneous demographic characteristics. As a result, the
19 implications drawn from the study may not be applicable to a broader population. Another
20 limitation arises from the fact that certain interviews were conducted via telephone, potentially
21 restricting the establishment of rapport and the exchange of information between the interviewer
22 and the interviewees. Moreover, the study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, which
23 could have influenced participants' perceptions and experiences and may not fully represent the
24 post-pandemic scenario. The research focused only on antenatal anxious women, and further
25 research is needed to explore the experiences of face-to-face vs. telephonic sessions outside of the
26 perinatal period.

27 **Conclusion**

1 The findings of this research emphasize the importance of implementing flexible intervention
2 delivery strategies that take into account cultural norms, logistical obstacles, and individual family
3 dynamics. To promote mental well-being among pregnant women in Pakistan, we recommend the
4 adoption of a mixed approach that combines the strengths of both face-to-face and phone-based
5 interventions. This approach allows for a more personalized and adaptable form of care, and can
6 better support pregnant women in managing anxiety during pregnancy and improving their overall
7 mental health.

8 **Acknowledgements**

9 We would like to acknowledge the support provided by the hospital staff of the Department of
10 Obstetrics and Gynecology at the Holy Family Hospital in conducting this study. We are also
11 grateful to the women who shared their experiences of receiving intervention through different
12 methods.

13 **Author Contribution Statement**

14 Conceptualization was principally undertaken by N.A., P.J.S., A.R., and M.A. Methodology was
15 the responsibility of N.A., P.J.S., and M.A. Data collection efforts were mainly led by M.A. and
16 H.N. The formal analysis was conducted collaboratively by M.A., H.N., and N.A. The original
17 draft of the manuscript was prepared by N.A. and M.A. Subsequent reviewing and editing of the
18 manuscript were performed by N.A., P.J.S., A.M., and A.R. Overall supervision of the project was
19 the joint responsibility of N.A. and P.J.S. Project administration was overseen by A.M. Funding
20 was primarily managed by P.J.S and A.R. PJS was the principal investigator of the study. All
21 authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

22 **Financial Support**

23 This research was funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) at the United States
24 National Institutes of Health (NIH), grant number RO1 MH111859-01.

25 **Conflicts of Interest Statement**

1 The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in
2 the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision
3 to publish the results.

4

1 **Ethics Statement**

2 This research adhered to strict ethical principles and guidelines throughout its conduct. Informed
3 consent was obtained from all participants, including therapists and individuals seeking therapy,
4 ensuring they were fully aware of the research's objectives and procedures. Confidentiality and
5 anonymity were maintained to safeguard the privacy of participants, with any personally
6 identifiable information carefully removed from transcripts. Ethical approval for this research was
7 obtained from the Institutional Review Boards of Rawalpindi Medical University, Human
8 Development Research Foundation, the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, and a
9 NIMH appointed Data Monitoring and Safety Board. Voluntary participation was emphasized, and
10 participants had the freedom to withdraw from the study at any point without repercussions.

11 To minimize harm, all necessary precautions were taken to ensure participants' comfort and safety
12 during the research process. This study highlights a commitment to upholding ethical standards,
13 respecting the rights and welfare of participants, and providing valuable insights into the field of
14 mental health interventions for prenatal anxiety in resource-constrained settings.

15 **Data availability statement**

16 The data that support the findings of this study are not publicly available due to participant
17 confidentiality restrictions but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable
18 request.

19

1 **References**

- 2 **Anadolu Agency** (2020) COVID-19: Pakistani Hospitals Suspend Outpatient Clinics. Islamabad, Pakistan:
3 Anadolu Agency.
- 4 **Antonioni DT** (1973) *A field study comparison of counselor empathy, concreteness and client self-*
5 *exploration in face-to-face and telephone counseling during first and second interviews*. The University of
6 Wisconsin-Madison.
- 7 **Atif N, Lovell K and Rahman A** (2015) Maternal mental health: The missing “m” in the global maternal
8 and child health agenda. In: *Perinatology Seminar*. Elsevier.
- 9 **Atif N, Nazir H, Zafar S, Chaudhri R, Atiq M, Mullany LC, Rowther AA, Malik A, Surkan PJ and Rahman A**
10 (2020) Development of a psychological intervention to address anxiety during pregnancy in a low-
11 income country. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **10**, 927.
- 12 **Atif N, Rauf N, Nazir H, Maryam H, Mumtaz S, Zulfiqar S, Shouket R, Rowther AA, Malik A and Rahman**
13 **A** Non-specialist-delivered psychosocial intervention for prenatal anxiety in a tertiary care setting in
14 Pakistan: a qualitative process evaluation. *BMJ open*, doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-069988.
- 15 **Barceló-Soler A, García-Campayo J, Araya R, Doukani A, Gili M, García-Palacios A, Mayoral F and**
16 **Montero-Marin J** (2023) Working alliance in low-intensity internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy
17 for depression in primary care in Spain: A qualitative study. *Frontiers in Psychology* **14**, 1024966.
- 18 **Békés V and Aafjes-van Doorn K** (2020) Psychotherapists’ attitudes toward online therapy during the
19 COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration* **30**(2), 238.
- 20 **Bengtsson J** (2014) Therapists' experiences of conducting CBT online vis-à-vis face-to-face.
- 21 **Bennett S** (2004) Viewing telephone therapy through the lens of attachment theory and infant research.
22 *Clinical Social Work Journal* **32**(3), 239-250.
- 23 **Brenes GA, Ingram CW and Danhauer SC** (2011) Benefits and challenges of conducting psychotherapy
24 by telephone. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice* **42**(6), 543.
- 25 **Brown LJ** (1985) Antecedents of compliance in employee assistance programs: telephone vs. face-to-
26 face communications. Electronic Theses and Dissertations.1188. <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/etd/1188>
- 27 **Clarke V and Braun V** (2013) Teaching thematic analysis: Overcoming challenges and developing
28 strategies for effective learning. *The Psychologist* **26**(2), 120-123.
- 29 **Del Giacco L, Anguera MT and Salcuni S** (2020) The action of verbal and non-verbal communication in
30 the therapeutic alliance construction: a mixed methods approach to assess the initial interactions with
31 depressed patients. *Frontiers in Psychology* **11**, 234.
- 32 **First MB and Gibbon M** (2004) The structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders (SCID-I) and
33 the structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis II disorders (SCID-II). In Hilsenroth MJ and Segal DL
34 (eds), *Comprehensive handbook of psychological assessment*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 134–143.
- 35 **Gorman LL, O'Hara MW, Figueiredo B, Hayes S, Jacquemain F, Kammerer MH, Klier CM, Rosi S,**
36 **Seneviratne G and Sutter-Dallay A-L** (2004) Adaptation of the structured clinical interview for DSM-IV
37 disorders for assessing depression in women during pregnancy and post-partum across countries and
38 cultures. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* **184**(S46), s17-s23.
- 39 **Gratzer D and Khalid-Khan F** (2016) Internet-delivered cognitive behavioural therapy in the treatment of
40 psychiatric illness. *Cmaj* **188**(4), 263-272.
- 41 **Haas LJ, Benedict J and Kobos JC** (1996) Psychotherapy by telephone: Risks and benefits for
42 psychologists and consumers. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice* **27**(2), 154.
- 43 **Irvine A, Drew P, Bower P, Brooks H, Gellatly J, Armitage CJ, Barkham M, McMillan D and Bee P** (2020)
44 Are there interactional differences between telephone and face-to-face psychological therapy? A
45 systematic review of comparative studies. *Journal of affective disorders* **265**, 120-131.

- 1 **Jacob K, Sharan P, Mirza I, Garrido-Cumbrera M, Seedat S, Mari JJ, Sreenivas V and Saxena S** (2007)
2 Mental health systems in countries: where are we now? *The Lancet* **370**(9592), 1061-1077.
- 3 **Kotera Y, Kaluzeviciute G, Lloyd C, Edwards A-M and Ozaki A** (2021) Qualitative investigation into
4 therapists' experiences of online therapy: Implications for working clients. *International journal of*
5 *environmental research and public health* **18**(19), 10295.
- 6 **Maguire M and Delahunt B** (2017) Doing a thematic analysis: A practical, step-by-step guide for learning
7 and teaching scholars. *All Ireland Journal of Higher Education* **9**(3).
- 8 **McCall HC, Sison AP, Burnett JL, Beahm JD and Hadjistavropoulos HD** (2020) Exploring perceptions of
9 internet-delivered cognitive behaviour therapy among public safety personnel: informing dissemination
10 efforts. *International journal of environmental research and public health* **17**(17), 6026.
- 11 **Miller WB** (1973) The telephone in outpatient psychotherapy. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* **27**(1),
12 15-26.
- 13 **Mozer E, Franklin B and Rose J** (2008) Psychotherapeutic intervention by telephone. *Clinical*
14 *Interventions in Aging* **3**(2), 391-396.
- 15 **Nakku JE, Okello ES, Kizza D, Honikman S, Ssebunnya J, Ndyabangi S, Hanlon C and Kigozi F** (2016)
16 Perinatal mental health care in a rural African district, Uganda: a qualitative study of barriers, facilitators
17 and needs. *BMC health services research* **16**, 1-12.
- 18 **Nazir H, Rowther AA, Rauf N, Atiq M, Kazi AK, Malik A, Atif N and Surkan PJ** 'Those whom I have to talk
19 to, I can't talk to': Perceived social isolation in the context of anxiety symptoms among pregnant women
20 in Pakistan. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, doi: 10.1111/hsc.14019.
- 21 **Nielsen-Scott M, Fellmeth G, Opondo C and Alderdice F** (2022) Prevalence of perinatal anxiety in low-
22 and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of affective disorders* **306**,
23 71-79.
- 24 **Rahman A, Malik A, Sikander S, Roberts C and Creed F** (2008) Cognitive behaviour therapy-based
25 intervention by community health workers for mothers with depression and their infants in rural
26 Pakistan: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet* **372**(9642), 902-909.
- 27 **Rowther AA, Kazi AK, Nazir H, Atiq M, Atif N, Rauf N, Malik A and Surkan PJ** (2020) "A woman is a
28 puppet." Women's disempowerment and prenatal anxiety in Pakistan: A qualitative study of sources,
29 mitigators, and coping strategies for anxiety in pregnancy. *International journal of environmental*
30 *research and public health* **17**(14), 4926.
- 31 **Sora B, Nieto R, Montesano A and Armayones M** (2022) Usage patterns of telepsychology and face-to-
32 face psychotherapy: Clients' profiles and perceptions. *Frontiers in Psychology* **13**, 821671.
- 33 **Spizman P** (2001) *Is counseling by telephone effective?* Indiana University of Pennsylvania.
- 34 **Stephenson D, Bingaman D, Plaza C, Selvik R, Sudgen B and Ross C** (2004) Implementation and
35 evaluation of a formal telephone counseling protocol in an employee assistance program. *Employee*
36 *Assistance Quarterly* **19**(2), 19-33.
- 37 **Surkan PJ, Hamdani SU, Huma Z-E, Nazir H, Atif N, Rowther AA, Chaudhri R, Zafar S, Mullany LC and**
38 **Malik A** Cognitive-behavioral therapy-based intervention to treat symptoms of anxiety in pregnancy in a
39 prenatal clinic using non-specialist providers in Pakistan: design of a randomised trial. *BMJ open*, doi:
40 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037590.
- 41 **Surkan PJ, Malik A, Perin J, Atif N, Rowther A, Zaidi A and Rahman A** (2024) Anxiety-focused cognitive
42 behavioral therapy delivered by non-specialists to prevent postnatal depression: a randomized, phase 3
43 trial. *Nature Medicine*, 1-8.
- 44 **UNESCO** (2023) UNESCO to collaborate with Pakistan Telecommunication Authority on digital inclusion
45 and gender mainstreaming strategy. Available at <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/unesco->

- 1 [collaborate-pakistan-telecommunication-authority-digital-inclusion-and-gender](#) (accessed 9th Sep
- 2 2023).
- 3 **Zeren ŞG** (2015) Face-to-Face and Online Counseling: Client Problems and Satisfaction. *Education &*
- 4 *Science/Egitim ve Bilim* **40**(182).
- 5 **Zigmond AS and Snaith RP** (1983) The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatrica*
- 6 *Scandinavica* **67**(6), 361-370.
- 7