

VOLUME 11
NUMBER 4
DECEMBER 1988

An International Journal of Current Research
and Theory with Open Peer Commentary

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Appearing in this issue, with Commentary . . .

Numerical competence in animals: Definitional issues, current evidence, and a new research agenda Hank Davis & Rachelle Pérusse

Developmental explanation and the ontogeny of birdsong: Nature/nurture redux Timothy D. Johnston

Research on self-control: An integrating framework A. W. Logue

Also, Continuing Commentary on

"Semantic activation without conscious identification" (Holender)

"Hypnotic behavior" (Spanos)

"Adaptability of innate motor patterns and motor control mechanisms" (Berkinblit, Feldman & Fukson)

"Primate handedness reconsidered" (MacNeilage, Studdert-Kennedy & Lindblom)

C A M B R I D G E U N I V E R S I T Y P R E S S

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editor

Stevan Harnad
20 Nassau St., Suite 240
Princeton, NJ 08542
E-mail: harnad@mind.princeton.edu or harnad@pucc.bitnet

Managing Editor

Chooi-Yeok Kuan

Copy Editor (Chief)

Avis Kniffin

Associate Editors

Behavioral Biology

Jack P. Hailman/U. Wisconsin
Hubert Markl/U. Konstanz

Biosocial Behavior

Glendon Schubert/U. Hawaii, Manoa

Cognition and Artificial Intelligence

Zenon Pylyshyn/U. Western Ontario

Cognitive Development

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/MRC, London and MPI, Nijmegen

Evolutionary Biology

Michael T. Ghiselin/California Academy of Sciences

Experimental Analysis of Behavior

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

History and Systems

Julian Jaynes/Princeton

Language and Cognition

Philip Johnson-Laird/MRC, Cambridge
Peter Wason/University College, London

Language and Language Disorders
Max Coltheart/Birbeck College, London

Linguistics

Robert Freidin/Princeton

Neurobiology

Irving Kupfermann/Columbia

Neuropharmacology

Susan D. Iversen/Merck Sharp and Dohme, Ltd.

Neuropsychology

Jeffrey A. Gray/Inst. Psychiatry, London

Neurophysiology

Sten Grillner/Karolinska Institutet

Paleoneurology

Stephen Jay Gould/Harvard

Perception

Richard Gregory/U. Bristol

Philosophy

Daniel C. Dennett/Tufts
Gilbert Harman/Princeton

Philosophy of Science

Adolf Grünbaum/U. Pittsburgh

Psychobiology

Victor H. Denenberg/U. Connecticut
David S. Olton/Johns Hopkins

Quantitative Methods

Donald B. Rubin/Harvard

Vision and Artificial Intelligence

Stuart Sutherland/U. Sussex

Editorial Policy *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary* to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See *Instructions for Authors and Commentators*, inside back cover.) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project.

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to write to the editor.

This publication was supported in part by NIH Grant LM 03539 from the National Library of Medicine.

Copying This journal is registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (27 Congress St., Salem, MA 01970). Organizations in the U.S.A. who are also registered with the CCC may therefore copy material (beyond the limits permitted by sections 107 and 108 of U.S. Copyright Law) subject to payment to the CCC of the per-copy fee indicated in the code on the first page of the article. This consent does not extend to multiple copying for promotional or commercial purposes.

ISI Tear Sheet Service, 3501 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, is authorized to supply single copies of separate articles for private use only.

For all other use, permission should be sought from the Cambridge or New York offices of the Press.

Subscriptions *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (ISSN 0140-525X) is published quarterly in March, June, September and December. Four parts form a volume. The subscription price, which includes postage, of Volume 11 (1988) is US \$147.00 net in the U.S.A. and Canada (£89.00 in the U.K.; £96.00 in the rest of the world) for institutions; US \$63.00 net (£41.00) for individuals; US \$36.00 net (£25.00) for BBS Associates; and US \$36.00 net (£25.00) for students (in the U.S.A. and Canada only) who provide proof of eligibility with order. Single parts cost US \$41.00 net (£25.00) plus postage. Institutional orders may be sent to a bookseller or, in the U.S.A. and Canada direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, England. Individuals must order direct from the Press. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes in the U.S.A. and Canada to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Promotion Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

*Modelled on the 'CA Comment' service of the journal *Current Anthropology*.

Please send BBS your email address

All BBS Associates and any non-Associates who have served as referees, commentators or authors, or who are qualified and interested in serving as referees or commentators for BBS:

Please send us your electronic mail address, if you have one. (If you do not have one, you are strongly urged to look into the advantages of getting one -- not only for BBS's sake!)

BBS is implementing more and more of its peer communication functions by electronic mail. This not only increases the speed and efficiency of BBS's interaction with the world biobehavioral and cognitive science community, but it dramatically increases its scope and range as well. Abstracts can be circulated by email in advance to allow potential commentators to nominate themselves. Referee reports can be submitted by email. The BBS Associateship can be more representatively canvassed to determine what topics and authors they would like to see treated in BBS. New Associates can be nominated by email, etc.

Electronic mail addresses can be sent to our regular mail address (any available *departmental or institutional email directories* would be very helpful too):

**Behavioral and Brain Sciences
20 Nassau Street, Room 240
Princeton NJ 08542**

or they can be sent by electronic mail to any of the following electronic mail addresses (because email is not yet reliable, please try several until you receive confirmation that your message has been received):

ARPANET:

**harnad@mind.princeton.edu
harnad@princeton.edu
harnad@confidence.princeton.edu
srh@flash.bellcore.com
harnad@mind.uucp**

BITNET:

harnad%mind.princeton.edu@pucc.bitnet

UUCP:

princeton!mind!harnad

CSNET:

harnad%mind.princeton.edu@relay.cs.net

Along with your email address you are encouraged to include your suggestions about current editorial policy and the directions you would like to see BBS take in the future.

Investigators in Psychology, Neuroscience, Behavioral Biology, and Cognitive Science

Do you want to:

- draw wide attention to a particularly important or controversial piece of work?
- solicit reactions, criticism, and feedback from a large sample of your peers?
- place your ideas in an interdisciplinary, international context?

Behavioral and Brain Sciences (BBS),

an extraordinary journal, provides a special service called Open Peer Commentary to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science.

Papers judged appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of specialists who provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective.

Article and commentaries then appear simultaneously with the author's formal response. This BBS "treatment" provides, in print, the exciting give and take of an international, interdisciplinary seminar.

The editor of BBS is calling for papers that offer a clear rationale for Commentary, and also meet high standards of conceptual rigor, empirical grounding, and clarity of style. Contributions may be (1) reports and discussions of empirical research of broader scope and implications than might be reported in a specialty journal; (2) unusually significant theoretical articles that formally model or systematize a body of research; and (3) novel interpretations, syntheses or critiques of existing theoretical work.

Although the BBS Commentary service is primarily devoted to original unpublished manuscripts, at times it will be extended to précis of recent books or previously published articles.

Published quarterly by the Cambridge University Press. Editorial correspondence to: Stevan Harnad, Editor, BBS, Suite 240, 20 Nassau Street, Princeton, NJ 08542. All other correspondence to BBS, Journals, Cambridge University Press, 32 E. 57th Street, New York, NY 10022.

"[BBS's corrected 1982 impact factor of 6.370] places BBS in third place [out of 1300 journals indexed] . . . in the *SSCI Journal Citation Reports* . . . an impressive position for a journal that was then in only its fifth year of publication. By the next year, 1983, the citation impact factor for the target articles in BBS was 7.577 . . . now ahead of any other psychology journal. Even more germane to the question of the value of peer open commentary . . . the total of 119 citations to the commentaries was greater than the total citations to over 91% of the journals reported in *SSCI* . . . [G]ood scientists recognize that science progresses most rapidly by building on the ideas and observations of others, by its self-correcting nature, and by the free interaction of competing ideas and evidence."

American Psychologist

" . . . superbly presented . . . the result is practically a *vade mecum* or Who's Who in each subject. [Articles are] followed by pithy and often (believe it or not) witty comments questioning, illuminating, endorsing or just plain arguing . . . I urge anyone with an interest in psychology, neuroscience, and behavioural biology to get access to this journal."

New Scientist

"The field covered by BBS has often suffered in the past from the drawing of battle lines between prematurely hardened positions: nature v. nurture, cognitive v. behaviourist . . . [BBS] has often produced important articles and fascinating interchanges . . . the points of dispute are highlighted if not always resolved, the styles and positions of the participants are exposed, and mutual incomprehension is occasionally made very conspicuous . . . commentaries are often incisive, integrative or bring highly relevant new information to bear on the subject."

Nature

"Care is taken to ensure that the commentaries represent a sampling of opinion from scientists throughout the world. Through open peer commentary, the knowledge imparted by the target article comes more fully integrated into the entire field of the behavioral and brain sciences. This contrasts with the provincialism of specialized journals . . ."

Eugene Garfield, Current Contents

" . . . open peer commentary . . . allows the reader to assess the 'state of the art' quickly in a particular field. The commentaries provide a 'who's who' as well as the content of recent research."

Journal of Social and Biological Structures

" . . . presents an imaginative approach to learning."

Library Journal

Contents Volume 11:4 December 1988

Davis, H. & Pérusse, R. Numerical competence in animals: Definitional issues, current evidence, and a new research agenda	561
--	------------

Open Peer Commentary

Boysen, S. T. Kanting processes in the chimpanzee: What (and who) really counts?	580	Luchins, A. S. & Luchins, E. H. Numbers and counting: Intuitionistic and gestalt psychological viewpoints	591
Braaten, R. F. Protocounting as a last resort	581	Macphail, E. M. You can't succeed without really counting	592
Burns, R. A. Subitizing and rhythm in serial numerical investigations with animals	581	McGonigle, B. Is it the thought that counts?	593
Capaldi, E. J. & Miller, D. J. A different view of numerical processes in animals	582	Nevin, J. A. Reinforcement schedules and "numerical competence"	594
Chauvin, B. Human infants are perhaps not so gifted after all	583	Pepperberg, I. M. Studying numerical competence: A trip through linguistic wonderland?	595
Fuson, K. C. Some further clarifications of numerical terminology using results from young children	583	Schusterman, R. J. Language and counting in animals: Stimulus classes and equivalence relations	596
Gallistel, C. R. Counting versus subitizing versus the sense of number	585	Seibt, U. Are animals naturally attuned to number?	597
Gellatly, A. R. H. Counting as a social practice	586	Steffe, L. P. Possibilities for the construction of a sense of number by animals	598
Honig, W. K. The magical number four, plus or minus one: Working memory for numbers of items in animals	587	Suppes, P. Problems of axiomatics and complexity in studying numerical competence in animals	599
Idrobo, F. & Mostofsky, D. I. Definitional constraints and experimental realities	588	Thomas, R. K. To honor Davis & Pérusse and repeal their glossary of processes of numerical competence	600
Johnson, M. Out for the count	589	von Glasersfeld, E. Difficulties of demonstrating the possession of concepts	601
Karmiloff-Smith, A. Human versus nonhuman abilities: Is there a difference which really counts?	589		
King, J. E. Number concepts in animals: A multidimensional array	590	Authors' Response	
Lechelt, E. C. Number reckoning strategies: A basis for distinction	590	Davis, H. & Pérusse, R. Numerical competence: From backwater to mainstream of comparative psychology	602

Johnston, T. D. Developmental explanation and the ontogeny of birdsong: Nature/nurture redux	617
---	------------

Open Peer Commentary

Alcock, J. Singing down a blind alley	630	Kruijt, J. P. & ten Cate, C. Song development and sexual imprinting: Toward an interactionist approach	640
Bekoff, M. Birdsong and the "problem" of nature and nurture: Endless chirping about inadequate evidence or merely singing the blues about inevitable biases in, and limitations of, human inference?	631	Lemon, R. E. Birdsong development: Real or imagined results?	640
Burghardt, G. M. Developmental creationism	632	Miller, D. B. Beyond interactionism: A transactional approach to behavioral development	641
Dehaene, S. & Changeux, J.-P. Selectionist mechanisms: A framework for interactionism	633	Morton, E. S. "Innate": Outdated and inadequate or linguistic convenience?	642
Gollin, E. S. Nature/nurture and other dichotomies	633	Mundinger, P. C. Conceptual errors, different perspectives, and genetic analysis of song ontogeny	643
Güttinger, H.-R. "Template theory" is heuristic in disentangling organism-environment interactions	634	Oyama, S. How do you transmit a template?	644
Hirsch, J. Behavior-genetic analysis versus ontogenetic imperialism	635	Pepperberg, I. M. Nature/nurture reflux	645
Hood, K. E. Selective breeding-selective rearing interactions and the ontogeny of aggressive behavior	636	Schull, J. In defense of innateness and of its critics	646
Jensen, D. D. The polythetic perspective	637	Searcy, W. A. Song development from evolutionary and ecological perspectives	647
Khayutin, S. N. & Alexandrov, L. I. <i>Ab ovo</i> with song?	637	Slater, P. J. B. The nature and nurture of birdsong	648
King, A. P. & West, M. J. Ducks don't sing	638	Steklis, H. D. The nature/nurture debate: Same old wolf in new sheep's clothing?	649
Konopka, R. When is developmental biology not developmental biology?	639	Thelen, E. Interactionism is good, but not good enough	650
Kroodtsma, D. E. Behavioral ontogeny research: No pain, no gain?	639	Author's Response	
		Johnston, T. D. Challenges to an interactionist approach to the study of song development	651

Logue, A. W. Research on self-control: An integrating framework 665

Open Peer Commentary

Ainslie, G. Matching is the integrating framework	679	Moore, J. Evolution and impulsiveness	691
Caraco, T. On the careful use of ecological models	680	Navarick, D. J. Spurious self-control: Potential outcome in research with humans	691
Carver, C. S. On goals, perceptions, and self-control	681	Pomerleau, O. F. & Pomerleau, C. S. On observing the unobservable	692
Eisenberger, R. Perception and learning in self-control	682	Shimoff, E. & Catania, A. C. Self-control and the panda's thumb	693
Fantino, E. & Preston, R. Foraging for integration	683	Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. Misinterpreting Mischel	693
Green, L. & Fisher, E. B., Jr. Self-control in context	684	Timberlake, W. Evolution, behavior systems, and "self-control": The fit between organism and test environment	694
Hinson, J. M. The conflicting psychologies of self-control: A way out?	685	Yamamura, N. Not all models are on the same level: Empirical law and hypothesis	695
Houston, A. I. & McNamara, J. M. In delay there lies no plenty	686	Zeiler, M. D. Evolution is not rational banking	696
Imada, S. & Imada, H. Self-restraint: A type of self-control in an approach-avoidance situation	687		
Kuhl, J. Functional characteristics of human self-control	688		
Lowe, C. F. & Horne, P. J. On the origins of selves and self-control	689	Author's Response	
Mazur, J. E. & Herrnstein, R. J. On the functions relating delay, reinforcer value, and behavior	690	Logue, A. W. Working toward the big reinforcer: Integration	697

Continuing Commentary

On Holender, D. (1986) Semantic activation without conscious identification in dichotic listening, parafoveal vision, and visual masking: A survey and appraisal. BBS 9:1-66. 711

Doyle, J. R. High-level factors alter signal detectability 711

On Spanos, N. P. (1986) Hypnotic behavior: A social-psychological interpretation of amnesia, analgesia, and "trance logic." BBS 9:449-502. 712

Michaux, D. M. J. Toward a new paradigm of hypnosis: A model combining the social-psychological and special-processes paradigms 712

Author's Response

Spanos, N. P. Misconceptions about influenceability research and about sociocognitive approaches to hypnosis 714

On Berkinblit, M. B., Feldman, A. G. & Fukson, O. I. (1986) Adaptability of innate motor patterns and motor control mechanisms. BBS 9:585-638. 717

Goldberg, G. & Mayer, N. H. Motor control as adaptational biology: Relevance to education and rehabilitation 717

Authors' Response

Berkinblit, M. B., Feldman, A. G. & Fukson, O. I. The organization and optimization of movement 719

On MacNeilage, P. F., Studdert-Kennedy, M. G. & Lindblom, B. (1987) Primate handedness reconsidered. BBS 10:247-303. 720

Denenberg, V. H. Handedness hangups and species snobbery 721

Satz, P. Primate handedness: A paradoxical link to humans? 729

Fragaszy, D. M. & Adams-Curtis, L. E. What next for handedness research? 722

Walker, S. Language, handedness, and the larynx 731

Hamilton, C. R. & Vermeire, B. A. Cognition, not handedness, is lateralized in monkeys 723

Ward, J. P. Left-hand reaching preferences in prosimians 732

Hardyck, C. Giving the primates a hand: Is the applause really justified? 725

Wilson, M. Many hands make light work: Integrating research on primate handedness 733

Harris, L. J. & Carlson, D. F. Hand preference for visually guided reaching in human infants and adults 726

Witelson, S. F. Hand preference: Basis or reflection of hemisphere specialization? 735

Kuhl, P. K. On handedness in primates and human infants 727

Authors' Response

MacNeilage, P. F., Studdert-Kennedy, M. G. & Lindblom, B. Primate handedness: A foot in the door 737

Peters, M. The primate mouth as an agent of manipulation and its relation to human handedness 729