

FIXED POINT THEORY OF MÖNCH TYPE FOR WEAKLY SEQUENTIALLY UPPER SEMICONTINUOUS MAPS

DONAL O'REGAN

A variety of fixed point results are presented for weakly sequentially upper semicontinuous maps. In addition an existence result is established for differential equations in Banach spaces relative to the weak topology.

1. INTRODUCTION

New fixed point theorems are presented for weakly sequentially continuous (and more generally weakly sequentially upper semicontinuous) maps between Banach spaces (or more generally metrisable locally convex spaces). In particular we extend Emmanuele's and other fixed point theory in the literature [5, 13, 14]. Also we present an analogue of Mönch's fixed point theorem [11] in the weak topology setting for weakly sequentially continuous maps. The paper will be divided into three main sections. Section 2 (respectively Section 4) discusses fixed point theory for single valued (respectively multivalued) maps whereas in Section 3 we use the theory developed in Section 2 to establish the existence of weak solutions to differential equations.

2. SINGLE VALUED MAPS

We shall establish two new fixed point results in this section. However before we do so we present a well known result from the literature which will be used throughout this section (for completeness we include its proof).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let E be a metrisable locally convex linear topological space with Q a weakly compact subset of E . Suppose $F : Q \rightarrow E$ is weakly sequentially continuous. Then $F : Q \rightarrow E$ is weakly continuous.*

PROOF: Let A be a weakly closed subset of E . We first show $F^{-1}(A)$ is weakly sequentially closed in Q . To see this let $y_n \in F^{-1}(A)$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ (here \rightarrow denotes weak convergence). Then $F(y_n) \rightarrow F(y)$. Also $F(y_n) \in A$ and A weakly closed implies $F(y) \in A$, that is, $y \in F^{-1}(A)$. Thus $F^{-1}(A)$ is weakly sequentially closed. Now since Q is weakly compact we have $\overline{F^{-1}(A)}^w$ weakly compact. Let $x \in \overline{F^{-1}(A)}^w$. The

Received 20th August, 1999

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/00 \$A2.00+0.00.

Eberlein–Smulian Theorem [4, p.549] guarantees that there is a sequence $x_n \in F^{-1}(A)$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$. Since $F^{-1}(A)$ is weakly sequentially closed we have $x \in F^{-1}(A)$, that is, $\overline{F^{-1}(A)}^w = F^{-1}(A)$. Thus $F^{-1}(A)$ is weakly closed. \square

Our first result is the analogue of Mönch’s fixed point theorem in the weak topology setting.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let E be a Banach space (or more generally a quasicomplete metrisable locally convex linear topological space), Q a closed, convex subset of E and $x_0 \in Q$. Suppose there is a weakly sequentially continuous map $F : Q \rightarrow Q$ and assume the following properties hold:*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} C \subseteq Q \text{ is countable and } \overline{C}^w \subseteq \overline{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(\overline{C}^w)) \\ \text{implies } \overline{C}^w \text{ is weakly compact,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for any relatively weakly compact subset } A \text{ of } E \text{ there} \\ \text{exists a countable set } B \text{ of } E \text{ with } \overline{B}^w = \overline{A}^w. \end{cases}$$

Then F has a fixed point in Q .

REMARK 2.2. If E is a Banach space and E^* (the dual of E) is separable then (2.2) is true. To see this recall if K is a weakly compact subset of E then K with the relative weak topology is metrisable. This together with the fact that compact metric spaces are separable yields (2.2).

PROOF: Let

$$D_0 = \{x_0\} \text{ and } D_n = co(\{x_0\} \cup F(D_{n-1})) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We claim D_n is relatively weakly compact for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Certainly it is true if $n = 0$. Now suppose D_k is relatively weakly compact for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Notice from Theorem 2.1 that

$$F : \overline{D_k}^w \rightarrow E \text{ is weakly continuous,}$$

and as a result

$$F(\overline{D_k}^w) \text{ is weakly compact.}$$

The Krein–Smulian Theorem [3, p.434]; [6, p.82] guarantees that D_{k+1} is relatively weakly compact.

From (2.2) there exists a sequence of countable sets $\{C_n\}_0^\infty$ with $\overline{C_n}^w = \overline{D_n}^w$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Let

$$D = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty D_n \text{ and } C = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty C_n.$$

Note that D is convex, since $D_{n-1} \subseteq D_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It is immediate since (D_n) is increasing that

$$D = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(D_{n-1})) = \text{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(D))$$

and so [15, p.66] guarantees that

$$(2.3) \quad \overline{D^w} (= \overline{D}) = \overline{\text{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(D))}.$$

Also since

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{D_n^w} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n}$$

we have

$$(2.4) \quad \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{D_n^w}} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n} = \overline{D^w} \text{ and } \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{D_n^w}} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{C_n^w}} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n} = \overline{C^w}.$$

Now (2.3) and (2.4) imply

$$\overline{C^w} = \overline{D^w} = \overline{\text{co}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\})} \subseteq \overline{\text{co}(F(\overline{D^w}) \cup \{x_0\})} = \overline{\text{co}(F(\overline{C^w}) \cup \{x_0\})}.$$

This together with (2.1) guarantees that $\overline{C^w}$ is weakly compact. Hence $\overline{D^w}$ is weakly compact. From Theorem 2.1 we have that

$$(2.5) \quad F : \overline{D^w} \rightarrow E \text{ is weakly continuous.}$$

Also (2.3) implies $F(D) \subseteq \overline{D^w}$ and so

$$(2.6) \quad \overline{F(D)^w} \subseteq \overline{D^w}.$$

Now (2.5) and (2.6) (note the weak closure of $F(D)$ (respectively D) in $\overline{D^w}$ equals the weak closure of $F(D)$ (respectively D) in E) gives

$$F(\overline{D^w}) \subseteq \overline{F(D)^w} \subseteq \overline{D^w}.$$

We may apply the Schauder–Tychonoff Theorem (consider E with the weak topology and note $F : \overline{D^w} \rightarrow \overline{D^w}$ is continuous with $\overline{D^w}$ compact) to deduce that F has a fixed point in $\overline{D^w}$. \square

We now present a fixed point result when (2.2) is not assumed. As one would expect (2.1) needs to be adjusted also.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let E be a Banach space (or more generally a metrisable locally convex linear topological space), Q a closed, convex subset of E and $x_0 \in Q$. Suppose*

there is a weakly sequentially continuous map $F : Q \rightarrow Q$ with the following property holding:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} A \subseteq Q \text{ and } \overline{A} = \overline{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(A)) \\ \text{implies } \overline{A^w} \text{ is weakly compact.} \end{cases}$$

Then F has a fixed point in Q .

PROOF: Let

$$D_0 = \{x_0\}, D_n = co(\{x_0\} \cup F(D_{n-1})) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } D = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n.$$

As in Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\overline{D} = \overline{D^w} = \overline{co}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\}).$$

Now (2.7) implies $\overline{D^w}$ is weakly compact. Note also that $F(D) \subseteq \overline{D^w}$ and so $\overline{F(D)^w} \subseteq \overline{D^w}$. In addition Theorem 2.1 guarantees that $F : \overline{D^w} \rightarrow E$ is weakly continuous, so

$$F(\overline{D^w}) \subseteq \overline{F(D)^w} \subseteq \overline{D^w}.$$

Apply the Schauder–Tychonoff Theorem to deduce the result. \square

3. APPLICATION

We begin with a discussion of the operator equation

$$(3.1) \quad x(t) = Fx(t) \text{ on } [0, T].$$

Solutions to (3.1) will be sought in $C([0, T], E)$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let E be a Banach space with Q a nonempty, bounded, closed, convex, equicontinuous subset of $C([0, T], E)$. Suppose $F : Q \rightarrow Q$ is wk-sequentially continuous (that is, if for any sequence (x_n) in Q with $x_n(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ in (E, w) for each $t \in [0, T]$, then $Fx_n(t) \rightarrow Fx(t)$ in (E, w) for each $t \in [0, T]$) and assume (2.7) holds. Then (3.1) has a solution in Q .*

PROOF: The argument in [12, p.103] guarantees that $F : Q \rightarrow Q$ is weakly sequentially continuous so the result follows from Theorem 2.3. \square

We next gather together some facts that will be needed in this section. Let Ω_E be the bounded subsets of a Banach space E and let K^w be the family of all weakly compact subsets of E . Also let B_E be the closed unit ball of E . The De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness is the map $\beta : \Omega_E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\beta(X) = \inf \{t > 0 : \exists Y \in K^w \text{ with } X \subseteq Y + tB_E\} : \text{ here } X \in \Omega_E.$$

We now state the following well known result [10].

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $H \subseteq C([0, T], E)$ be bounded and equicontinuous. Then*

$$\beta(H) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \beta(H(t)) = \beta(H[0, T])$$

and the function $t \mapsto \beta(H(t))$ is continuous; here

$$H(t) = \{\phi(t) : \phi \in H\} \text{ and } H[0, T] = \bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} \{\phi(t) : \phi \in H\}.$$

We now discuss a special case of (3.1), namely

$$(3.2) \quad y(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, y(s)) ds \text{ for } t \in [0, T];$$

here $x_0 \in E$ and $E = (E, |\cdot|)$ is a real Banach space. Assume that the following conditions hold:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for each } t \in [0, T], f_t = f(t, \cdot) \text{ is weakly sequentially continuous} \\ \text{(that is, for each } t \in [0, T], \text{ and for each convergent sequence } (x_n), \\ \text{the sequence } f_t(x_n) \text{ is weakly convergent),} \end{cases}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \text{for each continuous } y : [0, T] \rightarrow E, f(\cdot, y(\cdot)) \text{ is Pettis integrable on } [0, T],$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for any } r > 0 \text{ there exists } h_r \in L^1[0, T] \text{ with } |f(t, y)| \leq h_r(t) \\ \text{for almost all } t \in [0, T] \text{ and all } y \in E \text{ with } |y| \leq r. \end{cases}$$

Define the operator F by

$$(3.6) \quad Fx(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) ds.$$

A standard argument [12, p.103] guarantees that

$$F : C([0, T], E) \rightarrow C([0, T], E).$$

We say $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Kamke function if the unique solution to the integral inequality

$$u(t) \leq \int_0^t w(u(\tau)) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

which satisfies $u(0) = 0$ is $u \equiv 0$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let E be a Banach space with Q a nonempty, bounded, closed, convex, equicontinuous subset of $C([0, T], E)$. Suppose (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) hold. Also assume*

$$(3.7) \quad \beta\left(f\left([0, T] \times X\right)\right) \leq w\left(\beta(X)\right) \text{ for all bounded subsets } X \text{ of } E$$

is satisfied; here w is a Kamke function. Let F be as defined in (3.6) and assume $F : Q \rightarrow Q$. Then (3.2) has a solution in Q .

PROOF: We shall apply Theorem 3.1. First we show $F : Q \rightarrow Q$ is wk -sequentially continuous. Let (x_n) be a sequence in Q and let $x_n(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ in (E, w) for each $t \in [0, T]$. Fix $t \in (0, T]$. Since f_t is weakly sequentially continuous, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for the Pettis integral [7, Corollary 4] implies for each $\phi \in E^*$ that

$$\phi\left(Fx_n(t)\right) \rightarrow \phi\left(Fx(t)\right).$$

We can do this for each $t \in [0, T]$ and so $F : Q \rightarrow Q$ is wk -sequentially continuous. It remains to show (2.7). Let $x^* \in Q$ and $\bar{C} = \bar{c\mathcal{O}}(\{x^*\} \cup F(C))$ for some $C \subseteq Q$. We must show C is relatively weakly compact. Notice from Theorem 3.2 that the function

$$v : t \rightarrow \beta\left(C(t)\right) \text{ is continuous on } [0, T].$$

For fixed $t \in (0, T]$ divide $[0, t]$ into m parts: $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = t$, where $t_i = it/m$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Let

$$C[t_{i-1}, t_i] = \{u(s) : u \in C \text{ and } t_{i-1} \leq s \leq t_i\}.$$

There exists (by Theorem 3.2 and the continuity of v) $s_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ with

$$(3.8) \quad \beta\left(C[t_{i-1}, t_i]\right) = \sup\left\{\beta\left(C(s)\right) : t_{i-1} \leq s \leq t_i\right\} = v\left(s_i\right).$$

Also by the Pettis integral mean value theorem we obtain for $u \in C$,

$$Fu(t) = x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f\left(s, u(s)\right) ds \in x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \bar{c\mathcal{O}}\left(f\left([0, T] \times C[t_i, t_{i+1}]\right)\right),$$

and so

$$FC(t) \subseteq x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \bar{c\mathcal{O}}\left(f\left([0, T] \times C[t_i, t_{i+1}]\right)\right).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\left(FC(t)\right) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \beta\left(\bar{c\mathcal{O}}\left(f\left([0, T] \times C[t_i, t_{i+1}]\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \beta\left(f\left([0, T] \times C[t_i, t_{i+1}]\right)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) w\left(\beta\left(C[t_i, t_{i+1}]\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) w\left(v\left(s_i\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

using (3.7) and (3.8). Let $m \rightarrow \infty$ and we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i)w(v(s_i)) \rightarrow \int_0^t w(v(s))ds$$

so

$$(3.9) \quad \beta(FC(t)) \leq \int_0^t w(v(s))ds \text{ for } t \in [0, T].$$

Since $\bar{C} = \overline{\text{co}}(\{x^*\} \cup F(C))$ we have for $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\beta(C(t)) \leq \beta(FC(t))$$

and this together with (3.9) yields

$$v(t) = \beta(C(t)) \leq \int_0^t w(v(s))ds.$$

Now since w is a Kamke function we get $v(t) = 0$ for each $t \in [0, T]$, that is, $\beta(C(t)) = 0$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. This together with Theorem 3.2 implies C is relatively weakly compact. Thus (2.7) holds. Our result follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

We now use Theorem 3.3 to establish a general existence result for (3.6).

THEOREM 3.4. *Let E be a Banach space and suppose (3.3), (3.4) and (3.7) hold. In addition assume*

$$(3.10) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } \alpha \in L^1[0, T] \text{ and } \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \text{ a nondecreasing continuous} \\ \text{function with } |f(s, u)| \leq \alpha(s)\psi(|u|) \text{ for almost all } s \in [0, T] \text{ and all } u \in E, \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad \int_0^T \alpha(s)ds < \int_{|x_0|}^\infty \frac{dx}{\psi(x)}$$

are satisfied. Then (3.2) has a solution in $C([0, T], E)$.

PROOF: Let

$$Q = \left\{ y \in C([0, T], E) : \begin{array}{l} |y(t)| \leq b(t) \text{ for } t \in [0, T] \text{ and} \\ |y(t) - y(s)| \leq b(t) - b(s) \text{ for } t, s \in [0, T] \end{array} \right\}$$

where

$$b(t) = I^{-1} \left(\int_0^t \alpha(s)ds \right) \text{ and } I(z) = \int_{|x_0|}^z \frac{dx}{\psi(x)}.$$

Notice Q is a closed, convex, bounded, equicontinuous subset of $C([0, T], E)$. Let F be as defined in (3.6). A standard argument [12, p.104] guarantees that $F : Q \rightarrow Q$. The result follows from Theorem 3.3. \square

4. MULTIVALUED MAPS

We begin with the analogue of Theorem 2.1 in the multivalued setting.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let E be a metrisable locally convex linear topological space with Q a weakly compact subset of E . Suppose $F : Q \rightarrow C(E)$ (here $C(E)$ denotes the family of nonempty, closed, convex subsets of E) is a weakly sequentially upper semicontinuous map. Then $F : Q \rightarrow C(E)$ is weakly upper semicontinuous.*

REMARK 4.1. Note $F : Q \rightarrow C(E)$ is weakly sequentially upper semicontinuous if for any weakly closed set A of E , $F^{-1}(A)$ is weakly sequentially closed.

PROOF: Let A be a weakly closed subset of E . Then since $F : Q \rightarrow C(E)$ is weakly sequentially upper semicontinuous we have that $F^{-1}(A)$ is weakly sequentially closed. Now since Q is weakly compact we have $\overline{F^{-1}(A)}^w$ weakly compact. Let $x \in \overline{F^{-1}(A)}^w$. The Eberlein–Smulian Theorem [4, p.549] guarantees that there is a sequence $x_n \in F^{-1}(A)$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$. Now $x \in F^{-1}(A)$ since $F^{-1}(A)$ is weakly sequentially closed. Thus $\overline{F^{-1}(A)}^w = F^{-1}(A)$ so $F^{-1}(A)$ is weakly closed. \square

The following well known result of Himmelberg [8, p.206] will be used in this section (alternatively we could use Ky Fan's fixed point theorem).

THEOREM 4.2. *Let U be a nonempty, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex linear topological space E . Let $F : U \rightarrow U$ be an upper semicontinuous multifunction such that $F(x)$ is closed and convex for all $x \in U$ and $F(U)$ is contained in a compact subset Q of U . Then F has a fixed point.*

We begin by presenting the analogue of Theorem 2.3 for multivalued maps.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let E be a Banach space (or more generally a metrisable locally convex linear topological space), Q a closed, convex subset of E and $x_0 \in Q$. Suppose $F : Q \rightarrow C(Q)$ is a weakly sequentially closed map (that is, has weakly sequentially closed graph) with (2.7) holding. In addition assume*

$$(4.1) \quad F(\overline{A}^w) \subseteq \overline{F(A)}^w \text{ for every relatively weakly compact subset } A \text{ of } Q.$$

Then F has a fixed point in Q .

PROOF: Let

$$D_0 = \{x_0\}, \quad D_n = \text{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(D_{n-1})) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } D = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n.$$

As in Theorem 2.2 we have

$$(4.2) \quad D = \text{co}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\})$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \overline{D} = \overline{D}^w = \overline{\text{co}}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\}).$$

Now (2.7) and (4.3) imply that $\overline{D^w}$ is weakly compact. Also (4.3) implies $F(D) \subseteq \overline{D^w}$, and so $F(D)^w \subseteq \overline{D^w}$. This together with (4.1) yields $F(\overline{D^w}) \subseteq \overline{D^w}$. Thus

$$F : \overline{D^w} \rightarrow C(\overline{D^w}) \text{ with } F \text{ a weakly sequentially closed map.}$$

The result follows from [14, Theorem 2.2] (the argument in [14] shows F is weakly upper semicontinuous so F has a fixed point by Theorem 4.2). \square

We now discuss the analogue of Theorem 2.2. Two results will be presented.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let E be a Banach space (or more generally a quasicomplete metrisable locally convex linear topological space), Q a closed, convex subset of E and $x_0 \in Q$. Suppose there is a weakly sequentially upper semicontinuous map $F : Q \rightarrow C(Q)$ with (2.1) and (2.2) holding. In addition assume (4.1) is satisfied. Then F has a fixed point in Q .*

PROOF: Let D_n be as in Theorem 2.2. Suppose D_k is relatively weakly compact for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Notice from Theorem 4.1 that

$$F : \overline{D_k^w} \rightarrow C(E) \text{ is weakly upper semicontinuous,}$$

and so [1, p.464] guarantees that

$$F(\overline{D_k^w}) \text{ is weakly compact.}$$

The Krein–Smulian Theorem [6, p.82] guarantees that D_{k+1} is relatively weakly compact.

Let C_n, D, C be as in Theorem 2.2 and note (as in Theorem 2.2) that

$$(4.4) \quad D = \text{co}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\})$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \overline{D} = \overline{D^w} = \overline{\text{co}}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\}) \text{ and } \overline{D^w} = \overline{C^w}.$$

Consequently

$$\overline{C^w} = \overline{D^w} = \overline{\text{co}}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\}) \subseteq \overline{\text{co}}(F(\overline{D^w}) \cup \{x_0\}) = \overline{\text{co}}(F(\overline{C^w}) \cup \{x_0\}).$$

Now (2.1) guarantees that $\overline{C^w}$ (and so $\overline{D^w}$) is weakly compact. Also notice from (4.4) that

$$F : D \rightarrow C(D) \text{ with } F(D) \subseteq \overline{D^w} \text{ and } \overline{D^w} \text{ is weakly compact.}$$

Theorem 4.1 now implies that $F : D \rightarrow C(D)$ is weakly upper semicontinuous. In addition (4.1) guarantees that $F(\overline{D^w}) \subseteq F(D)^w \subseteq \overline{D^w}$. Now apply Theorem 4.2. \square

In our next result we replace (2.1) with a less restrictive condition.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let E be a Banach space (or more generally a quasicomplete metrisable locally convex linear topological space), Q a closed, convex subset of E and*

$x_0 \in Q$. Suppose $F : Q \rightarrow C(Q)$ is a weakly sequentially upper semicontinuous map with (2.2) holding. In addition suppose

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{cases} C \subseteq Q \text{ is countable and } \overline{C^w} = \overline{c\bar{o}}(\{x_0\} \cup F(C)) \\ \text{implies } C \text{ is relatively weakly compact,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad F(\overline{A^w}) \subseteq \overline{F(A)^w} \text{ for any subset } A \text{ of } Q$$

are satisfied. Then F has a fixed point.

REMARK 4.2. Notice that $\overline{c\bar{o}}(\{x_0\} \cup F(C))$ could be replaced by $\overline{c\bar{o}}(\{x_0\} \cup F(\overline{C^w}))$ in (4.6).

PROOF: Let D_n be as in Theorem 2.2. As in Theorem 4.4 we have that D_n is relatively weakly compact for each $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Let C_n, D, C be as in Theorem 2.2 and note

$$(4.8) \quad \overline{D} = \overline{D^w} = \overline{c\bar{o}}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\}) \text{ and } \overline{D^w} = \overline{C^w}.$$

In addition (4.7) implies

$$F(D) \cup \{x_0\} \subseteq F(\overline{D^w}) \cup \{x_0\} \subseteq \overline{F(D) \cup \{x_0\}^w} \subseteq \overline{c\bar{o}}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\})$$

and so

$$(4.9) \quad \overline{c\bar{o}}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\}) = \overline{c\bar{o}}(F(\overline{D^w}) \cup \{x_0\}).$$

Then (4.8) and (4.9) imply

$$\overline{C^w} = \overline{D^w} = \overline{c\bar{o}}(F(D) \cup \{x_0\}) = \overline{c\bar{o}}(F(\overline{D^w}) \cup \{x_0\}) = \overline{c\bar{o}}(F(\overline{C^w}) \cup \{x_0\}) = \overline{c\bar{o}}(F(C) \cup \{x_0\}).$$

Now (4.6) guarantees that $\overline{C^w}$ (and so $\overline{D^w}$) is weakly compact. Also $F(\overline{D^w}) \subseteq \overline{D^w}$ and Theorem 4.1 guarantees that $F : \overline{D^w} \rightarrow C(\overline{D^w})$ is weakly upper semicontinuous. Now the result of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C.D. Aliprantis and K.C. Border, *Infinite dimensional analysis* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1985).
- [2] M. Cichon, 'On bounded weak solutions of a nonlinear differential equation in a Banach space', *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 21 (1992), 27–35.
- [3] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators; Part I: General theory*, A Wiley Interscience Publication (John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, London, 1958).

- [4] R.E. Edwards, *Functional analysis, theory and applications* (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965).
- [5] G. Emmanuele, 'Measures of weak noncompactness and fixed point theorems', *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumanie* **25** (1981), 353–358.
- [6] K. Floret, *Weakly compact sets* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980).
- [7] R.F. Geitz, 'Pettis integration', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1981), 81–86.
- [8] C.J. Himmelberg, 'Fixed points of compact multifunctions', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **38** (1972), 205–207.
- [9] I. Kubiacyzyk and S. Szufła, 'Knaeser's theorem for weak solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces', *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)* **32** (1982), 99–103.
- [10] A.R. Mitchell and C.K.L. Smith, 'An existence theorem for weak solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces', in *Nonlinear equations in abstract spaces*, (V. Lakshmikantham, Editor) (Academic Press, New York, 1978), pp. 387–404.
- [11] H. Mönch, Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* **4** (1980), 985–999.
- [12] D. O'Regan, 'Weak solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces', *Appl. Math. Lett.* **12** (1999), 101–105.
- [13] D. O'Regan, 'Fixed point theory for weakly contractive maps with applications to operator equations in Banach spaces relative to the weak topology', *Z. Anal. Anwendungen* **17** (1998), 281–296.
- [14] D. O'Regan, 'Fixed point theorems for weakly sequentially closed maps', *Arch. Math. (Brno)* (to appear).
- [15] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis* (McGraw Hill, New York, 1973).

Department Of Mathematics
National University of Ireland
Galway
Ireland