

ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF A SERIES OF RAMANUJAN

by BRUCE C. BERNDT* and RONALD J. EVANS

(Received 1st May 1990)

An asymptotic expansion is given for the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+s)}{n!} \frac{(a+n)^{n-r}}{(x+a+n)^{n+s}}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in the sector $|\text{Arg } x| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$. Here δ , $\text{Re}(a)$, and $\text{Re}(s)$ are positive and r is a positive integer. In the case $a=r=s=1$, this yields the nontrivial result

$$e^x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2(1+x/k)^k} - \frac{e^x}{x} = -\frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{16}{3x^3} - \frac{56}{3x^4} + \frac{3712}{45x^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^6}\right)$$

stated by Ramanujan in his notebooks [6].

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (1985 Revision). 41A60.

1. Introduction

The primary object of this paper is to prove Theorem 1 below, which gives an asymptotic expansion as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in the sector $|\text{Arg } x| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$ for the series

$$T(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+s)}{n!} \frac{(a+n)^{n-r}}{(x+a+n)^{n+s}}, \tag{1.1}$$

where here and in the sequel $\delta > 0$ is fixed and arbitrarily small, r is a fixed positive integer, and a and s are fixed complex numbers with positive real parts.

Theorem 1 *Let N be an integer with $N \geq 1$. Then as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in the sector $|\text{Arg } x| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$,*

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} A_k x^{-k-s} - e^{-x} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \frac{C_m(x)}{(a+x/2)^{m+1}} + O(x^{-1-r-N/2}) \right), \tag{1.2}$$

*Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-8820680.

where

$$A_k = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \Gamma(s+k) \binom{k}{j} (a+j)^{k-r}, \tag{1.3}$$

and where the functions $C_m(x)$ (defined in (2.9)) have the estimate

$$C_m(x) = O(x^{-(m/2)-r}). \tag{1.4}$$

Observe that (1.2) is a genuine asymptotic expansion, in view of (1.4). Note also that x can be replaced by $x+b$ in (1.2), for any constant b . Thus, e.g., if the sign of a is reversed in the denominator of (1.1), then $C_m(x)/(a+x/2)^{m+1}$ is replaced by $2^{m+1}C_m(x-2a)/x^{m+1}$.

Theorem 1 was inspired by Ramanujan, who stated the case $r=s=1$ in the unorganized pages of his second notebook [6, p. 272, eq. (5)]. Ramanujan found that for $r=s=1$, $C_0(x) = x^{-1}$ and each $C_m(x)$ with $m \geq 1$ is a polynomial in x such that, for $k \geq 1$,

$$C_{2k-1}(x) = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$C_{2k}(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k!} x^{k-1} - \dots - \frac{(2k+1)}{2} B_{2k} x - B_{2k}, \tag{1.6}$$

where B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots are the Bernoulli numbers defined by the generating function [1, p. 804]

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_m}{m!} t^m, \quad |t| < 2\pi. \tag{1.7}$$

In fact, he computed the first five leading coefficients and the last six trailing coefficients of the polynomials $C_m(x)$ ($m \geq 1, r=s=1$); see [6, pp. 272–273], [2]. Ramanujan [6, p. 271, eq. (2)] also stated (in a different form) the following:

Corollary 2. As $x \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\text{Arg } x| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{k-2}}{(k+x)^k} = \frac{1}{x} - e^{-x} \left(\frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{16}{3x^3} + \frac{56}{3x^4} - \frac{3712}{45x^5} + O(x^{-6}) \right). \tag{1.8}$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1 with $a=r=s=1$ and $N=8$, upon substitution of the values

$$C_2 = -\frac{1}{6}, \quad C_4 = \frac{x}{12} + \frac{1}{30}, \quad C_6 = -\frac{5x^2}{72} - \frac{x}{12} - \frac{1}{42} \tag{1.9}$$

given, e.g., by (1.6).

Writing the first few terms of the expansion in Theorem 1 in more explicit form, we obtain the following generalization of Corollary 2:

Corollary 3. *As $x \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\text{Arg } x| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+n)^{n-1}}{(x+a+n)^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{ax} - e^{-x} \times \left(\frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{(12a+4)}{3x^3} + \frac{(24a^2+24a+8)}{3x^4} - \frac{(720a^3+1440a^2+1200a+352)}{45x^5} + O(x^{-6}) \right) \tag{1.10}$$

In Corollaries 2 and 3, the asymptotic series are expressed explicitly in descending powers of x . The general asymptotic series in Theorem 1 could also be expressed in this way if an asymptotic expansion could be given for each $C_m(x)$ in descending powers of x . This is indeed possible and we show how this can be accomplished in Section 6. If s is a positive integer, we prove the stronger result that $C_m(x)$ is a Laurent polynomial in x .

Ramanujan [6, p. 270, eq. (1)] also found the following interesting exact formula for the series in Corollary 2:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{k-2}}{(x+k)^k} = \frac{1}{x} + e^{-x} \left(-\frac{1}{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{k-2} e^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(x+k)^{-j}}{(k-j)!} \right), \tag{1.11}$$

where $\text{Re}(x) > 0$. For a proof, see [2].

In Section 2, we discuss confluent hypergeometric functions and introduce further notation. The goal of Section 3 is to prove the integral representation (3.8) for $T(x)$. In Section 4, we prove Lemma 4, which provides bounds for the derivatives with respect to t of the function $f(t, x)$ defined in (2.8). The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 5 and is based on the results of the previous sections. Finally, in Section 6, we show that $C_m(x)$ possesses an asymptotic expansion in descending powers of x , and that moreover $C_m(x)$ is a Laurent polynomial in x when s is an integer.

2. Confluent hypergeometric functions

Consider the confluent hypergeometric function

$${}_1F_1(s, s+r; z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_m z^m}{(s+r)_m m!}, \quad |z| < \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

with the usual notation

$$(s)_m = \Gamma(s+m)/\Gamma(s), \quad m \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

This function is related to $U(s, s+r; z)$, the confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind, by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1F_1(s, s+r; z) &= \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(r)} e^{ins} U(s, s+r; z) \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(s+r)}{\Gamma(s)} (-1)^r e^z U(r, s+r; -z), \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \arg z < \frac{3\pi}{2}; \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

see [5, p. 257, eq. (10.09)], [4, p. 270, eq. (9.12.4)]. In many books (e.g., [4, p. 263]), U is designated by Ψ . As $z \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\arg z| \leq 3\pi/2 - \delta$, we have the asymptotic expansion [5, p. 256]

$$U(r, s+r; z) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (r)_m (1-s)_m}{m! z^{m+r}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Since r is a positive integer, $U(s, s+r; z)$ can be expressed as a Laguerre polynomial; see [3, p. 189, eq. (14)], [3, p. 188, eq. (7)]. Thus

$$U(s, s+r; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^k (s)_k (1-r)_k}{z^{k+s}}. \tag{2.5}$$

For brevity, write, for $t \geq 0$,

$$w = w(t) = t/(1 - e^{-t}), \tag{2.6}$$

so that by (1.7),

$$w = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_m}{m!} (-t)^m, \quad |t| < 2\pi. \tag{2.7}$$

For $t \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(x) > 0$, define

$$f(t, x) = e^{x(1-w+t/2)} (-t)^{r-1} w^s U(r, s+r; wx). \tag{2.8}$$

Finally, the functions $C_m(x)$ in Theorem 1 are defined by

$$C_m(x) = f^{(m)}(0, x), \quad \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0, \tag{2.9}$$

where the superscript m denotes the m th derivative with respect to t .

We remark that in the case $r = 1$,

$$f(t, x) = x^{-s} e^{x+xt/2} \Gamma(s, wx) \tag{2.10}$$

for the incomplete gamma function

$$\Gamma(s, z) = \int_z^\infty e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt, \quad \text{Re } s > 0. \tag{2.11}$$

This follows from (2.8) and the formula [3, p. 136, eq. (15)]

$$\Gamma(s, z) = e^{-z} z^s U(1, s+1; z). \tag{2.12}$$

3. Integral representation of the series $T(x)$

Define, for each integer $m \geq 0$,

$$\mu_m = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(m+s+n)}{n!(a+n)^{m+s+r}}. \tag{3.1}$$

From Euler’s integral representation of the gamma function,

$$\frac{1}{(a+n)^{m+s+r}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+s+r)} \int_0^\infty e^{-nt-at} t^{m+s+r-1} dt. \tag{3.2}$$

Thus

$$\mu_m = \frac{\Gamma(m+s)}{\Gamma(m+s+r)} \int_0^\infty e^{-at} t^{m+s+r-1} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(m+s+n)}{\Gamma(m+s)n!} e^{-tn} dt, \tag{3.3}$$

where absolute convergence justifies the interchange of integration and summation. The sum on n in (3.3) equals $(1 - e^{-t})^{-m-s}$, and so

$$\mu_m = \frac{\Gamma(m+s)}{\Gamma(m+s+r)} \int_0^\infty e^{-at} t^{r-1} w^{m+s} dt, \tag{3.4}$$

where w is defined in (2.6).

Recall that $T(x)$ is defined in (1.1) for $\text{Re } x > 0$. Assuming for the moment that $|x| < |a|$, we find that

$$T(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n+s)}{n!(a+n)^{s+r}} \left(1 + \frac{x}{a+n}\right)^{-n-s}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+s)}{n!(a+n)^{s+r}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(m+s+n)}{m!\Gamma(n+s)} \left(\frac{-x}{a+n}\right)^m. \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.1) and (3.5),

$$T(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{m!} \mu_m, \quad |x| < |a|, \tag{3.6}$$

where absolute convergence justifies the interchange of summations. Ramanujan [6, p. 271, eq. (3)] gave the case $r=s=1$ of (3.6).

Put (3.4) in (3.6) to obtain for $|x| < |a|$,

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{m!} \frac{\Gamma(m+s)}{\Gamma(m+s+r)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-at} t^{r-1} w^{m+s} dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-at} t^{r-1} w^s \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-xw)^m}{m!} \frac{\Gamma(m+s)}{\Gamma(m+s+r)} dt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where the interchange of integration and summation can be justified by absolute convergence. By (2.1), (2.2), and (3.7),

$$T(x) = \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s+r)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-at} t^{r-1} w^s {}_1F_1(s, s+r; -wx) dt, \tag{3.8}$$

for $|x| < |a|$.

As $x \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\text{Arg } x| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$, $-wx \rightarrow \infty$ with $\pi/2 + \delta \leq \arg(-wx) \leq 3\pi/2 - \delta$. Thus by (2.3)–(2.5), the integral in (3.8) is convergent and analytic in each variable a, x in the right half plane. From (1.1), $T(x)$ is also seen to be analytic in each of a, x in the right half plane. Thus (3.8) holds for all x with $\text{Re } x > 0$.

4. Bounds for derivatives of $f(t, x)$

The proof of Lemma 4 below makes heavy use of Faa di Bruno’s formula [7, p. 36]; [8],

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} h(g(t)) = \sum \frac{n! h_k(g(t))}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \left(\frac{g_1}{1!}\right)^{k_1} \dots \left(\frac{g_n}{n!}\right)^{k_n}, \tag{4.1}$$

where the sum is over all integers k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n for which

$$n = k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + nk_n, \quad k_i \geq 0, \tag{4.2}$$

and where $k = k_1 + \dots + k_n$,

$$h_k(z) = \frac{d^k}{dz^k} h(z), \quad \text{and} \quad g_i = g_i(t) = \frac{d^i}{dt^i} g(t). \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4. Fix $N \geq 1$. As $x \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\text{Arg } x| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$,

$$f^{(N)}(t, x) = 0 \left(x^{-r + [N/2]} \sum_{j=0}^N |xt|^j \right), \quad (4.4)$$

uniformly for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $n \geq 0$. We will obtain uniform estimates for n th derivatives of each factor $(-t)^{r-1}$, w^s , $e^{x(1-w+t/2)}$, and $U(r, s+r; wx)$ of $f(t, x)$ in (2.8), and then combine them to deduce (4.4) from Leibniz's rule.

First, for each $n \geq 0$,

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} (-t)^{r-1} = 0(1), \quad (4.5)$$

since r is a positive integer. Next, by (2.7), we have, for each $k \geq 0$,

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} w = 0(1). \quad (4.6)$$

Consequently, by (4.1) with $h(z) = z^s$ and $g(t) = w$,

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} w^s = 0(1). \quad (4.7)$$

For $|\text{Arg } z| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$, $U(r, s+r; z)$ is analytic (see [5, p. 257, eq. (10.04)]) and so by [5, pp. 9, 10, Theorem 4.2] we can differentiate in (2.4) to obtain, for $k \geq 0$ and large $|z|$,

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k} U(r, s+r; z) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r)_{m+k} (-1)^{m+k} (1-s)_m}{m! z^{m+r+k}} = 0(z^{-k-r}). \quad (4.8)$$

Now apply (4.1) with $h(z) = U(r, s+r; z)$ and $g(t) = wx$ to deduce from (4.6) and (4.8) that as $x \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\text{Arg } x| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$,

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} U(r, r+s; wx) = 0(x^{-r}), \quad (4.9)$$

uniformly for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

A final application of (4.1) with $h(z) = e^{zx}$ and $g(t) = (1+t/2-w)$ yields

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} e^{x(1+t/2-w)} = e^{xg(t)} \sum B(k_1, \dots, k_n) g_1^{k_1} \dots g_n^{k_n} x^{k_1 + \dots + k_n}, \tag{4.10}$$

where the sum is over integers k_i satisfying (4.2), where the coefficients $B(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ are independent of x, t , and where g_i is defined by (4.3). By (2.7),

$$g(t) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2m}}{(2m)!} t^{2m}, \tag{4.11}$$

and so

$$g_i = 0(t) \text{ for all odd } i \geq 1 \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$g_j = 0(1) \text{ for all } j \geq 1. \tag{4.13}$$

Since $g(t) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$e^{xg(t)} = 0(1). \tag{4.14}$$

By (4.2),

$$k_2 + k_4 + k_6 + \dots \leq \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + nk_n) = n/2. \tag{4.15}$$

Combining (4.10) and (4.12)–(4.15), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} e^{x(1+t/2-w)} &\ll \sum |x|^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n} t^{k_1+k_3+k_5+\dots} \\ &\ll \sum |xt|^{k_1+k_3+k_5+\dots} |x|^{k_2+k_4+k_6+\dots} \ll x^{[n/2]} \sum_{i=0}^n |xt|^i. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

The result now follows from (4.5), (4.7), (4.9), (4.16) and Leibniz’s rule.

5. Proof of Theorem 1

By (2.3) and (3.8),

$$T(x) = A(x) - B(x), \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$A(x) = \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^\infty e^{-at} t^{r-1} (-w)^s U(s, s+r; -wx) dt \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$B(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-at} (-t)^{r-1} w^s e^{-wx} U(r, s+r; wx) dt, \tag{5.3}$$

with $\pi/2 < \arg(-wx) < 3\pi/2$. We first examine $A(x)$, which yields the dominant part of the asymptotic expansion of $T(x)$. Using (2.5) in (5.2), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(r)} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (-1)^k (s)_k (1-r)_k \int_0^\infty e^{-at} t^{r-1} (-w)^s (-wx)^{-s-k} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(r)} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^k (s)_k (1-r)_k}{x^{s+k}} \int_0^\infty e^{-at} t^{r-k-1} (e^{-t} - 1)^k dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(r)} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j (s)_k (1-r)_k}{x^{s+k}} \binom{k}{j} \int_0^\infty e^{-t(a+j)} t^{r-k-1} dt, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where we have expanded $(e^{-t} - 1)^k$ by the binomial theorem. It follows easily from (5.4) that

$$A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} A_k x^{-k-s}, \tag{5.5}$$

in agreement with (1.2) and (1.3).

Now, (1.4) follows by putting $t=0$ in (4.4). Thus, by (1.2), (2.8), (5.1) and (5.3), it remains to show that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t(a+x/2)} f(t, x) dt = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \frac{C_m(x)}{(a+x/2)^{m+1}} + O(x^{-1-r-N/2}). \tag{5.6}$$

By (2.4) and (2.8),

$$f(t, x) \ll e^{x(1-w+t/2)} t^{r-1} w^s (wx)^{-r}, \tag{5.7}$$

and so

$$e^{-t(a+x/2)} f(t, x) \ll e^{-at} e^{x(1-w)} x^{-r} t^{s-1} \tag{5.8}$$

uniformly for $t \geq 1$. Since

$$1 - w < -1/2 \text{ for } t \geq 1, \tag{5.9}$$

it follows from (5.8) that

$$\int_1^\infty e^{-t(a+x/2)} f(t, x) dt \ll e^{-x/2} x^{-r} \int_1^\infty e^{-t \operatorname{Re}(a)} t^{\operatorname{Re}(s)-1} dt \ll e^{-x/2}. \tag{5.10}$$

In view of (5.6) and (5.10), it remains to show that

$$\int_0^1 e^{-t(a+x/2)} f(t, x) dt = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \frac{C_m(x)}{(a+x/2)^{m+1}} + O(x^{-1-r-N/2}). \tag{5.11}$$

Integrating by parts N times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 e^{-t(a+x/2)} f(t, x) dt &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \frac{f^{(m)}(0, x) - f^{(m)}(1, x) e^{-(a+x/2)}}{(a+x/2)^{m+1}} \\ &\quad + (a+x/2)^{-N} \int_0^1 e^{-t(a+x/2)} f^{(N)}(t, x) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

By Lemma 4,

$$e^{-(a+x/2)} f^{(m)}(1, x) \ll e^{-(a+x/2)} x^{3m/2} \ll e^{-x/3}. \tag{5.13}$$

Thus, to prove (5.11), it remains to prove that

$$\int_0^1 e^{-t(a+x/2)} f^{(N)}(t, x) dt = O(x^{N/2-r-1}). \tag{5.14}$$

Again by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 e^{-t(a+x/2)} f^{(N)}(t, x) dt &\ll x^{N/2-r} \int_0^1 e^{-t \operatorname{Re}(a+x/2)} \sum_{j=0}^N |xt|^j dt \\ &\ll x^{N/2-r} \sum_{j=0}^N |x|^j \int_0^\infty e^{-t \operatorname{Re}(a+x/2)} t^j dt \\ &= x^{N/2-r} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{|x|^j j!}{(\operatorname{Re}(a+x/2))^{j+1}} \\ &\ll x^{N/2-r} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{|x|^j j!}{|x|^{j+1}} \ll x^{N/2-r-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

6. Asymptotic expansion of $C_m(x)$

As promised following Corollary 3, we show here that $C_m(x)$ possesses an asymptotic expansion in descending powers of x .

As in Section 4, we will estimate $C_m(x) = f^{(m)}(0, x)$ by combining Leibniz's rule with formulas for the n th derivatives of $(-t)^{r-1}$, w^s , $e^{x(1-w+t/2)}$, and $U(r, s+r; wx)$. The n th derivatives of $(-t)^{r-1}$ and w^s at $t=0$ are constants. Since the function $g(t)$ in (4.10) satisfies $g(0)=0$, the n th derivative of $e^{x(1+t/2-w)}$ at $t=0$ is, by (4.10), a polynomial in x . It remains to show that the n th derivative of $U(r, s+r; wx)$ at $t=0$ has an asymptotic expansion in descending powers of x . By (4.1) with $h(z) = U(r, s+r; z)$ and $g(t) = wx$, we have

$$\left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} U(r, s+r; wx) \right|_{t=0} = \sum_{k=0}^n E_k x^k \left. \frac{d^k}{dz^k} U(r, s+r; z) \right|_{z=x} \quad (6.1)$$

for some constants E_k . Using the asymptotic formula (4.8) in (6.1), we obtain the desired result.

If s is a positive integer, the stronger result holds that $C_m(x)$ is a Laurent polynomial. To see this, note that when s is an integer,

$$U(r, s+r; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^k (r)_k (1-s)_k}{z^{k+r}} \quad (6.2)$$

by (2.5) with r and s interchanged. Thus, $U(r, s+r; z)$ and its derivatives with respect to z are Laurent polynomials in z , and the result follows from (6.1) as before.

REFERENCES

1. M. ABRAMOWITZ and I. STEGUN, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1972).
2. B. C. BERNDT, *Ramanujan's Notebooks*, Part V (Springer-Verlag, New York), to appear.
3. A. ERDÉLYI, ed., *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. II (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
4. N. N. LEBEDEV, *Special Functions and their Applications* (Dover, New York, 1972).
5. F. W. J. OLVER, *Asymptotics and Special Functions* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
6. S. RAMANUJAN, *Notebooks* (2 volumes) (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1957).
7. J. RIORDAN, *An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1978).
8. S. ROMAN, The formula of Faa di Bruno, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **87** (1980), 805–809.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
1409 WEST GREEN STREET
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA, IL 61801

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112