Short Communication

Extending the paradigm: a policy framework for healthy and equitable eating (HE²)

Melanie Pescud^{1,2,*}, Sharon Friel^{1,2}, Amanda Lee¹, Gary Sacks^{1,3}, Elizabeth Meertens^{1,4}, Rob Carter^{1,5}, Megan Cobcroft^{1,6}, Elizabeth Munn^{1,6} and Joanne Greenfield^{1,7}

¹The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre, Sax Institute, Ultimo, Australia: ²Menzies Centre for Health Policy, School of Regulation and Global Governance (RegNet), ANU College of Asia & the Pacific, Australian National University, Room 3.34 Coombs Extension Building, Building 8 Fellows Road, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia: ³World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Obesity Prevention, Deakin University, Burwood, Australia; Melbourne, Australia: ⁵Centre for Population Health Research, Deakin University, Burwood, Australia: ⁶Centre for Population Health, NSW Ministry of Health, North Sydney, Australia: ⁷Health Improvement Branch, ACT Government, Canberra, Australia

Submitted 8 March 2018: Final revision received 23 June 2018: Accepted 16 July 2018: First published online 20 August 2018

Abstract

Objective: The current short communication aimed to provide a new conceptualisation of the policy drivers of inequities in healthy eating and to make a call to action to begin populating this framework with evidence of actions that can be taken to reduce the inequities in healthy eating.

Design: The Healthy and Equitable Eating (HE²) Framework derives from a systems-based analytical approach involving expert workshops. *Setting:* Australia.

Subjects: Academics, government officials and non-government organisations in Australia.

Results: The HE² Framework extends previous conceptualisations of policy responses to healthy eating to include the social determinants of healthy eating and its social distribution, encompassing policy areas including housing, social protection, employment, education, transport, urban planning, plus the food system and environment.

Conclusions: As the burden of non-communicable diseases continues to grow globally, it is important that governments, practitioners and researchers focus attention on the development and implementation of policies beyond the food system and environment that can address the social determinants of inequities in healthy eating.

Keywords
Social determinants of health
Nutrition
Health inequities
Health inequalities
Health policy
Public policy

Poor diet is the leading preventable risk factor globally for many non-communicable diseases⁽¹⁾. People who experience greater social disadvantage have poorer diets and consequentially increased risks of non-communicable diseases⁽²⁻⁴⁾.

Much research has highlighted the relationships between food systems and local food environments and healthy eating^(5–8). Accordingly, policy-focused global initiatives have identified effective nutrition policy actions aimed at improving food environments. NOURISHING was developed to identify policy areas and actions that promote healthy eating, and to create a framework that

facilitates the ongoing monitoring, reporting and communication of policy actions for the use of policy makers⁽⁹⁾. The Healthy Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI), developed by INFORMAS (International Network for Food and Obesity/Non-communicable Diseases Research, Monitoring and Action Support), is a tool for monitoring and evaluating public-sector policies relating to the food environment for the purpose of strengthening accountability across sectors with the goal to reduce non-communicable diseases^(10–12).

Increasingly, there is evidence suggesting that a number of the determinants of healthy eating, and particularly

the social inequities in healthy eating, exist outside the food system and food environments^(13–16). To date, however, there has been no analytical approach to help guide the identification of policy actions targeting the social determinants of inequities in healthy eating and to provide an organising framework for good practice in these policy areas. The purpose of the current short communication is to present social determinants of inequities in a healthy and equitable eating policy framework (hereafter the HE² Framework) and to make a call to action to populate the framework with effective policies.

The HE² Framework derives from a recent study, whereby a systems-based causal loop diagram of the inequities in healthy eating was produced. The purpose of that analysis and diagram was both to illustrate the complexity of determinants of inequities in healthy eating and to provide a basis for planning for the prevention of inequities in healthy eating by traversing multiple levels of causes and policies⁽¹⁴⁾. The proposed policy domains of the HE² Framework emerged from the sub-systems featuring within the systems diagram. Overarching policy statements were then generated for each domain using expert knowledge from the research team and literature.

The HE² Framework

The HE² Framework is presented in Table 1. It includes policy domains and an overarching statement for each policy domain. As Table 2 highlights, the HE² Framework presents a conceptual innovation for addressing inequities in healthy eating. It provides an organising policy framework for populating the domains of housing, social protection, employment, education, transport, planning, and the food system and environment. HE² complements and extends existing frameworks, such as NOURISHING and Food-EPI, to include the social determinants of inequities in healthy eating (Table 2).

A call to action

This is a call to researchers and policy makers globally to expand their conceptualisation of the drivers of inequities in healthy eating to include the social determinants and to populate the HE² Framework with evidence-based policies in each of these domains. A key next step will involve engagement with stakeholders in the policy domains of housing, social protection, employment, education, transport, planning and the food system to gain a better understanding of the different values and sectoral goals. This will enable identification of mutually beneficial outcomes that will help effect changes in these

Table 1 The Healthy and Equitable Eating (HE2) Framework

Policy domain	Overarching policy statements
Housing	Establish minimum standards and pricing structures for public housing and best-practice principles for housing outside the public realm (i.e. new developments of houses/flats; institutions) to ensure that housing that promotes healthy food environments is affordable ^(18–20)
Social Protection	Establish and implement policies to improve the conditions that perpetuate poor nutrition among disadvantaged groups, ensuring that financial needs are met with respect to food shopping and consumptior and that guidelines exist that promote healthy food provision within the emergency food sector ^(13,21–25)
Employment	Create policies to ensure access to employment/income, coupled with comprehensive and flexible workplace policies designed to ensure that employees and the general public are supported to make healthy food choices both within the workplace and outside ^(13,26,27)
Education	Ensure nutrition literacy needs are met for children and families and that support and resources are provided to equip children to stay in the education system for as long as possible (9,23,28–34)
Transport	Ensure transport policies support accessibility needs of all citizens, subsidise travel fares for those on low incomes or with disabilities, and encompass freight subsidies for fresh produce ^(35–41)
Planning	Implement planning policies to support the availability and accessibility of healthy foods and limit the availability of unhealthy foods in communities ^(42–48)
Food System & Environment	Implement policies across the food system and environment to ensure coherence with respect to nutrition-focused equity goals across sectors, to improve levels of availability, accessibility, affordability and acceptability of healthy foods (9,13,14,49)

areas. Ultimately, it will be important to benchmark and monitor the policy actions within each of the domains. Benchmarking and monitoring could follow a similar methodology to that used in Food-EPI INFORMAS⁽¹⁷⁾. This process requires input from government representatives who are charged with providing evidence relating to the types of policies and stages of implementation in their various jurisdictions. The HE² Framework represents an important step in advancing work in the area of the social determinants of inequities in health, and in particular healthy eating. As the epidemics of noncommunicable diseases and obesity continue, and the associated inequities widen in many instances, it is important that governments, practitioners and researchers focus attention on the development and implementation of policies beyond the food environment that can address the social determinants of inequities in healthy eating.

Table 2 Policy domains and actions included in the NOURISHING, Healthy Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI) and Healthy and Equitable Eating (HE²) frameworks

Equitable Eating (HE ²)	trameworks			
Domain	Actions	NOURISHING	Food- EPI	HE ²
Food System & Environment	Harness the food supply chain and actions across sectors to ensure coherence with health: e.g. supply-chain incentives for production; public procurement through 'short' chains; health-in-all policies; governance structures for multisectoral engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Food Trade & Investment	Impacts of trade and investment agreements on the healthiness of food environments	No	Yes	No
Food Retail	Set incentives and rules to create a healthy retail and food-service environment: e.g. incentives for shops to locate in underserved areas; planning restrictions on food outlets; in-store promotions	Yes	Yes	Yes
Food Promotion	Restrict food advertising and other forms of commercial promotion: e.g. restrict advertising to children that promotes unhealthy diets in all forms of media; sales promotions; packaging; sponsorship	Yes	Yes	Yes
Food Provision	Offer healthy foods and set standards in public institutions and other specific settings: e.g. fruit and vegetable programmes; standards in education, work, health facilities; award schemes; choice architecture		Yes	Yes
Food Price	Use economic tools to address food affordability and purchase incentives: e.g. targeted subsidies; price promotions at point of sale; unit pricing; health-related food taxes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Food Labelling	Nutrition label standards and regulations on the use of claims and implied claims on foods: e.g. nutrient lists on food packages; clearly visible 'interpretive' and energy (calorie) labels; menu, shelf labels; rules on nutrient and health claims	Yes	Yes	Yes
Food Composition	Improve the nutritional quality of the whole food supply: e.g. reformulation to reduce salt and fats; elimination of <i>trans</i> fats; reduce energy density of processed foods; portion size limits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Behaviour Change Communication	Inform people about food and nutrition through public awareness: e.g. education about food-based dietary guidelines, mass media, social marketing; community and public information campaigns	Yes	Yes	No
	Nutrition advice and counselling in health-care settings: e.g. nutrition advice for at-risk individuals; telephone advice and support; clinical guidelines for health professionals on effective interventions for nutrition	Yes	No	No
	Give nutrition education and skills: e.g. nutrition, cooking/food production skills on education curricula; workplace health schemes; health literacy programmes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Leadership	Create strong visible political support; establish population intake targets; implement food-based dietary guidelines; create comprehensive implementation plan linked to national needs; establish priorities for reducing inequalities	No	Yes	Yes
Governance	Restrict commercial influence on policy development; use evidence in food policies; create transparency for the public in the development of food policies; provide access to government information	No	Yes	No
Monitoring & Intelligence	Monitor food environments, nutritional status and intakes, BMI, NCD risk factors and prevalence, and progress on reducing health inequalities; evaluate major programmes	Yes	Yes	No
Funding & Resources	Provide budget for population nutrition promotion; provide research funding for obesity and NCD prevention	No	Yes	No
Platforms for interaction	Coordinate national and local government mechanisms; provide platforms for the government to communicate/collaborate with the food sector and civil society; take a systems-based approach to obesity prevention	No	Yes	Yes
Health-in-all policies Housing	Assess health impacts of food policies; assess health impacts of non-food policies Establish minimum standards and pricing structures for public housing and best-	No No	Yes No	Yes Yes
. roughly	practice principles for housing outside the public realm (i.e. new developments of houses/flats; institutions) to ensure that housing that promotes healthy food environments is affordable			
Social protection	Establish and implement policies to improve the conditions that perpetuate poor nutrition among disadvantaged groups, ensuring that financial needs are met with respect to food shopping and consumption, and that guidelines exist that promote healthy food provision within the emergency food sector	No	No	Yes
Employment	Policies to ensure access to employment/income coupled with comprehensive and flexible work policies created to ensure that employees and the general public are supported to make healthy food choices both within the workplace and outside	No	Yes	Yes
Education	Ensure nutrition literacy needs are met for children and families and that support and resources are provided to equip children to stay in the education system for as long as possible	No	No	Yes
Transport	Ensure transport policies support accessibility needs of all citizens, subsidise travel fares for those on low incomes or with disabilities, and encompass freight subsidies for fresh produce	No	No	Yes
Planning	Implement planning policies to support the availability and accessibility of healthy foods and limit the availability of unhealthy foods in communities	No	Yes	Yes

NCD, non-communicable disease. Yes = included in the framework; No = not included in framework.

Acknowledgements

Financial support: This research was supported by The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre through the National Health and Medical Research Council Partnership Centre grant scheme (grant number GNT9100001) with the Australian Government Department of Health, the NSW Ministry of Health, ACT Health and the HCF Research Foundation. At the time of the research, M.C. and E.M. were working for the NSW Ministry of Health and J.G. was working for the ACT Government, who are funders of The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre. Conflict of interest: None. Authorship: S.F. received funding to conduct the study. All authors developed the original idea for the study. M.P. drafted the initial version of the manuscript and all authors critically reviewed and approved of the final version. Ethics of human subject participation: Not applicable.

References

- 1. World Health Organization (2013) Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases 2013–2020. Geneva: WHO.
- Novaković R, Cavelaars A, Geelen A et al. (2014) Socioeconomic determinants of micronutrient intake and status in Europe: a systematic review. Public Health Nutr 17, 1031– 1045
- Allen L, Williams J, Townsend N et al. (2017) Socioeconomic status and non-communicable disease behavioural risk factors in low-income and lower-middle-income countries: a systematic review. Lancet Glob Health 5, e277– e289.
- Shaikh RA, Siahpush M, Singh GK et al. (2015) Socioeconomic status, smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, and dietary behavior as determinants of obesity and body mass index in the United States: findings from the National Health Interview Survey. Int J MCH AIDS 4, 22–34.
- Swinburn BA, Sacks G, Hall KD et al. (2011) The global obesity pandemic: shaped by global drivers and local environments. Lancet 378, 804–814.
- Roberto CA, Swinburn B, Hawkes C et al. (2015) Patchy progress on obesity prevention: emerging examples, entrenched barriers, and new thinking. Lancet 385, 2400– 2409.
- Swinburn B, Kraak V, Rutter H et al. (2015) Strengthening of accountability systems to create healthy food environments and reduce global obesity. Lancet 385, 2534–2545.
- 8. Townshend T & Lake A (2017) Obesogenic environments: current evidence of the built and food environments. *Perspect Public Health* **137**, 38–44.
- Hawkes C, Jewell J & Allen K (2013) A food policy package for healthy diets and the prevention of obesity and dietrelated non-communicable diseases: the NOURISHING framework. Obes Rev 14, 159–168.
- Swinburn B, Sacks G, Vandevijvere S et al. (2013) INFOR-MAS (International Network for Food and Obesity/noncommunicable diseases Research, Monitoring and Action Support): overview and key principles. Obes Rev 14, 1–12.
- Phulkerd S, Vandevijvere S, Lawrence M et al. (2017) Level of implementation of best practice policies for creating healthy food environments: assessment by state and non-state actors in Thailand. Public Health Nutr 20, 381– 390.

- 12. Vandevijvere S, Mackay S & Swinburn B (2017) Benchmarking Food Environments 2017: Progress by the New Zealand Government on Implementing Recommended Food Environment Policies and Prioritised Recommendations. https://figshare.com/articles/Benchmarking_Food_Environments_Progress_by_the_new_Zealand_Government_on_Implementing_Recommended_Food_Environment_Policies_and_Prioritised_recommendations_2017_/5673472 (accessed August 2018).
- Friel S, Hattersley L, Ford L et al. (2015) Addressing inequities in healthy eating. Health Promot Int 30, ii77–ii88.
- 14. Friel S, Pescud M, Malbon E *et al.* (2017) Using systems science to understand the determinants of inequities in healthy eating. *PLoS One* **12**, e0188872.
- 15. Hawkes C & Popkin BM (2015) Can the sustainable development goals reduce the burden of nutrition-related non-communicable diseases without truly addressing major food system reforms? *BMC Med* **13**, 143.
- Taylor LA, Tan AX, Coyle CE et al. (2016) Leveraging the social determinants of health: what works? PLoS One 11, e0160217.
- 17. Vandevijvere S & Swinburn B (2015) Pilot test of the Healthy Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI) to increase government actions for creating healthy food environments. *BMJ Open* **5**, e006194.
- Pollack CE, Griffin BA & Lynch J (2010) Housing affordability and health among homeowners and renters. Am J Prev Med 39, 515–521.
- Patrick H & Nicklas TA (2005) A review of family and social determinants of children's eating patterns and diet quality. J Am Coll Nutr 24, 83–92.
- Harrison M, Lee A, Findlay M et al. (2010) The increasing cost of healthy food. Aust N Z J Public Health 34, 179– 186.
- Lee AJ, Kane S, Ramsey R et al. (2016) Testing the price and affordability of healthy and current (unhealthy) diets and the potential impacts of policy change in Australia. BMC Public Health 16, 315.
- Lee A, Ni Mhurchu C, Sacks G et al. (2013) Monitoring the price and affordability of foods and diets globally. Obes Rev 14, 82–95.
- Pettigrew S, Moore S, Pratt IS et al. (2016) Evaluation outcomes of a long-running adult nutrition education programme. Public Health Nutr 19, 743–752.
- 24. Sisson LG & Lown DA (2011) Do soup kitchen meals contribute to suboptimal nutrient intake & obesity in the homeless population? *J Hunger Environ Nutr* **6**, 312–323.
- 25. Wilson A, Szwed N & Renzaho A (2012) Developing nutrition guidelines for recycled food to improve food security among homeless, asylum seekers, and refugees in Victoria, Australia. *J Hunger Environ Nutr* **7**, 239–252.
- Monsivais P, Aggarwal A & Drewnowski A (2014) Time spent on home food preparation and indicators of healthy eating. Am J Prev Med 47, 796–802.
- Dixon J, Woodman D, Strazdins L et al. (2014) Flexible employment, flexible eating and health risks. Crit Public Health 24, 461–475.
- 28. Braveman P, Egerter S & Williams DR (2011) The social determinants of health: coming of age. *Annu Rev Public Health* **32**, 381–398.
- 29. Marmot M, Allen J, Bell R *et al.* (2012) WHO European review of social determinants of health and the health divide. *Lancet* **380**, 1011–1029.
- Arnold CG & Sobal J (2000) Food practices and nutrition knowledge after graduation from the Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP). J Nutr Educ 32, 130– 138.
- 31. Dollahite JS, Pijai EI, Scott-Pierce M *et al.* (2014) A randomized controlled trial of a community-based nutrition

- education program for low-income parents. *J Nutr Educ Behav* **46**, 102–109.
- Home Economics Institute of Australia (2010) Position Paper: Home Economics and the Australian Curriculum. http://www.heia.com.au/resources/documents/HEIA_position_ paper_home_economics_australian_curriculum.pdf (accessed August 2018).
- Fung C, McIsaac J-LD, Kuhle Set al. (2013) The impact of a population-level school food and nutrition policy on dietary intake and body weights of Canadian children. Prev Med 57, 934–940.
- Pettigrew S, Donovan RJ, Jalleh G et al. (2014) Predictors of positive outcomes of a school food provision policy in Australia. Health Promot Int 29, 317–327.
- Dibsdall L, Lambert N, Bobbin R et al. (2003) Low-income consumers' attitudes and behaviour towards access, availability and motivation to eat fruit and vegetables. Public Health Nutr 6, 159–168.
- Walker RE, Keane CR & Burke JG (2010) Disparities and access to healthy food in the United States: a review of food deserts literature. *Health Place* 16, 876–884.
- Ball K, Timperio A & Crawford D (2009) Neighbourhood socioeconomic inequalities in food access and affordability. Health Place 15, 578–585.
- Jiao J, Moudon AV, Ulmer J et al. (2012) How to identify food deserts: measuring physical and economic access to supermarkets in King County, Washington. Am J Public Health 102, e32–e39.
- Badland H, Mavoa S, Villanueva K et al. (2015) The development of policy-relevant transport indicators to monitor health behaviours and outcomes. J Transport Health 2, 103–110.

- Lee A, Rainow S, Tregenza J et al. (2015) Nutrition in remote Aboriginal communities: lessons from Mai Wiru and the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Lands. Aust N Z J Public Health 40, Suppl. 1, S81–S88.
- Smith DM, Cummins S, Taylor M et al. (2009) Neighbourhood food environment and area deprivation: spatial accessibility to grocery stores selling fresh fruit and vegetables in urban and rural settings. Int J Epidemiol 39, 2877–284.
- Saelens BE, Sallis JF & Frank LD (2003) Environmental correlates of walking and cycling: findings from the transportation, urban design, and planning literatures. *Ann Behav Med* 25, 80–91.
- Christian H, Knuiman M, Bull F et al. (2013) A new urban planning code's impact on walking: the residential environments project. Am J Public Health 103, 1219–1228.
- Harris E (2009) The role of community gardens in creating healthy communities. Aust Planner 46, 24–27.
- Rundle A, Neckerman KM, Freeman L et al. (2009) Neighborhood food environment and walkability predict obesity in New York City. Environ Health Perspect 117, 442–447.
- Astell-Burt T & Feng X (2015) Geographic inequity in healthy food environment and type 2 diabetes: can we please turn off the tap? *Med J Aust* 203, 246–248.
- 47. Planning Institute of Australia (2009) *Healthy Spaces and Places*. Kingston, ACT: Planning Institute of Australia.
- Heart Foundation (2016) Healthy Active by Design. https:// www.heartfoundation.org.au/programs/healthy-active-bydesign (accessed August 2018).
- Dixon J, Omwega AM, Friel S et al. (2007) The health equity dimensions of urban food systems. J Urban Health 84, 118– 129.