

IDEALS OF FREE INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

W. D. MUNN

(Received 27 February 1980)

Communicated by T. E. Hall

Abstract

It is shown that no proper ideal of a free inverse semigroup is free and that every isomorphism between ideals is induced by a unique automorphism of the whole semigroup. In addition, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for two principal ideals to be isomorphic.

1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 20 M 99.

This paper is concerned with various properties of (two-sided) ideals of a free inverse semigroup S , the investigation being based on Scheiblich's structure theorem for S (Scheiblich (1972), (1973)).

We show first that no proper ideal of S is a free inverse subsemigroup of S (Theorem 1). A complementary result, stating that every ideal of S contains an isomorphic copy of S , is a consequence of a theorem established by the author in a separate note (Munn (to appear)).

The second topic discussed is that of isomorphisms between ideals of S . Every isomorphism from one ideal of S to another can be extended to a unique automorphism of S (Theorem 2); consequently, the automorphism group of an ideal of S is a subgroup of the automorphism group of S itself. A description of the automorphism group of S has been provided by O'Carroll (1974).

Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for two principal ideals of S to be isomorphic (Theorem 3) and for the inverse subsemigroups of S generated by two \mathcal{J} -classes to be isomorphic (Theorem 4). Theorems 3 and 4 answer two questions raised by Reilly (1976).

The notation and terminology throughout will be that of Howie (1976).

Let S be an inverse semigroup. The semilattice of S and the automorphism group of S will be denoted by $E(S)$ and $\text{aut } S$ respectively. Also, if A is a nonempty subset of S then A^{-1} denotes $\{a^{-1}: a \in A\}$ and so the inverse subsemigroup of S generated by A is just $\langle A \cup A^{-1} \rangle$, the subsemigroup generated by $A \cup A^{-1}$.

The following easily-checked facts will be used below without subsequent comment. If V is an inverse subsemigroup of S then any \mathcal{R} -class [\mathcal{J} -class] of S contained in V is also an \mathcal{R} -class [\mathcal{J} -class] of V . Moreover, if T and U are inverse subsemigroups of S and $t \in T$ is such that $R_t \subseteq U$ then, for any isomorphism $\theta: T \rightarrow U$ for which $R_{t\theta} \subseteq U$, we have that $R_t \theta = R_{t\theta}$.

For the remainder of the paper X will denote a nonempty set. Let $G = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_X$, the free group on X , and let 1 denote the identity of G . The length $l(a)$ of $a \in G$ is defined by

$$l(a) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if the reduced form of } a \text{ is } x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \quad (x_i \in X \cup X^{-1}), \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 1. \end{cases}$$

For all $a \in G$ the set of all initial segments (including 1) of the reduced form of a will be denoted by \bar{a} ; further, for all nonempty subsets A of G we write

$$\bar{A} = \{\bar{a}: a \in A\}$$

and we say that A is left closed if and only if $\bar{A} = A$.

We now describe the construction for the free inverse semigroup on X given by Scheiblich ((1972), (1973)). Let \mathcal{Y} denote the set of all finite left closed subsets of G with at least two elements. Write

$$S = \{(A, g) \in \mathcal{Y} \times G: g \in A\}.$$

It is readily verified that if (A, g) and (B, h) are in S then $A \cup gB \in \mathcal{Y}$; hence we can define a multiplication on S by the rule that

$$(A, g)(B, h) = (A \cup gB, gh).$$

With respect to this multiplication S is an inverse semigroup in which

$$(\forall (A, g) \in S), \quad (A, g)^{-1} = (g^{-1}A, g^{-1})$$

and

$$E(S) = \{(A, 1): A \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

Let us write

$$W = \{(\bar{x}, x): x \in X\}.$$

(Note that, for all $x \in X$, $\bar{x} = \{1, x\}$.) Then $S = \langle W \cup W^{-1} \rangle$ and each mapping from W to an inverse semigroup T extends to a unique homomorphism from S to T . Accordingly, S is the free inverse semigroup on X and W is a set of free generators of S (see Reilly (1972), (1973)). We denote S , as constructed above, by $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$. The cardinal $|X|$ of X is termed the rank of S .

The mapping $\pi: S \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$(A, g)\pi = g$$

is evidently a surjective homomorphism. Now suppose that T is an inverse

subsemigroup of S . It is straightforward to prove that

$$(P1) \quad (\forall a, b \in T), \quad a\pi = b\pi \Leftrightarrow ea = eb \quad \text{for some } e \in E(T).$$

Thus π induces the least group congruence on T (Munn (1961)). Moreover, if T is an ideal of S then $T\pi = G$.

It will be convenient to denote the \mathcal{J} -component of a typical element a of S by $\mathcal{S}(a)$. Thus

$$(\forall a \in S), \quad a = (\mathcal{S}(a), a\pi).$$

Green's relations on S are characterized in Reilly (1972) and further properties of S are listed in Reilly (1976). We note, in particular, that S is combinatorial and completely semisimple and that its partially ordered set of \mathcal{J} -classes satisfies the maximal condition. Since elements a and b of S are \mathcal{R} -equivalent if and only if $\mathcal{S}(a) = \mathcal{S}(b)$, we have that

$$(P2) \quad (\forall a \in S), \quad R_a\pi = \mathcal{S}(a).$$

Each \mathcal{J} -class of S is finite: specifically,

$$(P3) \quad (\forall a \in S), \quad |J_a| = |\mathcal{S}(a)|^2.$$

Reilly (1972) has shown that every set of free generators of S is contained in $W \cup W^{-1}$. The following property therefore follows from (P3).

$$(P4) \quad \text{If } a \text{ belongs to a set of free generators of } S \text{ then } |J_a| = 4.$$

For ease of reference we also record that

$$(P5) \quad (\forall a, b \in S), \quad (a, b) \in \mathcal{J} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}(a) = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b) \quad \text{for some } g \in \mathcal{S}(b).$$

Unlike the corresponding situation for free groups, not every inverse subsemigroup of a free inverse semigroup S is free; for example, $E(S)$ is not a free inverse semigroup. By a *proper* ideal of S we mean an ideal other than S itself. We now establish

THEOREM 1. *No proper ideal of a free inverse semigroup S is a free inverse subsemigroup of S .*

PROOF. Take $S = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$. Suppose that M is an ideal of S which is also a free inverse subsemigroup of S . We shall show that $M = S$.

Let K be a set of free generators of M and let $a \in K$. Then, by (P4) (with M replacing S), the \mathcal{J} -class of M containing a has exactly 4 elements. Since M is an ideal of S this means that $|J_a| = 4$. Consequently, by (P3), $|\mathcal{S}(a)| = 2$ and so, since $a^2 \neq a$, we have that $a \in W \cup W^{-1}$. Thus $K \subseteq W \cup W^{-1}$ and therefore $K \cup K^{-1} \subseteq W \cup W^{-1}$. Hence $(K \cup K^{-1})\pi \subseteq (W \cup W^{-1})\pi = X \cup X^{-1}$.

Now suppose that there exists $b \in (W \cup W^{-1}) \setminus (K \cup K^{-1})$. Then $b\pi \in (X \cup X^{-1}) \setminus (K \cup K^{-1})\pi$, since $\pi|_{W \cup W^{-1}}$ is injective. Let $e \in E(M)$. Then $eb \in M$ and so there exist elements k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n in $K \cup K^{-1}$ such that

$$k_1 k_2 \dots k_n = eb.$$

Since $e\pi = 1$ it follows that

$$(k_1 \pi)(k_2 \pi) \dots (k_n \pi)(b\pi)^{-1} = 1.$$

But

$$k_i \pi \in (K \cup K^{-1})\pi \subseteq X \cup X^{-1} \setminus \{b\pi, (b\pi)^{-1}\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and so we have a contradiction. Thus $K \cup K^{-1} = W \cup W^{-1}$. Hence $M = \langle K \cup K^{-1} \rangle = \langle W \cup W^{-1} \rangle = S$ and the proof is complete.

REMARK. Although a proper ideal of a free inverse semigroup S is not itself a free inverse semigroup, it contains an isomorphic copy of S (Munn (to appear) Remark 3).

We now examine isomorphisms between ideals of a free inverse semigroup. To save repetition we shall assume that $S = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$ and $G = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_X$ in the three lemmas below.

LEMMA 1. *Let T and U be inverse subsemigroups of S and let $\theta: T \rightarrow U$ be an isomorphism. Then there exists an isomorphism $\phi: T\pi \rightarrow U\pi$ such that, for all $a \in T$, $a\pi\phi = a\theta\pi$. Suppose, further, that $t \in T$ is such that $R_t \subseteq T$ and $R_{t\theta} \subseteq U$. Then*

$$(\forall a \in R_t), \quad a\theta = (\mathcal{S}(a)\phi, a\pi\phi).$$

(Note that if $a \in R_t$ then $\mathcal{S}(a) \subseteq T\pi$, since $\mathcal{S}(a) = R_t\pi$, by (P2).)

PROOF. Let $a, b \in T$ be such that $a\pi = b\pi$. Then, by (P1), there exists $e \in E(T)$ such that $ea = eb$ and so $e\theta a\theta = e\theta b\theta$. Since $e\theta \in E(U)$, this shows that $a\theta\pi = b\theta\pi$. Thus we can define a mapping $\phi: T\pi \rightarrow U\pi$ by the rule that

$$(1) \quad (\forall a \in T), \quad a\pi\phi = a\theta\pi.$$

Since θ is surjective, ϕ is surjective. Now suppose that $a, b \in T$ are such that $a\pi\phi = b\pi\phi$. Then, by (P1), there exists $f \in E(U)$ such that $f(a\theta) = f(b\theta)$ and so $(ea)\theta = (eb)\theta$, where $e = f\theta^{-1} \in E(T)$. Thus, since θ is injective, $ea = eb$. Consequently, by (P1), $a\pi = b\pi$. Hence ϕ is injective. Since θ and π are homomorphisms, so also is ϕ . Thus ϕ is an isomorphism.

Next, let $a \in R_t$. Since $R_t \subseteq T$ and $R_{t\theta} \subseteq U$ it follows that $R_a \theta = R_t \theta = R_{t\theta} = R_{a\theta}$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} a\theta &= (\mathcal{S}(a\theta), a\theta\pi) \\ &= (R_{a\theta} \pi, a\theta\pi) \quad \text{by (P2)} \\ &= (R_a \theta\pi, a\theta\pi) \\ &= (R_a \pi\varphi, a\pi\varphi) \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &= (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi) \quad \text{by (P2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

DEFINITION. An automorphism φ of $G = \mathcal{FG}_X$ is *special* if and only if $(X \cup X^{-1})\varphi = X \cup X^{-1}$.

Let the set of all special automorphisms of G be denoted by $\text{aut}^* G$. It is clear that $\text{aut}^* G$ is a subgroup of $\text{aut} G$. If X is finite with exactly n elements then $|\text{aut}^* G| = 2^n n!$.

Theorem 2 of O'Carroll (1974) shows, in effect, that

$$\text{aut } S \cong \text{aut}^* G.$$

LEMMA 2. Let M and N be ideals of S and let $\theta: M \rightarrow N$ be an isomorphism. Then there exists $\varphi \in \text{aut}^* G$ such that

$$(\forall a \in M), \quad a\theta = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi).$$

PROOF. Since M and N are ideals of S , each is a union of \mathcal{R} -classes of S . Also $M\pi = G = N\pi$. Hence, by Lemma 1, there exists $\varphi \in \text{aut} G$ such that

$$(2) \quad (\forall a \in M), \quad a\theta = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi).$$

It remains to show that φ is special.

Suppose that there exists $x \in X \cup X^{-1}$ such that $l(x\varphi) > 1$. Let $e \in E(M)$ and let $k = |\mathcal{S}(e)|$. Since $\mathcal{S}(e)$ is finite and left closed there exists a nonnegative integer r such that $x^n \in \mathcal{S}(e)$ if $0 \leq n \leq r$ and $x^n \notin \mathcal{S}(e)$ if $n > r$. (By convention, $x^0 = 1$.) Let us write $f = eg$, where $g = (\overline{x^{r+2k}}, 1)$. Then $f \in M$, since M is an ideal of S . Now let $A = \{x^{r+1}, x^{r+2}, \dots, x^{r+2k}\}$. We have that

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = \mathcal{S}(e) \cup A, \quad \mathcal{S}(e) \cap A = \emptyset$$

and so $|\mathcal{S}(f)| = 3k$. Thus, since φ is injective,

$$(3) \quad |\mathcal{S}(f)\varphi| = 3k.$$

But $A\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{S}(f)\varphi$; also $\mathcal{S}(f)\varphi$ is left closed since $\mathcal{S}(f)\varphi = \mathcal{S}(f\theta)$, by (2). Hence $\overline{A\varphi} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(f)\varphi$. Thus, from (3),

$$(4) \quad |\overline{A\varphi}| \leq 3k.$$

Let $u, v \in X \cup X^{-1}$ be the first and last letters, respectively, of $x\varphi$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, u and v are the first and last letters, respectively, of $(x\varphi)^n$. Consider the elements listed below:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} &(x\varphi)^{r+1}, (x\varphi)^{r+2}, \dots, (x\varphi)^{r+2k}, \\ &(x\varphi)^{r+1}u, (x\varphi)^{r+2}u, \dots, (x\varphi)^{r+2k-1}u. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. If $p \neq q$ then $(x\varphi)^p \neq (x\varphi)^q$ and $(x\varphi)^p u \neq (x\varphi)^q u$. Now suppose that $(x\varphi)^p = (x\varphi)^q u$. Then $p \neq q$, since $u \neq 1$, and so $l((x\varphi)^{p-q}) > 1$. But $(x\varphi)^{p-q} = u$ and $l(u) = 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus the $4k - 1$ elements in the list (5) are distinct. Furthermore, for all integers p such that $r + 1 \leq p \leq r + 2k - 1$, $(x\varphi)^p u$ is an initial segment of $(x\varphi)^{p+1}$ if $u \neq v^{-1}$ and is an initial segment of $(x\varphi)^p$ if $u = v^{-1}$. It follows that all the elements in the list (5) lie in $\overline{A\varphi}$. Hence $|\overline{A\varphi}| \geq 4k - 1$. But this contradicts (4), since $k > 1$. Consequently, $l(x\varphi) = 1$ for all $x \in X \cup X^{-1}$; that is, $(X \cup X^{-1})\varphi \subseteq X \cup X^{-1}$.

Suppose that $(X \cup X^{-1})\varphi \neq X \cup X^{-1}$. Then there exists $y \in X \cup X^{-1}$ such that $y\varphi^{-1} \notin X \cup X^{-1}$. Hence

$$(6) \quad l(y\varphi^{-1}) > 1.$$

Now θ^{-1} is an isomorphism from N to M . By analogy with (2), there exists $\psi \in \text{aut } G$ such that

$$(\forall b \in N), \quad b\theta^{-1} = (\mathcal{S}(b)\psi, b\pi\psi).$$

Thus, for all $a \in M$, $a\pi = (a\theta)\theta^{-1}\pi = (a\theta)\pi\psi$ and so $a\pi\psi^{-1} = a\theta\pi = a\pi\varphi$. Since $M\pi = G$ this implies that $\psi^{-1} = \varphi$. Hence $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$. The same argument as before, with $N, M, \theta^{-1}, \varphi^{-1}$ replacing M, N, θ, φ respectively, now shows that (6) leads to a contradiction. Hence $(X \cup X^{-1})\varphi = X \cup X^{-1}$; that is, φ is special.

LEMMA 3. *Let $\varphi \in \text{aut}^* G$. Then there exists an automorphism α of S such that*

$$(\forall a \in S), \quad a\alpha = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi).$$

PROOF. We first note that, for all $g \in G, \overline{g\varphi} \sim \bar{g}\varphi$ and so, for all $a \in S, \mathcal{S}(a)\varphi$ is left closed. Hence we can define a mapping $\alpha: S \rightarrow S$ by

$$(\forall a \in S), \quad a\alpha = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi).$$

Similarly, we can define $\alpha': S \rightarrow S$ by

$$(\forall a \in S), \quad a\alpha' = (\mathcal{S}(a)\phi^{-1}, a\pi\phi^{-1}).$$

Then $\alpha'\alpha = \iota = \alpha\alpha'$, where ι is the identity mapping on S . Hence α is bijective. Moreover, α is a homomorphism, since ϕ is a homomorphism. Thus $\alpha \in \text{aut } S$.

REMARK. From Lemma 2 (with $M = N = S$) and Lemma 3 we can recover O'Carroll's theorem linking $\text{aut } S$ and $\text{aut}^* G$.

We now come to the second main result.

THEOREM 2. *Let S be a free inverse semigroup, let M and N be ideals of S and let $\theta: M \rightarrow N$ be an isomorphism. Then there exists a unique automorphism α of S such that $\alpha|_M = \theta$.*

PROOF. Let $S = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$, as before. By Lemma 2, there exists $\varphi \in \text{aut}^* G$ such that

$$(\forall a \in M), \quad a\theta = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi).$$

Hence, by Lemma 3, there exists $\alpha \in \text{aut } S$ such that

$$(\forall a \in S), \quad a\alpha = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi).$$

Thus $\alpha|_M = \theta$.

Now suppose that $\beta \in \text{aut } S$ is such that $\beta|_M = \theta$. Then, by Lemma 2 (with $M = N = S$), there exists $\psi \in \text{aut}^* G$ such that

$$(\forall a \in S), \quad a\beta = (\mathcal{S}(a)\psi, a\pi\psi).$$

Hence, since $a\alpha = a\beta$ for all $a \in M$, we have that

$$(\forall a \in M), \quad a\pi\varphi = a\pi\psi.$$

But $M\pi = G$. Consequently $\varphi = \psi$ and so $\alpha = \beta$.

By specializing to the case $M = N$ we readily obtain the following corollary concerning $\text{aut } M$.

COROLLARY. *Let M be an ideal of a free inverse semigroup of S . Then*

$$\text{aut } M \cong \{\alpha \in \text{aut } S: M\alpha = M\}.$$

Thus, since $\text{aut } S \cong \text{aut}^* G$, we see that $\text{aut } M$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of

$\text{aut}^* G$. In particular, if S has finite rank then $\text{aut} M$ is finite.

As an application of the corollary above, consider the following sequence of ideals of S . For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let us write

$$S_n = \{a \in S: |\mathcal{S}(a)| \geq n + 1\}.$$

It is almost immediate that each S_n is an ideal of S and that

$$S = S_1 \supset S_2 \supset S_3 \supset \dots$$

Now, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and all $\alpha \in \text{aut} S$, we have that $S_n \alpha = S_n$, as can easily be verified with the help of (P3). Hence, from the corollary,

$$(\forall n \in \mathbf{N}), \quad \text{aut} S_n \cong \text{aut} S.$$

On the other hand, since the number of elements in a maximal \mathcal{J} -class of S_k is $(k + 1)^2$ it follows that

$$(\forall m, n \in \mathbf{N}), \quad S_m \cong S_n \Leftrightarrow m = n.$$

In the special case where S has rank 1 the ideals S_n ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) are the only ideals of S and hence all ideals have the same automorphism group, namely the group of order 2. It will be shown later that if S has finite rank greater than 1 then S possesses a principal ideal whose automorphism group is trivial.

Next we give a method for testing whether two principal ideals of a free inverse semigroup are isomorphic.

THEOREM 3. *Let $S = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$, let $G = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_X$ and let $a, b \in S$. Then $SaS \cong S_bS$ if and only if there exist $\varphi \in \text{aut}^* G$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$.*

PROOF. Suppose first that there exists an isomorphism $\theta: SaS \rightarrow S_bS$. Then, by Lemma 2, there exists $\varphi \in \text{aut}^* G$ such that

$$(\forall c \in SaS), \quad c\theta = (\mathcal{S}(c)\varphi, c\pi\varphi).$$

In particular, $a\theta = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi)$ and so $\mathcal{S}(a\theta) = \mathcal{S}(a)\varphi$. But J_a and J_b are the greatest \mathcal{J} -classes of SaS and S_bS respectively and so $(a\theta, b) \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus, by (P5), there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(a\theta) = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$.

Conversely, suppose that there exist $\varphi \in \text{aut}^* G$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$. By Lemma 3, there exists $\alpha \in \text{aut} S$ such that

$$(\forall a \in S), \quad a\alpha = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi).$$

Now $b = (\mathcal{S}(b), b\pi)$ and so, since there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b) = \mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = \mathcal{S}(a\alpha)$, it follows from (P5) that $(b, a\alpha) \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus $(SaS)\alpha = S(a\alpha)S = S_bS$; hence $SaS \cong S_bS$.

The result can be expressed in a simple form making use of the author’s concept of a ‘word-tree’ (Munn (1974)). Each \mathcal{I} -class of $S = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$ corresponds to an unrooted word-tree and two principal ideals of S are isomorphic if and only if the word-trees corresponding to their generating \mathcal{I} -classes are obtainable from each other by reversing the orientation of those edges labelled by elements of some subset of X and then relabelling all the edges by applying a permutation to X .

The argument used in the first part of the proof of Theorem 3 enables us to show that a free inverse semigroup of finite rank greater than 1 has a principal ideal whose automorphism group is trivial. Let $S = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$ and $G = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_X$, where $2 \leq |X| = n \in \mathbf{N}$, and let the elements of X be x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Take

$$a = (\bar{x}_1 \cup \bar{x}_2^2 \cup \bar{x}_3^3 \cup \dots \cup \bar{x}_n^n \cup 1)$$

and let $\theta \in \text{aut } SaS$. Then there exist $\varphi \in \text{aut}^* G$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(a)$ such that

$$(i) \quad (\forall c \in SaS), \quad c\theta = (\mathcal{S}(c)\varphi, c\pi\varphi)$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a).$$

The elements of $\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi$ of length 1 are $x_1\varphi, x_2\varphi, \dots, x_n\varphi$. Suppose that $g = x_i^r$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq r \leq i$. If $r \neq i$ then $g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a)$ has precisely two elements of length 1, namely x_i^{-1} and x_i , while if $r = i$, it has precisely one of length 1, namely x_i^{-1} . In either case we obtain a contradiction from (ii). Consequently, $g = 1$. Hence, since φ is length-preserving, it follows from (ii) that φ is the identity automorphism of G . Thus, from (i), θ is the identity automorphism of SaS .

Theorem 3 provides a solution to a problem suggested by Reilly (1976). A second problem, also posed by Reilly in the same paper and related to the first, can be solved by similar techniques. We state the result as

THEOREM 4. *Let $S = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$ and let $a, b \in S$. Then $\langle J_a \rangle \cong \langle J_b \rangle$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism*

$$\varphi: \langle J_a \rangle \pi \rightarrow \langle J_b \rangle \pi$$

and an element g in $\mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$. (Note that $\mathcal{S}(a) \subseteq \langle J_a \rangle \pi$, since $\mathcal{S}(a) = R_a \pi$, $b\gamma$ (P2).)

PROOF. Write $T = \langle J_a \rangle$ and $U = \langle J_b \rangle$. Since $J_a^{-1} = J_a$ we see that T is an inverse subsemigroup of S . Similarly, U is an inverse subsemigroup of S .

Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\theta: T \rightarrow U$. Since J_a and J_b are, respectively, the greatest \mathcal{I} -classes of T and U , it follows that $J_a\theta = J_b$. Hence, in

particular, $R_{a\theta} \subseteq U$. Thus, by Lemma 1, there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: T\pi \rightarrow U\pi$ such that

$$a\theta = (\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi, a\pi\varphi).$$

Hence $\mathcal{S}(a\theta) = \mathcal{S}(a)\varphi$. But, by (P5), since $(a\theta, b) \in \mathcal{J}$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(a\theta) = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$. Thus $\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$.

Conversely, suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: T\pi \rightarrow U\pi$ and an element g in $\mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$. First, we note that, for all $h \in \mathcal{S}(a)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (h^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a))\varphi &= (h\varphi)^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi \\ &= (h\varphi)^{-1}g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b) \\ &= (g(h\varphi))^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b); \end{aligned}$$

furthermore, $g(h\varphi) \in \mathcal{S}(b)$, since $h\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$. Combining this with (P5) we see that, for all $h \in \mathcal{S}(a)$ and all $k \in h^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a)$,

$$(7) \quad ((h^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a))\varphi, k\varphi) \in J_b.$$

Now consider an element $c \in T$. By the definition of T there exist $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r \in J_a$ such that $c = c_1 c_2 \dots c_r$. But $\mathcal{S}(c_i) = h_i^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a)$ for some h_i in $\mathcal{S}(a)$, by (P5), and so, by (7), $(\mathcal{S}(c_i)\varphi, c_i\pi\varphi) \in J_b$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). Thus

$$(\mathcal{S}(c)\varphi, c\pi\varphi) = (\mathcal{S}(c_1)\varphi, c_1\pi\varphi) \dots (\mathcal{S}(c_r)\varphi, c_r\pi\varphi) \in \langle J_b \rangle = U.$$

We can therefore define a mapping $\theta: T \rightarrow U$ by the rule that

$$(8) \quad (\forall c \in T), \quad c\theta = (\mathcal{S}(c)\varphi, c\pi\varphi).$$

Clearly θ is a homomorphism; moreover, since φ has an inverse it follows that θ is injective. We show that θ is surjective. It will suffice to prove that $J_b \subseteq J_a\theta$.

Let $d \in J_b$. By (P5), there exists $k \in \mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(d) = k^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$. But $\mathcal{S}(b) = g(\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi)$, by hypothesis. Hence $k = g(h\varphi)$ for some $h \in \mathcal{S}(a)$ and so

$$k^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b) = (h\varphi)^{-1}g^{-1}[g(\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi)];$$

that is,

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{S}(d) = (h^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a))\varphi.$$

Also $d\pi \in \mathcal{S}(d)$ and so, by (9), $d\pi = m\varphi$ for some $m \in h^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a)$. But $(h^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a), m) \in J_a$, by (P5). Hence, by (9) and (8),

$$d = ((h^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a))\varphi, m\varphi) = (h^{-1}\mathcal{S}(a), m)\theta \in J_a\theta.$$

Thus $J_b \subseteq J_a\theta$, as required.

The mapping θ is therefore an isomorphism and the proof is complete.

To conclude, we give an example to illustrate Theorems 3 and 4. Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$, let $S = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{I}_X$ and let $a, b \in S$ be defined as follows:

$$a = (\{1, x, xy, xyx\}, 1), \quad b = (\{1, x, z^{-1}, z^{-1}x\}, 1).$$

Let $G = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_X$. Then it can be verified, by exhaustion of cases, that there does not exist (φ, g) in $\text{aut}^* G \times \mathcal{S}(b)$ such that $\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = g^{-1}\mathcal{S}(b)$. Thus, by Theorem 3, $SaS \not\cong SbS$.

Now $\langle J_a \rangle \pi$ and $\langle J_b \rangle \pi$ are, respectively, the free groups on $\{x, y\}$ and $\{x, z\}$. Let $\varphi: \langle J_a \rangle \pi \rightarrow \langle J_b \rangle \pi$ be the isomorphism defined by

$$x\varphi = x, \quad y\varphi = x^{-1}z.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{S}(a)\varphi = \{1, x, z, zx\} = z\mathcal{S}(b)$$

and $z^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}(b)$. Hence, by Theorem 4, $\langle J_a \rangle \cong \langle J_b \rangle$.

Acknowledgements

The main part of this work was carried out while I held a visiting appointment at Monash University. I wish to express my gratitude to the university authorities for their support and my thanks to the members of the Mathematics Department for many helpful and stimulating discussions.

References

- J. M. Howie (1976), *An introduction to semigroup theory* (Academic Press, London).
- W. D. Munn (1961), 'A class of irreducible matrix representations of an arbitrary inverse semigroup', *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* **5**, 41–48.
- W. D. Munn (1974), 'Free inverse semigroups', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **29**, 385–404.
- W. D. Munn (to appear) 'An embedding theorem for free inverse semigroups', *Glasgow Math. J.*
- L. O'Carroll (1974), 'A note on free inverse semigroups', *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **19**, 17–23.
- N. R. Reilly (1972), 'Free generators in free inverse semigroups', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **7**, 407–424.
- N. R. Reilly (1973), 'Free generators in free inverse semigroups: corrigenda', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **9**, 479.
- N. R. Reilly (1976), 'Free inverse semigroups', *Algebraic theory of semigroups*, pp. 479–508 (Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai 20).
- H. E. Scheiblich (1972), 'Free inverse semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **4**, 351–359.
- H. E. Scheiblich (1973), 'Free inverse semigroups', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **38**, 1–7.

Department of Mathematics
University of Glasgow
Glasgow G12 8QW
Scotland