
APSA Council Minutes

The second Council meeting of the year was held at the Embassy Row Hotel, Washington, D.C., May 17, 1973.

Present: Samuel H. Barnes, Christian Bay, Samuel D. Cook, Valerie A. Earle, Richard F. Fenno, Charles V. Hamilton, Paul Y. Hammond, Donald G. Herzberg, Matthew Holden, Jr., Robert H. Horwitz, Charles O. Jones, Robert O. Keohane, Evron M. Kirkpatrick, Samuel Krislov, Avery Leiserson, William S. Livingston, Nelson W. Polsby, Kenneth Prewitt, Lucian Pye, H. Mark Roelofs, Roberta S. Sigel, Ruth Silva, Robert E. Ward and Sidney Wise.

After minor corrections, the minutes of the December meeting of the Council were approved.

Report of the Managing Editor, APSR

Polsby reported that Edith Carper and Morton Halperin are going off the Editorial Board of the *APSR* and requested Council approval of Elmer E. Cornwell, Jr. of Brown University and Richard Winters of Dartmouth College as their replacements. Unanimously approved.

APSA Trust and Development Fund

Austin Ranney reported to the Council on the opinion of the Committee on the APSA Trust and Development Fund that the expenses of the Board of Trustees of the Trust and Development Fund should come out of the income of the fund (prior to that income being paid over to the Council) and not out of a Council appropriation or the operating expenses of the Association.

Krislov expressed his feeling that it would be inappropriate, at a time when the Council is cutting other items, to give the Board of Trustees carte blanche on expenses. Ranney agreed. Krislov moved that the Board of Trustees of the Trust and Development Fund shall adopt its own budget and report it to the Council at the time of the adoption of the Association budget. In the absence of Council action, the Trustee budget shall prevail; it shall not be exceeded except by permission of the Council, or of the Administrative Committee followed by a report to the Council. Unanimously approved.

President Ward requested approval of the following members to the Board of Trustees: Chairman, ex officio, Charles O. Jones; as the two Council members: Valerie A. Earle and Gerhard Loewenberg; as the other four members: Pendleton Herring, Donald Van Meter, Ohio State University; Donald R. Matthews, University of Michigan; Joseph Tanenhaus, SUNY, Stony Brook. Unanimously approved.

Rules of Procedure for the Business Meeting and Election

Krislov reported that the Rules Committee and the Ad Hoc Electoral Commission had considered the possibility of proposing a constitutional amendment to change the requirement that the "Executive Director shall distribute ballots within thirty days following the Annual Business Meeting" to make it more realistic, but had determined that, because of the problems concerned with trying to meet any deadline, this would further delay the election and, therefore, it would be unwise to change the thirty day requirement. Roelofs moved that, in order to preclude further problems in this area, it be considered the sense of the Council that the "thirty days" be thirty working days. Motion unanimously approved.

Krislov moved for adoption of the Rules of Procedure as printed in the Spring 1973 *PS*. Unanimously approved. Krislov then moved for an amendment of Rule 2.6 to require that any amendment offered from the floor to a proposed amendment or resolution shall require a second. Unanimously approved.

Life Membership Fee

Kirkpatrick reported to the Council that the Administrative Committee had discussed the possibility of changing the fee for life membership in the Association and recommended that, until further study, the fee be kept at \$1,000. Polsby moved that a formula of twenty times the top membership fee be proposed for the life membership fee. Prewitt offered a substitute motion that the Board of Trustees of the Trust and Development Fund consider what fee would be appropriate for life membership and report back to the Council with their recommendation. Unanimously approved. If a resolution changing the life membership fee is approved by the Council, it must then be submitted to a referendum vote of the membership in accordance with the Constitution of the Association (Art. III, Sec. 1).

Division of Educational Affairs

Mark Ferber reported, for information only, on the activities that have been undertaken since January by the Division of Educational Affairs of the National Office.

Resolution on Data Concerning Women in Departments of Political Science

The Committee on the Status of Women in the Profession recommended "that the Council modify its resolution of its December 18, 1972, meeting calling for publication in *PS* of lists of Departments with 15 or more members who do not have tenured women or women in ten-

ured tracks, those who have not responded to the questionnaire requesting the information, and those with 15 or more members who have tenured women or women in the tenured track, providing data on the number in said departments. The Committee, following its careful review of data returned for the *PS* lists, recommends that at this time emphasis on the matter be placed upon the 237 M.A. and Ph.D. departments and that the listings in *PS* be limited to the graduate departments."

Livingston, for the Administrative Committee, moved that the Council rescind their resolution passed last December concerning publishing in *PS* information from the Department Chairmen's survey; that the matter be referred back to the Committee on the Status of Women with the information that objections have been raised to the lists being published in the present form without analysis or interpretation, and request that the Committee on the Status of Women work with the Committee of Department Chairmen to improve future Department Chairmen Questionnaires by obtaining the additional information necessary to strengthen and make more meaningful the data as presented. For example, it may prove useful to obtain such information as the following: Did you have an appointment to make? Did you lose a position? If you had a position, did you use the Personnel Service Newsletter, or what other vehicle for advertising it? Of the total number of respondents, what percentage were women?

The Council felt under obligation to treat this matter with great seriousness but was concerned about the practical problems. Following a lengthy discussion of the resolution proposed by the Committee on the Status of Women and the recommendation of the Administrative Committee, Krislov moved that the Livingston motion be amended so that the data emerge as a mimeographed report of the two committees with a commentary indicating some of the complexity and that it be distributed to the three bodies involved (the Committee on the Status of Women, the Committee of Department Chairmen and the Council), and to others at cost, and that its availability be announced in *PS*.

Ward suggested publishing an article in *PS* that would reflect the discussion of the Council, indicating that the Council has no doubt that discrimination exists, but that the data are not in a form that can be printed at this time. Mann told the Council that an article is being written by Ada Finifter, which will be published in *PS*, analyzing data on women in the profession, including information collected in the regional association surveys.

Prewitt amended the Krislov motion to instruct the Committee on the Status of

Women and the Committee of Department Chairmen to come up with a plan of action for future years. Krislov accepted the amendment. The Krislov motion, as amended, was approved by the Council; only Silva opposed.

Keohane moved that the Committee on the Status of Women and the Committee of Department Chairmen be instructed to report to the Council on their plan of action for future years by December, 1973. (A written report to be mailed to Council members if the Council does not meet in December.) Livingston accepted the amendment.

The Livingston motion, as amended by Krislov and Holden, was unanimously approved.

Modification of 90-Day Rule Governing Program Committee

The Committee on the Status of Women in the Profession submitted a resolution recommending "that the Council modify its action, taken May 22, 1972, calling for Program Committee members and panel chairmen not to make final decisions on Annual Meeting panel participation for at least ninety days after publication in an official Association publication of the names of the Program Chairman and members of the Committee. In order to establish more workable guidelines, the Committee recommends that the Council adopt the following calendar and that it be published regularly in *PS*: A) Deadline for receiving paper suggestions — November 1, B) Deadline for receiving offers to appear as discussants — January 1.

The Administrative Committee recommended that the Council adopt the resolution. Krislov moved to accept the recommendation of the Administrative Committee. Roelofs moved to change the date of November 1 to December 1 and the January 1 date to February 1. Krislov accepted the amendment. Motion unanimously approved.

Krislov then moved that for the 1975 Program Committee and all subsequent Program Committees the schedule of deadlines be:

- JANUARY 1:
Appointment of the Program Chairman
 - MARCH 1:
Appointment of Program Committee
 - JUNE 15:
Submission of suggestions for panels
 - DECEMBER 1:
Submission of papers
 - FEBRUARY 1:
Selection of Discussants
 - MARCH 15:
Final Program to printer
- Motion unanimously approved.

Locations and Dates of Annual Meetings

The Executive Director discussed with the Council the problems being encountered by the national office in arranging for sites for Annual Meetings because the meetings have grown so large that there is a limitation on cities in which we can meet, and it is now necessary to make long-range commitments in order to be able to hold the space required.

The Administrative Committee recommended that the following meeting sites be approved:

1974:	Chicago
1975:	San Francisco
1976:	Chicago
1977:	Washington
1979:	Chicago
1980:	Washington

Pye moved that the Administrative Committee recommendation be adopted. Unanimously approved.

Krislov moved that Las Vegas be approved as the preferred site for the 1978 Annual Meeting. Unanimously approved. Livingston moved that if the National Office is unable to make the necessary arrangements to meet in Las Vegas, the 1978 Meeting be held in New Orleans. Unanimously approved.

The Council approved changing the usual days of the Annual Meeting for 1974 so that the meeting will begin on Thursday, August 29, run through Labor Day week-end and end on Monday, September 2, because it is not possible to meet in Chicago on the Tuesday–Saturday following Labor Day. It was also agreed that, because of a comparable situation in San Francisco precluding the Association from meeting over Labor Day week-end, the 1975 Meeting will be held Tuesday, September 2–Saturday, September 6.

The Administrative Committee recommended that the Council approve either the week-end schedule, Thursday–Monday, or the after Labor Day schedule, Tuesday–Saturday, to allow the national office a leeway to bargain effectively for the best room rates and other charges. Pye moved for adoption of this recommendation. Unanimously approved.

Personnel Service

The Executive Director and Rona Hitlin, Director of the Personnel Service, reported to the Council on the activities of the Personnel Service.

Roelofs moved that the Committee of Department Chairmen, working with the Committee on Human Resources, establish guidelines for hiring procedures, particularly in relation to the Personnel Service Newsletter, and report back to the Council in the near future. Unanimously approved.

Recommendations of the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom

David Fellman, Chairman of the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom, reported to the Council on recommendations for Council action made by his Committee.

Herzberg, for the Administrative Committee, moved that the Council accept the "Policy Proposal" of the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom which reads as follows:

"In specific situations where the prompt issuance of a public statement in the name of the Association on an important matter involving professional ethics or academic freedom is required, such statement may be formulated and released to the press upon agreement among the President of the Association, the Chairman of the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom, and the Executive Director of the Association, with the concurrence of three members of the Committee designated by the Chairman."

Motion unanimously approved.

Fellman then discussed the proposal of the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom that the following statement on Professor Popkin be published in *PS*, released to the press, and submitted to the journals of other associations:

"The Council of the American Political Science Association, having taken note of Professor Samuel Popkin's recent difficulties with a federal grand jury, finds that in cooperating with the grand jury he discharged a duty all citizens have with respect to law enforcement, but that in refusing, at the cost of imprisonment for contempt, to divulge confidential sources of information developed in his research activity as a scholar, he acted in a professional manner, and discharged a moral obligation which the social science scholar must sustain. The Council commends Professor Popkin for his commitment to the imperatives of professional conduct, and pledges itself to seek to accomplish a change in American law, in cooperation with other professional societies, which would define a scholar's privilege with regard to confidential information developed in the course of social science research. A factual account of the Popkin case, prepared by a member of the APSA Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom, will be published in an early issue of *PS*."

Fenno, for the Administrative Committee, moved that the Committee proposal be adopted. Following discussion of the

statement, it was agreed that this statement should be interpreted as being a broad general stand on confidential information rather than specifically about Popkin, stressing the feeling that a scholar who secures information on a confidential basis is morally and ethically required to keep the source confidential even if he suffers legal consequences.

The motion was approved by a voice vote; Horwitz abstained from voting.

The Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom requested that the Council authorize the undertaking of a project to draft model legislation and administrative rules, and make recommendations to appropriate bodies studying the question, for the protection of the right of the scholar to maintain the confidentiality of his sources, and to seek to incorporate such legislation and administrative rules into the mainstream of American law. It was also recommended that the Executive Director invite the cooperation of other scholarly associations, particularly in the social sciences and law, in seeking adequate financial support for this activity from some foundation or grant agency.

The Administrative Committee recommended that this proposal be adopted. Bay moved for adoption of the Administrative Committee recommendation. Unanimously approved.

Fellman then reported to the Council on an Advisory Opinion adopted by the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom as follows:

The Scholar's Ethical Right to Protect Confidential Sources

The scholar has the same obligation all citizens have to cooperate with grand juries and other law enforcement agencies; but he also has an ethical duty not to divulge the identity of confidential sources of information or data developed in the course of research, even though in the present state of American law he runs the risk of suffering some sort of legal penalty. Since the protection of confidentiality of sources is absolutely essential in social science research, and since the continued growth of such research is clearly in the public interest, scholars have an ethical obligation to seek to change the law so that the confidentiality of sources of information may be safeguarded.

Several members of the Council expressed their concern over the language of the Advisory Opinion. The Council requested that the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom review the language of the Opinion and asked that Fellman advise the Committee of the opinions of members of the Council. Fellman agreed to do so.

The Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom also presented the Council with a statement on a request by Professors Bay and Roelofs that the Council censure Dr. Henry Kissinger for "deceitful conduct" and "war crimes," expressing the Committee's belief "that this proposal is not within the jurisdiction of the Committee" and "that the proposed resolution of censure of an individual in the government is not a proper activity of the Association."

Bay moved that the Council recommend to the Business Meeting adoption of a resolution that the Council act to extend the jurisdiction of the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom to develop findings on the professional conduct of members of the profession who are serving in places of high office. The motion was defeated 20-3. Bay, Hamilton and Roelofs voted for the motion.

Roelofs moved that the Council refer back to the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom the resolution he and Bay had submitted with the request that the Committee make a finding on the merits of the charges. In making the motion, Roelofs expressed his concern about what he felt was Kissinger's insensitivity to matters on which, as a political scientist, he should have been acutely sensitive. Motion was defeated 19-4. Bay, Hamilton, Leiserson and Roelofs voted for the motion.

Livingston, for the Administrative Committee, moved that the Council endorse the statement of the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom. Motion approved: 17 for, 2 opposed, 3 abstained. Bay and Roelofs opposed. Feno, Sigel and Krislov abstained.

On the case of Gene Mason, which the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom had considered, Hamilton moved, for the Administrative Committee, that the Council reject the Committee's recommendation "that the Council adopt a resolution for transmission to the Governor of Kentucky which records its support for Dr. Mason's application for a full pardon, so that all his rights may be restored to him."

Motion approved by a show of hands vote — 16 for, 6 opposed. Bay abstained.

Fellman asked the Executive Director to remind the Program Chairman, who will remind the Section chairmen, who will remind the Panel chairmen that the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom has issued an Advisory Opinion that "it is improper for any person to use a panel of the Annual Meeting as a forum for the primary purpose of presenting his side of personal litigation in which he is or has been involved, since panels are not equipped or

designed to serve as agencies for the adjudication of controverted evidential questions involved in litigation."

The Council commended David Fellman for the excellent work he has done on the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom.

FY 1973-74 Budget

Jones explained to the Council the following recommendations which had been made by the Administrative Committee to bring estimated income and expenditures for FY 1973-74 into balance:

1) On the expenditures side:

that the Committee on the Status of Blacks, Chicanos and Women be kept at the 1972-73 level;

that the requested expenditures for the Committees on Scientific Information Exchange and Pre-Collegiate Education not be approved;

that printing costs for *PS* be cut from the requested \$37,000 to \$30,000;

that the Editorial Board of *PS* be cut from the requested \$2,000 to \$500;

that the total budget for the *APSR* be cut from a requested \$195,900 to \$166,900;

that the estimated expenses of \$12,000 for the Council be cut to \$6,000;

that the estimated expenses of the Business Meeting be cut from \$3,500 to \$1,500;

that the staff of the national office be given a 5% cost of living increase, but that the total salary budget for the national office be reduced by \$15,000;

that administrative expenses budget of the national office be reduced by approximately 15% (\$8,500) below the level of expenses estimated for the current year;

that the Contingency Fund of \$1,500 be eliminated.

2) On the income side:

that the registration fee for the Annual Meeting be increased from \$10 for members and \$15 for non-members to \$15 for members and \$25 for non-members, producing an additional \$7,500 in income;

that a \$5 page charge be instituted for all articles accepted by the *APSR*;

that an additional \$11,000 income item be included for salaries and overhead from grants, with the expectation that several activities for which financing is now being sought would increase the estimated \$45,000 figure.

Jones, for the Administrative Committee, moved for acceptance of the registration fee increase from \$10 for members and \$15 for non-members to \$15 for mem-

bers and \$25 for non-members. Motion approved by voice vote.

Jones, for the Administrative Committee, moved that the *APSR* institute a page charge of \$5 to be effective for all manuscripts accepted after June 18, 1973. Polsby spoke against the page charge.

Krislov moved a substitute motion that a page charge of \$10 be instituted for articles whose publication was expedited. Motion defeated; only Krislov voted in favor of the motion.

The Administrative Committee recommendation of a \$5 page charge was unanimously defeated.

Jones moved for acceptance of the Administrative Committee's recommendations on expenditures. Polsby stated that he felt dissemination of knowledge is the central function of the Association and that the *Review* should be maintained at the present level and that other programs of the Association should have lower priority.

Keohane moved that the budget for the Committee on the Status of Chicanos in the Profession be reduced to \$500; that the additional \$1,000 be placed in a Contingency Fund with the understanding that the Committee on the Status of Chicanos would be given first option on the additional \$1,000 if they presented the Council with justification at the September meeting of the Council. Motion defeated by a show of hands vote: 10 approved; 11 opposed.

The Executive Director explained to the Council the recommendation of the Administrative Committee that Council members not be paid travel for attending the meeting of the Council held at the Annual Meeting unless they would otherwise be unable to attend.

Krislov moved that the Council item in the budget be increased by \$2,500. Motion defeated by a show of hands vote: 11 approved, 12 opposed.

Livingston moved that the postage-paid return envelopes used by the American Arbitration Association for returning election ballots be eliminated. Motion carried by voice vote. This action reduced the estimated charge of the AAA for conducting the election by \$600.

Prewitt requested that the Rules Committee consider the possibility of a biennial election.

Roelofs moved to cut the cost of the Business Meeting by \$1,400; cut the Nominating Committee by \$500; cut the cost of printing the Program for the Annual Meeting by \$2,500; and restore \$5,000 to the *APSR*. Motion approved by a show of hands vote: 12 approved; 9 opposed.

Wise stated his belief that it would be helpful for the Administrative Committee to convene as a committee of budget priorities and discuss "where the Association should go from here."

Livingston moved that the amount necessary to balance the budget be taken from the amount budgeted for child care at the Annual Meeting, with the understanding

that part of this amount will be paid by persons using the child care center. Unanimously approved.

Roelofs moved to adopt the Administrative Committee recommendations as amended by Roelofs and Livingston. Unanimously approved.

Samuel H. Barnes, Secretary

**Has Your Department Joined The
APSA DEPARTMENTAL SERVICES PROGRAM?**

Now Over 400 member departments

Services include:

- GUIDE TO GRADUATE STUDY
- APSA BIOGRAPHICAL DIRECTORY and Annual Supplement
- DIRECTORY OF DEPARTMENT CHAIRMEN
- PERSONNEL SERVICE NEWSLETTER
- Reports on Annual Survey of Departments
- Fact Sheets on Internships and Federal Grants
- Bulletins on Career Opportunities in Political Science
- And others

For further information and membership forms, write:

Departmental Services Program
American Political Science Association
1527 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

AAUP and CAUT Censure Lists

The Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom wishes to bring to the attention of Association members the censure lists of the American Association of University Professors and the Canadian Association of University Teachers. The AAUP list with dates of censuring is published with the permission of the AAUP BULLETIN. The CAUT censure list is published with the consent of the Canadian Association of University Teachers and is presented to advise APSA members considering Canadian offers of appointment of institutions in Canada where conditions of academic freedom are not satisfactory.