

ON THE FRACTIONAL PARTS OF αn^2 AND βn

by R. C. BAKER

(Received 29 January, 1980)

We denote by $\| \cdot \|$ the distance to the nearest integer. Let α and β be real. W. M. Schmidt [5] proved that for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > c_1(\varepsilon)$ there is a natural number n such that

$$n \leq N, \quad \|\alpha n^2 + \beta n\| < N^{-(1/2)+\varepsilon}.$$

This extends a theorem of H. Heilbronn [4] and also sharpens a theorem of H. Davenport [3].

In the present note I use the ideas of [5] to prove that for $N > c_2(\varepsilon)$ there is a natural number n such that

$$n \leq N, \quad \|\alpha n^2\| < N^{-(1/4)+\varepsilon}, \quad \|\beta n\| < N^{-(1/4)+\varepsilon}. \quad (1)$$

This sharpens a theorem of the author and J. Gajraj [2]. The other results of [2] can be improved; this is discussed in [1].

We require several lemmas. We write $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ and $M = N^{(1/4)-\varepsilon}$. Let $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon/3$. Constants implied by ' \ll ' and ' \gg ' will depend at most on ε .

LEMMA 1. *Let $N > c_2(\varepsilon)$. Suppose that there is no natural number n satisfying (1). Then either*

(i) *there is a natural number $r \leq MN^\varepsilon$ such that*

$$\|r\beta\| < N^{-1+\varepsilon}, \quad (2)$$

or

(ii) *we have*

$$\sum_{|u| < MN^{\varepsilon_1}} \sum_{v=1}^{[MN^{\varepsilon_1}]} \left| \sum_{x=1}^N e(v\alpha x^2 + u\beta x) \right|^2 > N^{2-\varepsilon_1} M^{-2}. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, in case (ii) there is a natural number $q \leq M^4 N^{\varepsilon_1}$ such that

$$|q\alpha - p| < M^3 N^{-2+\varepsilon_1}, \quad (q, p) = 1. \quad (4)$$

Proof. See [2, pp. 329–331].

LEMMA 2. *We have*

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^N e(\alpha x^2 + \beta x) \right|^2 \ll \sum_{w=1}^{2N} \min(N, \|2(\alpha w + \beta)\|^{-1}).$$

Proof. See [5, p. 822].

Glasgow Math. J. 22 (1981) 181–183.

LEMMA 3. Suppose p, q are coprime, with $1 \leq q < N \leq H$. Suppose that

$$\|\alpha q\| = |\alpha q - p| < (2H)^{-1}.$$

Then for any real γ ,

$$\sum_{u=1}^H \min(N, \|\alpha u + \gamma\|^{-1}) \ll (\log H) \min\left(\frac{NH}{q}, \frac{H}{\|\gamma q\|}, \frac{1}{\|\alpha q\|}\right).$$

Proof. This is a straightforward extension of Lemma 5 of [5].

Proof that (1) is soluble. We suppose that there is no natural number $n \leq N$ satisfying (1), where $N > c_2(\epsilon)$. We shall obtain a contradiction.

Suppose first that alternative (i) takes place in Lemma 1. Let r be the natural number defined there. By the theorem of Heilbronn [4] there is a natural number $s \leq M^2 N^\epsilon$ such that

$$\|s^2 r^2 \alpha\| < M^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Let $n = sr$, then $n \leq M^3 N^{2\epsilon} < N$, and

$$\|n\beta\| \leq s \|r\beta\| < M^2 N^\epsilon \cdot N^{-1+\epsilon} < M^{-1}.$$

This together with (5) contradicts the insolubility of (1). Thus alternative (ii) must hold in Lemma 1. Let q be the natural number defined there.

By combining (3) with Lemma 2 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} N^{2-\epsilon_1} M^{-2} &\ll \sum_{|u| < MN^{\epsilon_1}} \sum_{v=1}^{[MN^{\epsilon_1}]} \sum_{w=1}^{2N} \min(N, \|2(\alpha v w + \beta u)\|^{-1}) \\ &\ll N^{\epsilon_1} \sum_{|y| < 2MN^{\epsilon_1}} \sum_{x=1}^{[4MN^{1+\epsilon_1}]} \min(N, \|\alpha x + \beta y\|^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

For the last inequality we write $x = 2vw$, $y = 2u$ and observe that for a given x there are fewer than N^{ϵ_1} possibilities for v, w . With an application of Lemma 3 to the sum over x , we obtain

$$N^{2-3\epsilon_1} M^{-2} \ll \sum_{|y| < 2MN^{\epsilon_1}} \min\left(\frac{MN^{2+\epsilon_1}}{q}, \frac{MN^{1+\epsilon_1}}{\|\beta q y\|}, \frac{1}{\|\alpha q\|}\right). \tag{7}$$

By Dirichlet's theorem there is a natural number $t \leq 4MN^{\epsilon_1}$ satisfying

$$|\beta q t - z| < (4MN^{\epsilon_1})^{-1}, \quad (t, z) = 1. \tag{8}$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\|\beta q y\| \geq (2t)^{-1}$$

whenever $|y| < 2MN^{\epsilon_1}$ and $t \nmid y$. The contribution of these integers y to the right hand side

of (7) is thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq MN^{1+\varepsilon_1} \sum_{\substack{|y| < 2MN^{\varepsilon_1} \\ t|y}} \min(2t, \|\beta qy\|^{-1}) \\ &\ll MN^{1+\varepsilon_1} \left(\frac{MN^{\varepsilon_1}}{t} + 1\right) (2t + t \log t) \ll M^2 N^{1+3\varepsilon_1} \end{aligned}$$

by a standard argument. Since $M^2 N^{1+3\varepsilon_1} = o(N^{2-3\varepsilon_1} M^{-2})$, we must have

$$N^{2-3\varepsilon_1} M^{-2} \ll \sum_{\substack{|y| < 2MN^{\varepsilon_1} \\ t|y}} \min\left(\frac{MN^{2+\varepsilon_1}}{q}, \frac{1}{\|\alpha q\|}\right).$$

As the number of terms in the last sum is $\ll MN^{\varepsilon_1}/t$, it is easy to see that

$$\max(qtM^{-1}N^{-2-\varepsilon_1}, t\|\alpha q\|) \ll M^3 N^{-2+4\varepsilon_1}. \tag{9}$$

Now we get a contradiction by combining (8) and (9) to show that $n = qt$ solves (1). This completes the proof that (1) is soluble.

REFERENCES

1. R. C. Baker, Recent results on fractional parts of polynomials, *Number theory, Carbondale 1979*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 751 (Springer-Verlag, 1979), 10–18.
2. R. C. Baker and J. Gajraj, Some non-linear Diophantine approximations. *Acta Arith.* **31** (1976), 325–341.
3. H. Davenport, On a theorem of Heilbronn, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2*, **18** (1967), 339–344.
4. H. Heilbronn, On the distribution of the sequence $n^2\theta \pmod{1}$, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 1*, **19** (1948), 249–256.
5. W. M. Schmidt, On the distribution modulo 1 of the sequence $\alpha n^2 + \beta n$, *Canad. J. Math.* **29** (1977), 819–826.

ROYAL HOLLOWAY COLLEGE
EGHAM
SURREY