

COORDINATES FOR ANALYTIC OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

by BARUCH SOLEL

(Received 10 August, 1987)

1. Introduction. Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra and $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \in A}$ be a representation of a compact abelian group A as $*$ -automorphisms of M . Let Γ be the dual group of A and suppose that Γ is totally ordered with a positive semigroup $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$. The *analytic algebra* associated with α and Σ is

$$M^\alpha(\Sigma) = \{a \in M : \text{sp}_\alpha(a) \subseteq \Sigma\},$$

where $\text{sp}_\alpha(a)$ is Arveson's spectrum. These algebras were studied (also for A not necessarily compact) by several authors starting with Loeb and Muhly [10].

In the case where the fixed point algebra

$$M_0 = \{a \in M : \alpha_t(a) = a \text{ for every } t \text{ in } A\},$$

is a Cartan subalgebra of M it was shown in [13] that one can construct a "system of coordinates" for M and use it to study the σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodules of M . Using this analysis one can identify the σ -weakly closed ideals of $M^\alpha(\Sigma)$, the algebras that lie between the algebra $M^\alpha(\Sigma)$ and M , and other $M^\alpha(\Sigma)$ -bimodules. These results were used to study isomorphisms between two such algebras.

In the present paper we do not assume that M_0 is a Cartan subalgebra or even abelian. We show (Section 2) that one can construct a "system of coordinates" for M (namely, represent each operator T in M as a "generalized matrix" $\{T(x, y) : (x, y) \in R\}$, where R is an equivalence relation on some measure space (X, μ)).

We use this representation to characterize the σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodules of M . If $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$ (where $Z(M_0)$ is the center of M_0), then it is shown that for every such bimodule \mathcal{U} there is a Borel subset $Q \subseteq R$ such that

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in M : T(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } (x, y) \text{ not in } Q\}.$$

In Section 4 we use this analysis to study M -reflexivity of M_0 -bimodules. Among other things we show that α is inner if and only if $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$ and every σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule is M -reflexive.

Section 5 deals with isomorphisms $\varphi : M^\alpha(\Sigma_1) \rightarrow B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$. It is proved (Theorem 5.1) that if $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$ and $B \cap Z(B_0)' \subseteq B_0$ and ψ is an algebraic isomorphism such that $\psi(a^*) = \psi(a)^*$ for $a \in M_0$, then there is an isomorphism of the equivalence relation R_1 (associated with (M, α)) onto R_2 (associated with (B, η)) that carries P_1 onto P_2 . Here P_1 and P_2 are the support sets of $M^\alpha(\Sigma_1)$ and $B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$; namely,

$$M^\alpha(\Sigma_1) = \{T \in M : T(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } (x, y) \text{ not in } P_1\},$$

$$B^\eta(\Sigma_2) = \{T \in B : T(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } (x, y) \text{ not in } P_2\}.$$

This result is related to the results of [13, Section 5], [11] and [12].

Glasgow Math. J. **31** (1989) 31–47.

2. Preliminaries. Let M be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H and let α be a σ -weakly continuous representation of a compact abelian group A as $*$ -automorphisms of M . Write Γ for the dual of A . For each $p \in \Gamma$ we define a σ -weakly continuous linear map ε_p , on M , by

$$\varepsilon_p(x) = \int_A \alpha_t(x) \langle t, p \rangle dt \quad (x \in M),$$

where dt is the normalized Haar measure on A . Let M_p be $\varepsilon_p(M)$. Then it is clear that $M_p = \{x \in M : \alpha_t(x) = \langle t, p \rangle x, t \in A\}$ and M_0 is the fixed point algebra. For every $p \in \Gamma$ define the projection

$$f_p = \sup\{uu^* : u \text{ is a partial isometry in } M_p\}.$$

Then $f_{-p} = \sup\{u^*u : u \text{ is a partial isometry in } M_p\}$ as $M_{-p} = M_p^*$. The following result is well known (see [17]).

LEMMA 2.1. For every $p, q \in \Gamma$,

- (1) $f_p \in Z(M_0)$ (the center of M_0);
- (2) $M_p M_q \subseteq M_{p+q}$ and $M_p^* = M_{-p}$;
- (3) if $x \in M_p$ and $x = v|x|$ is its polar decomposition, then $v \in M_p$ and $|x| \in M_0$.

We will need the following result.

LEMMA 2.2. For every $p \in \Gamma$ there is a sequence of partial isometries $\{v_{p,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ with the following properties.

- (1) $v_{p,n}^* v_{p,m} = 0$ if $m \neq n$;
- (2) $\sum_{m=0}^\infty v_{p,m} v_{p,m}^* = f_p$;
- (3) for each $m \geq 1$, $v_{p,m}^* v_{p,m} \leq v_{p,m-1}^* v_{p,m-1}$;
- (4) $M_p = \sum_{m=0}^\infty v_{p,m} M_0$ (i.e. each $x \in M_p$ can be written as $\sum_{m=0}^\infty v_{p,m} x_m$, where $x_m \in M_0$ and the sum converges in the σ -weak operator topology);
- (5) $M_p = M_0 v_{p,0} M_0$ (i.e. M_p is the σ -weak closure of the subspace spanned by $\{A v_{p,0} B : A, B \in M_0\}$).

Proof. The existence of the partial isometries $\{v_{p,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying (1)–(4), was proved in [16, Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.4] for the case $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}$. The proof in the general case is almost identical. For (5) simply note that for $m \geq 1$, $v_{p,m}^* v_{p,m} \leq v_{p,0}^* v_{p,0}$ and therefore $v_{p,m} = v_{p,m} v_{p,0}^* v_{p,0} v_{p,m}^* v_{p,m} \in M_0 v_{p,0} M_0$. ■

With the partial isometries $\{v_{p,m} : m \geq 0, p \in \Gamma\}$ defined as above we can define maps $\{\beta_p\}_{p \in \Gamma}$ on M_0' by the formula

$$\beta_p(T) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty v_{p,m} T v_{p,m}^*.$$

We have the following results ([17, Lemma 2.4]).

LEMMA 2.3.

- (1) β_p is a well defined homomorphism from M'_0 onto $f_p M'_0$ that maps $Z(M_0)$ onto $f_p Z(M_0)$.
- (2) β_p , restricted to $f_{-p} M'_0$ is a *-isomorphism of $f_{-p} M'_0$ onto $f_p M'_0$ that maps $f_{-p} Z(M_0)$ onto $f_p Z(M_0)$;
- (3) $\beta_p \beta_q(T) = \beta_{p+q}(f_{-q} T) = f_q \beta_{p+q}(T)$;
- (4) $\beta_p(f_q) = \beta_p(\beta_q(I)) = f_p \beta_{p+q}(I) = f_p f_{p+q}$.

Since M_0 is σ -finite there is a faithful normal state w on M_0 . Define w on M by

$$w(x) = w(\varepsilon_0(x)) \quad (x \in M).$$

Then w is a faithful normal state on M_0 such that

$$w \circ \varepsilon_0 = w \quad \text{and} \quad w \circ \alpha_t = w \quad (t \in A).$$

Considering the Gelfand–Naimark–Segal construction for w we may assume that M has a separating and cyclic vector $\rho_0 \in H$ such that

$$w(x) = \langle x\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_0(x)\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle \quad (x \in M).$$

As $Z(M_0)$ is an abelian von Neumann algebra on a separable Hilbert space H , there is a locally compact complete separable metric measure space (X, μ) such that H is (unitarily equivalent to) the direct integral of Hilbert spaces $\{H(x)\}$ over (X, μ) and $Z(M_0)$ is (unitarily equivalent to) the algebra of diagonalizable operators relative to this decomposition [5, Theorem 14.2.1]. Also, $Z(M_0)'$ is the algebra of decomposable operators.

For every $p \in \Gamma$, β_p defines a *-isomorphism from $f_{-p} Z(M_0)$ onto $f_p Z(M_0)$. There are subsets $\{\hat{f}_p : p \in \Gamma\}$ of X such that $x \rightarrow \chi_{\hat{f}_p}(x)$ is the decomposition of f_p . (Here χ_B is the characteristic function of $B \subseteq X$.) Then β_p induces a *-isomorphism, denoted also by β_p , from $L^\infty(\hat{f}_{-p}, \mu | \hat{f}_{-p})$ onto $L^\infty(\hat{f}_p, \mu | \hat{f}_p)$. Therefore there is an invertible Borel transformation $\hat{\beta}_p$ from \hat{f}_{-p} onto \hat{f}_p such that, for $g \in L^\infty(f_{-p}, \mu | \hat{f}_p)$, we have

$$\beta_p(g) = g \circ \hat{\beta}_p^{-1}$$

and

$$(\mu | \hat{f}_p) \circ \hat{\beta}_p \sim \mu | \hat{f}_{-p} \quad (p \in \Gamma).$$

We now define a groupoid G as follows.

$$G = \{(x, p) : x \in \hat{f}_p, p \in \Gamma\},$$

$$(x, p)(y, q) = (x, p + q) \text{ if } y = \hat{\beta}_p^{-1}(x) \text{ (and undefined otherwise) and } (x, p)^{-1} = (\hat{\beta}_p^{-1}(x), -p).$$

Using Lemma 2.3 it is easy to check that G is indeed a groupoid with this multiplication and inverse operation. (For definitions see [3].) We can make it a measured

groupoid by defining the measure ν on G by:

$$\int_G f d\nu = \int_X \left(\sum_{p \in \Gamma} f(\hat{\beta}_p(x), p) \right) d\mu$$

for a Borel function f on G .

We will denote by R the principal groupoid associated with G ; i.e. $R = \{(x, y) : y = \hat{\beta}_p^{-1}(x), p \in \Gamma, x \in \hat{f}_p\}$. Thus R is a measured equivalence relation (see [2]).

Let \tilde{N} be $M \cap Z(M_0)'$. Then, for $t \in A$, $\alpha_t(\tilde{N}) = \tilde{N}$. Hence Lemma 2.1 can be applied to the algebra \tilde{N} (in place of M) to get projections $Q_p \in Z(N_0)$ (where $N_0 = \tilde{N} \cap M_0 = \tilde{N} \cap Z(M_0)'$) such that

$$Q_p = \sup\{uu^* : u \text{ is a partial isometry in } N_p = \tilde{N} \cap M_p\}.$$

In fact we have the following result.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\{Q_p\}$ be as above.*

- (1) Q_p is the largest subprojection of f_{-p} , in $Z(M_0)$, such that for every $Q \leq Q_p$, $Q \in Z(M_0)$ we have $\beta_p(Q) = Q$.
- (2) For every non-zero projection $F \leq 1 - Q_p$, $F \in Z(M_0)$, there is a non zero projection $F' \leq F$ in $Z(M_0)$ such that $\beta_p(F')F' = 0$.
- (3) $Q_p = Q_{-p} \leq f_p f_{-p}$.
- (4) $Q_p Q_q \leq Q_{p+q}$.
- (5) For $T \in M_p$, $Q_p T = T Q_p$.
- (6) $Q_p M_p = M_p \cap Z(M_0)' (= N_p)$.

Proof. By applying Lemma 2.2(2) to \tilde{N} we can write Q_p as a sum $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m u_m^*$, where $u_m \in M_p \cap Z(M_0)'$. Now, for a partial isometry $w \in Q_p M_p$ we have $w = w w^* w = \sum u_m u_m^* w \in Z(M_0)'$ (as $u_m \in Z(M_0)'$ and $u_m^* w \in M_0$). Hence $w \in N_p$ and, since M_p is generated by partial isometries, $Q_p M_p \leq N_p$. Since $N_p \leq Q_p M_p$ by the way Q_p was defined, $N_p = Q_p M_p$. We have $Q_p M_p Q_p = Q_p M_p = N_p = (N_{-p})^* = (Q_{-p} M_{-p})^* = M_p Q_{-p}$. Hence $M_p Q_{-p} (1 - Q_p) = 0$ and, thus, $Q_{-p} (1 - Q_p) = f_{-p} Q_{-p} (1 - Q_p) = 0$. By symmetry $Q_p = Q_{-p} \leq f_p f_{-p}$. Therefore, $Q_p M_p = M_p Q_p$ for $p \in \Gamma$. Hence $\beta_p(Q_p) \leq Q_p$. By applying β_{-p} we get $Q_p \leq \beta_{-p}(Q_p)$ and, since this holds for all $p \in \Gamma$, $Q_p = Q_{-p} \leq \beta_p(Q_{-p}) = \beta_p(Q_p)$. Hence $Q_p = \beta_p(Q_p)$. For $Q \in Z(M_0)$, $Q \leq Q_p$ we have, $\beta_p(Q) = \sum v_{p,m} Q v_{p,m}^* = \sum (v_{p,m} Q_p) Q v_{p,m}^* = Q \sum v_{p,m} Q_p v_{p,m}^* = Q \beta_p(Q_p) = Q Q_p = Q$.

To complete the proof of part (1) suppose that Q' is a projection in $Z(M_0)$ such that $\beta_p(Q) = Q$ for every $Q \leq Q'$. Then, for every Q in $Z(M_0)$ and $m \geq 0$, we have

$$u_{p,m} Q' Q u_{p,m}^* = u_{p,m} u_{p,m}^* \beta_p(Q Q') = u_{p,m} u_{p,m}^* Q Q'.$$

Hence

$$u_{p,m} Q' Q = u_{p,m} u_{p,m}^* Q Q' u_{p,m} = Q Q' u_{p,m}.$$

Thus $Q' u_{p,m} \in Z(M_0)'$ for every $m \geq 0$. But then $Q' M_p \leq N_p$ and $Q' \leq Q_p$. This completes the proof of (1). To prove (2), fix a non-zero projection $F \leq 1 - Q_p$ in $Z(M_0)$. If

$F(1 - f_{-p}) \neq 0$ then, letting $F' = F(1 - f_{-p})$ we have $\beta_p(F') = 0$ and we are done. So assume $F \leq f_{-p}$. By the maximality property (1) of Q_p there is a projection $F'' \leq F$ such that $\beta_p(F'') \neq F''$. Now either $F' = F'' - \beta_p(F'')F''$ or $F' = \beta_p^{-1}(\beta_p(F'') - \beta_p(F'')F'')$ will do. This proves (2).

(3) was already proved above and (4) follows from $N_p N_q \subseteq N_{p+q}$. For (5), let T be in M_p ; then $TQ_p \in Z(M_0)'$ and thus $TQ_p = Q_p TQ_p$. Also $T^*Q_p = Q_p T^*Q_p$, since $Q_p = Q_{-p}$. Hence $TQ_p = Q_p TQ_p = (Q_p T^*Q_p)^* = (T^*Q_p)^* = Q_p T$. ■

LEMMA 2.5. Assume that $Z(M) \cap M_0 = CI$.

- (1) For every $p, q \in \Gamma$, $f_{-p}\beta_q(Q_p) \leq Q_p$.
- (2) For every $p \in \Gamma$ either $Q_p = I$ or $Q_p = 0$.
- (3) $N = \{p \in \Gamma : Q_p = I\}$ is a subgroup of Γ .

Proof. Let Q be a subprojection, in $Z(M_0)$, of $f_{-p}\beta_q(Q_p)$. Then

$$Q = Q\beta_q(Q_p) = f_q Q\beta_q(Q_p) = \beta_q(\beta_{-q}(Q))\beta_q(Q_p) = \beta_q(\beta_{-q}(Q)Q_p).$$

Write $F = \beta_{-q}(Q)Q_p$; then $F \leq Q_p \leq f_{-p}$ and $Q = \beta_p(F)$. We have $\beta_p(Q) = \beta_p(\beta_q(F)) = \beta_p\beta_q(f_{-q}F) = \beta_{p+q}(f_{-q}F) = \beta_{p+q}(f_{-q}f_{-p}F) = \beta_q(\beta_p(f_{-q}F)) = \beta_q(f_{-p}F)$, as $f_{-q}F \leq Q_p$. Hence $\beta_p(Q) = \beta_q(f_{-p}F) = \beta_q(F) = Q$. Since Q is arbitrary in $Z(M_0)$, $f_{-p}\beta_q(Q_p) \leq Q_p$. This proves (1). To prove (2) first note that, for every $p \in \Gamma$,

$$\bigvee_{q \in \Gamma} \beta_q(Q_p) \in Z(M_0) \cap Z(M) = CI.$$

Hence if $Q_p \neq 0$, $\bigvee_q \beta_q(Q_p) = I$ and, from (1), $f_{-p} \leq Q_p$. Hence if $Q_p \neq 0$, then $Q_p = f_p = f_{-p}$. Now write $F = 1 - f_p$. Then, for $q \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_p\beta_q(F) &= Q_p\beta_q(F) = f_q\beta_p(Q_p\beta_p(F)) = f_q\beta_p(\beta_q(F)) \\ &= f_q\beta_{p+q}(F) = \beta_q(\beta_p(F)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $F \neq 0$, then $\bigvee_q \beta_q(F) = I$ and $f_p = 0$. Therefore if $Q_p \neq 0$, then $F = 0$; i.e. $Q_p = f_p = I$.

Part (3) follows from the fact that, for $p, q \in \Gamma$, $Q_p = Q_{-p}$ and $Q_{p+q} \geq Q_p Q_q$. ■

Combining Lemma 2.5 with Lemma 2.4 we see that $M \cap Z(M_0)'$ is generated by $\cup\{M_p : p \in N\}$ where $N = \{p \in \Gamma : Q_p = I\}$.

As was mentioned above, we assume that there is a separating and cyclic vector $\rho_0 \in H$ and that

$$\langle \alpha_t(x)\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle = \langle x\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle \quad (x \in M, t \in A).$$

It follows that for $t \in A$, $W_t x \rho_0 = \alpha_t(x)\rho_0$ defines a unitary operator on H and $t \rightarrow W_t$ is a homomorphism, continuous in the strong topology. Also note that $W_t x W_t^* = \alpha_t(x)$ for $x \in M$.

Let $W_t = \sum_{p \in \Gamma} \langle t, p \rangle E_p$ be its spectral decomposition. Then it is easy to check that

$$E_p x \rho_0 = \varepsilon_p(x)\rho_0, \quad p \in \Gamma, \quad x \in M.$$

Now, let N be as above and write, for $\gamma \in \Gamma/N$,

$$F_\gamma = \sum_{p+N=\gamma} E_p.$$

Then $\{F_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma/N}$ is an orthogonal family of projections with sum I . Let

$$U_s = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/N} \langle s, \gamma \rangle F_\gamma, \quad s \in (\Gamma/N)^\wedge.$$

For $s \in \Gamma/N$, $p, q \in \Gamma$ we have

$$U_s x U_s^* y \rho_0 = U_s x \langle s, \pi(q) \rangle y \rho_0 = \langle s, \pi(q) \rangle \langle s, \pi(p+q) \rangle x y \rho_0 = \langle s, \pi(p) \rangle x y \rho_0$$

for $x \in M_p$, $y \in M_q$ (where π is the quotient map $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/N$). Thus $U_s x U_s^* = \langle s, \pi(p) \rangle x$ for every $s \in (\Gamma/N)^\wedge$, $p \in \Gamma$. In particular $U_s M_p U_s^* \subseteq M$, $s \in (\Gamma/N)^\wedge$, $p \in \Gamma$. Hence $U_s M U_s^* \subseteq M$ and we write

$$\delta_s(x) = U_s x U_s^* \quad (s \in (\Gamma/N)^\wedge, x \in M).$$

This defines a σ -weakly continuous homomorphism δ of $(\Gamma/N)^\wedge$ into $\text{Aut}(M)$. Also $U_s x \rho_0 = U_s x U_s^* \rho_0 = \delta_s(x) \rho_0$ and, if we write $\phi_\gamma(x) = \int_{(\Gamma/N)^\wedge} \langle \gamma, s \rangle \delta_s(x) ds$ (where ds is the Haar measure), we get $F_\gamma x \rho_0 = \phi_\gamma(x) \rho_0$ ($x \in M$, $\gamma \in \Gamma/N$).

The image of ϕ_0 is the fixed point algebra of δ ; i.e. $\phi_0(M) = M^\delta = M \cap \{U_s\}'$. Hence ϕ_0 is an expectation onto $M \cap Z(M_0)'$.

LEMMA 2.6.

(1) For $p \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \in \Gamma/N$,

$$\phi_\gamma \circ \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_p \circ \phi_\gamma = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \pi(p) \neq \gamma \\ \varepsilon_p & \text{if } \pi(p) = \gamma \end{cases}.$$

(2) $\phi_{\pi(p)}(M)$ is spanned, as a σ -weakly closed subspace, by $U\{M_l : l \in p + N\}$.

Proof. For (1) simply observe that $(\phi_\gamma \circ \varepsilon_p)(x) \rho_0 = F_\gamma E_p x \rho_0 = E_p x \rho_0$ if $\pi(p) = \gamma$ and is 0 otherwise. For (2) note that M is spanned, as a σ -weakly closed subspace, by $\cup\{M_q : q \in \Gamma\}$; thus $\phi_{\pi(p)}(M)$ is spanned by $\cup\{\phi_{\pi(p)}(M_q) : q \in \Gamma\} = \cup\{M_q : q \in p + N\}$. ■

LEMMA 2.7. Fix $p \in \Gamma$ and a partial isometry $V \in \phi_\gamma(M)$, where $\gamma = \pi(p)$. Then, for every projection $F \in Z(M_0)$, we have

$$VFV^* = VV^* \beta_p(F).$$

Proof. Note that $\beta_p(F)$ is the projection onto $[M_p F(H)]$ and for every $q \in p + N$, $\beta_q(F) = \beta_p(F)$; hence $\beta_p(F) = \bigvee_{q \in p+N} [M_q F(H)] = [\phi_{\pi(p)}(M) F(H)]$, since $\phi_{\pi(p)}(M)$ is spanned by $U\{M_q : q \in p + N\}$. As $[VF(H)] \subseteq [\phi_{\pi(p)}(M) F(H)] = [\beta_p(F)(H)]$, $VFV^* \leq VV^* \beta_p(F)$. Also $[VV^* M_p F(H)] = [VFV^* M_p(H)]$ (as $F \in Z(M_0)$ and $V^* M_p \in M \cap Z(M_0)'$). Hence $VV^* \beta_p(F) = VFV^*$. ■

3. The “matrix” representation. We will assume throughout the rest of the paper that $Z(M) \cap M_0 = CI$.

Recall that (X, μ) is a locally compact complete separable metric space such that H is the direct integral of Hilbert spaces $\{H(x)\}$ over (X, μ) and $Z(M_0)$ is the algebra of diagonalizable operators relative to this decomposition.

For every $(x, y) \in R$ (the measured equivalence relation defined above) there is some $p \in \Gamma$ such that $y = \hat{\beta}_p^{-1}(x)$. We have $p + N \equiv \{p + q : q \in N\} = \{l \in \Gamma : \hat{\beta}_l^{-1}(x) = y\}$. Hence this defines a Borel map $d : R \rightarrow \Gamma/N$ that is a 1-cocycle; i.e. for almost every $(x, y, z) \in R^{(2)}$,

$$d(x, y) + d(y, z) = d(x, z).$$

(See [2] for cocycles on an equivalence relation.)

LEMMA 3.1. Fix $p \in \Gamma$ and a partial isometry $V \in \phi_\gamma(M)$, $\gamma = \pi(p)$. Then, for almost every $x \in X$, there is a partial isometry $\tilde{V}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))$ from $H(x)$ into $H(\hat{\beta}_p(x))$ such that

$$(V\xi)(\hat{\beta}_p(x)) = D(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))\tilde{V}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))\xi(x),$$

where $D(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)) = \sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{d\mu \circ \beta_p}}(x)$.

Proof. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ a countable set in H that spans H . Fix $\xi \in H$ and a projection F in $Z(M_0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{F}} \|D^{-1}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))(V\xi)(\hat{\beta}_p(x))\|^2 d\mu(x) &= \int_{\hat{F}} \|(V\xi)(\hat{\beta}_p(x))\|^2 d(\mu \circ \beta_p)(x) \\ &= \int_{\hat{\beta}_p(\hat{F})} \|(V\xi)(y)\|^2 d\mu(y) = \|\beta_p(F)V\xi\|^2 = \|VFV^*V\xi\|^2 \\ &= \|FV^*V\xi\|^2 = \int_{\hat{F}} \|(V^*V)(x)\xi(x)\|^2 d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for every Borel subset $\hat{F} \subseteq X$, $\|D^{-1}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))(V\xi)(\hat{\beta}_p(x))\| = \|(V^*V)(x)\xi(x)\|$ a.e. on X .

(Here $V^*V = \int V^*V(x)d\mu(x)$ is the decomposition of V^*V .) For every i there is a null set $N_i \subseteq X$ such that

$$\|D^{-1}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))(V\xi_i)(\hat{\beta}_p(x))\| = \|(V^*V)(x)\xi_i(x)\|$$

for every $x \notin N_i$. Let $N' = \cup N_i$. The above holds for every $x \notin N'$ and every i . Since $\{\xi_i(x)\}$ spans $H(x)$ for almost every x , the map

$$\xi_i(x) \rightarrow D^{-1}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))(V\xi_i)(\hat{\beta}_p(x))$$

can be extended to a partial isometry $\tilde{V}(x, \beta_p(x))$ from $H(x)$ (with initial projection $(V^*V)(x)$) into $H(\beta_p(x))$ (with final projection $VV^*(\beta_p(x))$). ■

For an arbitrary $T \in \phi_\gamma(M)$ ($\gamma = \pi(p)$) let $T = V|T|$ be its polar decomposition and let

$$T(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)) = D(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))\tilde{V}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))|T|(x),$$

where $|T| = \int^\oplus |T|(x) d\mu(x)$ (as $T^*T \in \phi_0(M) = M \cap Z(M_0)'$) and D and \tilde{V} are as in the last lemma. Then for a.e. x in X $T(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))$ is a bounded operator from $H(x)$ into $H(\beta_p(x))$ such that for $\xi \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))\xi(x) &= D(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))\tilde{V}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))|T|(x)\xi(x) \\ &= D(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))\tilde{V}(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))(|T|\xi)(x) = (V|T|\xi)(\hat{\beta}_p(x)) = (T\xi)(\hat{\beta}_p(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for almost every x .

Clearly $T(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)) = T(x, \hat{\beta}_q(x))$ if $p - q \in N$; so that we get a “matrix” representation of $T \in \phi_\gamma(M)$ over R . For an arbitrary $T \in M$ we define

$$T(x, y) = \phi_\gamma(T)(x, y), \quad \text{where } \gamma = d(x, y).$$

For $T \in \phi_\gamma(M)$ we have $\|T(x, y)\| \leq \|T\| D(x, y)$ and for $T \in M$,

$$\|T(x, y)\| \leq \|\phi_\gamma(T)\| D(x, y) \leq \|T\| D(x, y).$$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq M$ be an M_0 -bimodule. Then for $T \in M$, $T \in \mathcal{U}$ if and only if $\phi_\gamma(T) \in \mathcal{U}$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma/N$.*

Proof. Assume $T \in \mathcal{U}$. Let V be a partial isometry in $\phi_\gamma(M)$ satisfying $VZ(M_0)V^* \subseteq M_0$. Since $\phi_0(M) = M \cap Z(M_0)'$ and $Z(M_0)$ is an abelian von Neumann algebra, the results of [1, Theorem 6.2.2] show that $\phi_0(V^*T)$ lies in the σ -weakly closed convex hull of $\{UV^*TU^* : U \text{ is a unitary operator in } Z(M_0)\}$. Hence $V\phi_0(V^*T)$ lies in the σ -weakly closed convex hull of $\{(VUV^*)TU^* : U \text{ is a unitary operator in } Z(M_0)\}$ (since $VZ(M_0)V^* \subseteq M_0$).

Since $\phi_\gamma(M)$ is generated by $\{\varepsilon_p(M) : p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma)\}$ we have $\phi_\gamma(M) = \left(\bigvee_{p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma)} f_p\right)\phi_\gamma(M)$ and we can find a countable set of partial isometries $\{V_k\} \subseteq \phi_\gamma(M)$ such that $\sum V_k V_k^* = V\{f_p : p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma)\}$ and $V_k \in M_p$ for some $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$. (See Lemma 2.2(2).)

For each such V_k we have $V_k\phi_0(V_k^*T) \in \mathcal{U}$. Also note that $V_k\phi_0(V_k^*T) = V_k V_k^* \phi_\gamma(T)$ (since it holds for every $T \in U\{\phi_\lambda(M) : \lambda \in \Gamma/N\}$ and ϕ_0, ϕ_γ are σ -weakly continuous). Hence $\phi_\gamma(T) = \sum V_k V_k^* \phi_\gamma(T) = \sum V_k \phi_0(V_k^*T) \in \mathcal{U}$. Since T is a σ -weak limit of finite linear combinations of $\{\phi_\gamma(T) : \gamma \in \Gamma/N\}$ (using an approximate identity on (Γ/N)), it follows that T lies in \mathcal{U} . ■

LEMMA 3.3. *Let F and G be projections in M'_0 and write $F = \int_X^\oplus F(x) d\mu(x)$, $G = \int_X^\oplus G(x) d\mu(x)$. Let $\mathcal{U}(F, G) = \{T \in M : (I - G)TF = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{U}(F, G)$ is an M_0 -bimodule and $\mathcal{U}(F, G) = \{T \in M : (1 - G(y))T(x, y)F(x) = 0 \text{ for almost all } (x, y) \in R\}$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{U}(F, G)$ is clearly a σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule. Fix $\xi \in H$; $\xi = \int^\oplus \xi(x) d\mu(x)$. Then for $T \in M$, $\gamma \in \Gamma/N$ and $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 - G)\phi_\gamma(T)F\xi)(\hat{\beta}_p(x)) &= (1 - G(\hat{\beta}_p(x)))(\phi_\gamma(T)F\xi)(\hat{\beta}_p(x)) \\ &= (1 - G(\hat{\beta}_p(x)))T(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x))F(x)\xi(x). \end{aligned}$$

As ξ runs over a countable-set $\{\xi_i\}$ that spans H , $\{\xi_i(x)\}$ would span $H(x)$ and the equality above would hold for almost every $x \in X$. Hence $\phi_\gamma(T) \in \mathcal{U}(F, G)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma/N$ if and only if $(1 - G(y))T(x, y)F(x) = 0$ for almost every $(x, y) \in R$. Lemma 3.2, applied to $\mathcal{U}(F, G)$, completes the proof. ■

THEOREM 3.4. *Let \mathcal{U} be a σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule of M . Then we can find σ -weakly closed subspaces $\mathcal{U}(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in R$, of $M(x, y)$ such that $M_0(y)\mathcal{U}(x, y)M_0(x) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(x, y)$ for almost every $(x, y) \in R$ and*

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in M : T(x, y) \in \mathcal{U}(x, y) \text{ for almost every } (x, y) \in R\}.$$

Proof. Since M has a separating vector, all σ -weakly closed, linear subspaces of M are reflexive by Theorem 2.3 of [9]. Hence

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in M : T\xi \in [\mathcal{U}\xi] \text{ for all } \xi \in H\}.$$

Since \mathcal{U} is an M_0 -bimodule, the projection onto $[\mathcal{U}\xi]$ commutes with M_0 and

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in M : T[M_0\xi] \subseteq [\mathcal{U}\xi] \text{ for all } \xi\}.$$

So if $F(\xi)$ and $G(\xi)$ are the projections onto $[\mathcal{U}\xi]$ and $[M_0\xi]$ respectively, then $F(\xi)$ and $G(\xi)$ are in M'_0 and

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap \{\mathcal{U}(F(\xi), G(\xi)) : \xi \in H\}.$$

In fact

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap \{\mathcal{U}(F(\xi), G(\xi)) : \xi \in H_0\},$$

where H_0 is a dense countable set in H . Hence

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in M : (I - G(\xi)(y))T(x, y)F(\xi)(x) = 0$$

for $\xi \in H_0$ and almost every $(x, y) \in R\}$. Set

$$\mathcal{U}(x, y) = \{S \in M(x, y) : (1 - G(\xi)(y))SF(\xi)(x) = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in H_0\}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in M : T(x, y) \in \mathcal{U}(x, y) \text{ for almost every } (x, y) \in R\}.$$

It is easy to check that $M_0(y)\mathcal{U}(x, y)M_0(x) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(x, y)$. ■

LEMMA 3.5. *Let H_i ($i = 1, 2$) be a Hilbert space, $M_i \subseteq B(H_i)$ be a σ -finite factor, $\mathbf{v} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a partial isometry such that $\mathbf{v}M_2\mathbf{v}^* \subseteq M_1$ and $\mathbf{v}^*M_1\mathbf{v} \subseteq M_2$. Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq M_2\mathbf{v}M_1$ be a σ -weakly closed subspace such that $M_2\mathcal{U}M_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Then either $\mathcal{U} = \{0\}$ or $\mathcal{U} = M_2\mathbf{v}M_1$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{u} be a maximal partial isometry in \mathcal{U} such that $\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^* \leq \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^*$.

Then

$$(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^*)\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u}) = 0.$$

Hence, since $\mathcal{U} = M_2\mathcal{U}M_1$,

$$M_2(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^*)M_2\mathcal{U}M_1(\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u})M_1 = 0.$$

Since M_i ($i = 1, 2$) is a factor this implies that either $\mathcal{U} = M_2\mathcal{U}M_1 = 0$ or at least one of the two projections, $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u}$ or $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^*$, is zero. Suppose $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u} \in M_2\mathbf{u} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$; and $\mathcal{U} = M_2\mathbf{v}M_1$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^*$, then $\mathcal{U} = M_2\mathbf{v}M_1$. ■

COROLLARY 3.6 *If $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$, then for every σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule \mathcal{U} of M and almost every $(x, y) \in R$, either $\mathcal{U}(x, y) = 0$ or $\mathcal{U}(x, y) = M(x, y)$; i.e. there is a subset $Q \subseteq R$ such that*

$$\mathcal{U} = \{T \in M : T(x, y) = 0 \text{ if } (x, y) \notin Q\}.$$

In particular, this is the case if α is inner.

Proof. Since $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$, $R = G$ and $M(x, y) = M_0(y)u_p(x, y)M_0(x)$, where $y = \hat{\beta}_p(x)$ and u_p satisfies $M_0u_pM_0 = M_p$. Now apply Lemma 3.5. ■

COROLLARY 3.7. *If $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$ and \mathcal{U} is a σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule of M , then there are projections $\{e_p\}_{p \in \Gamma}$ in $Z(M_0)$ such that \mathcal{U} is the σ -weakly closed subspace spanned by $\cup\{e_pM_p : p \in \Gamma\}$.*

Suppose $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$. Then we see that there is a bijective correspondence between the Borel subsets of R (modulu sets of measure zero) and the σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodules of M . Write

$$\mathcal{U}(Q) = \{T \in M : T(x, y) = 0 \text{ if } (x, y) \notin Q\}.$$

Then one can easily show that $\mathcal{U}(Q)$ is an algebra if and only if $Q \circ Q \subseteq Q$ (where $(x, y) \cdot (y, z) = (x, z)$ is the multiplication in R); $\mathcal{U}(Q)$ is self adjoint if and only if $Q = Q^{-1}$ (where $(x, y)^{-1} = (y, x)$); and $\mathcal{U}(Q_1) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(Q_2)$ if and only if $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$.

For the case where M_0 is a Cartan subalgebra of M similar results were proved in [13].

Recall that we assume $Z(M) \cap M_0 = \mathbf{C}I$. We have the following result.

LEMMA 3.8. *If $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$, then for $T \in M$, $t \in A$, we have*

$$\alpha_t(T)(x, y) = \langle p, t \rangle T(x, y)$$

for almost every $(x, y) \in R (= G)$ (where $y = \hat{\beta}_p(x)$).

Proof. $\alpha_t(T)(x, y) = \varepsilon_p(\alpha_t(T))(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)) = \langle p, t \rangle \varepsilon_p(T)(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)) = \langle p, t \rangle T(x, y)$. (Here $\varepsilon_p = \phi_p$). ■

PROPOSITION 3.9. *α is inner if and only if $G = R$ and the map $c : R \rightarrow \Gamma$ defined by $c(x, y) = p$, where $\hat{\beta}_p(x) = y$, is a coboundary; i.e. there is a Borel map $g : X \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $c(x, y) = g(y) - g(x)$ for almost every $(x, y) \in R$.*

Proof. If α is inner, then $G = R$ as $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$; also we have then a group $t \rightarrow U_t$ of unitary operators in $Z(M_0)$ such that $\alpha_t(T) = U_t T U_t^*$ ($T \in M, t \in A$). There is a function $g : X \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that for almost every $x \in X, \langle g(x), t \rangle = U_t(x)$ (identify U_t with a Borel function on X). For $T \in \varepsilon_p(M)$ we have, for almost every $x \in X,$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, t \rangle T(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)) &= \alpha_t(T)(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)) = U_t(\hat{\beta}_p(x)) T(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)) U_t^*(x) \\ &= U_t(\hat{\beta}_p(x)) U_t^*(x) T(x, \hat{\beta}_p(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle p, t \rangle = g(\hat{\beta}_p(x)) - g(x).$$

For the other direction, suppose such g exists and write $U_t(x) = \langle g(x), t \rangle$; then this defines a group of unitary operators in $Z(M_0)$ satisfying $\alpha_t(T) = U_t T U_t^*$. ■

4. M-Reflexivity. For a σ -weakly closed subspace \mathcal{U} of M we let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) = \{(P, Q) : P, Q \text{ are projections in } M \text{ such that } P\mathcal{U}Q = 0\}$ and

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) = \{T \in M : PTQ = 0 \text{ for every } (P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})\}.$$

We say that \mathcal{U} is *M-reflexive* (see [7] and [8]) if

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}).$$

Now write

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U}) = \{(P, Q) : P, Q \text{ are projections in } M \cap M_0' \text{ such that } P\mathcal{U}Q = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U}) = \{T \in M : PTQ = 0 \text{ for every } (P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})\}.$$

LEMMA 4.1. *Let \mathcal{U} be a σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule in M . Then \mathcal{U} is reflexive if and only if*

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof. For a projection $P \in M$ we write

$$R(P) = \sup\{UPU^* : U \in M_0 \text{ is a unitary operator}\}.$$

Then $R(P)$ is a projection in $M \cap M_0'$. If $P\mathcal{U}Q = 0$ (P, Q are projections in M) then for all unitary operators U, V in $M_0, UPU^* \mathcal{U} VQV^* \subseteq UP\mathcal{U}QV^* = 0$ (as \mathcal{U} is an M_0 -bimodule). Hence $(R(P), R(Q)) \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$ whenever $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$. In fact $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U}) = \{(R(P), R(Q)) : (P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})\}$. Also, if P, Q are projections in M and $(R(P), R(Q)) \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$ then $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ (as $P \leq R(P), Q \leq R(Q)$).

If $T \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$, then $T \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$, since $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$. If $T \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$, then for every $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}), (R(P), R(Q)) \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$ and, therefore, $R(P)TR(Q) = 0, P \leq R(P), Q \leq R(Q), PTQ = 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$. Therefore $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$.

LEMMA 4.2. *For $\gamma \in \Gamma/N$ and a projection $E \in Z(M_0), E\phi_\gamma(M)$ is M-reflexive.*

Proof. For $\gamma \in \Gamma/N$ and a projection $E \in Z(M_0)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_0(E\phi_\gamma(M)) = \{(P, Q) : P, Q \in M \cap M_0', PE[\phi_\gamma(M)Q(H)] = 0\}.$$

Since $[\phi_\gamma(M)Q(H)] = \beta_p(Q)(H)$ for every $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_0(E\phi_\gamma(M)) = \{(P, Q) : P, Q \in M \cap M'_0, EP\beta_p(Q) = 0\}$$

($p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is now fixed). If $T \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(E\phi_\gamma(M))$, then $PTQ = 0$ whenever $EP\beta_p(Q) = 0$. Hence, for $\lambda \in \Gamma/N$, $P\phi_\lambda(T)Q = 0$ whenever $EP\beta_p(Q) = 0$. (Note that $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(E\phi_\gamma(M))$ is a σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule and, thus, $\phi_\lambda(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(E\phi_\gamma(M))) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(E\phi_\gamma(M))$). Note that $\phi_\lambda(T)Q(H) \subseteq \beta_q(Q)(H)$ and $\phi_\lambda(T)(I - Q)(H) \subseteq \beta_q(1 - Q)(H)$ for every $q \in \pi^{-1}(\lambda)$. Fix such q . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_q(Q)\phi_\lambda(T) &= \beta_q(Q)\phi_\lambda(T)(1 - Q) + \beta_q(Q)\phi_\lambda(T)Q \\ &= \beta_q(Q)\beta_q(1 - Q)\phi_\lambda(T)(1 - Q) + \phi_\lambda(T)Q = \phi_\lambda(T)Q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $P\beta_q(Q)\phi_\lambda(T) = 0$ whenever $P\beta_p(Q)E = 0$. Since $(1 - E)\beta_p(I)E = 0$ we have $(1 - E)f_q\phi_\lambda(T) = 0$; i.e. $(1 - E)\phi_\lambda(T) = 0$. Suppose $\gamma \neq \lambda$ and write

$$F = \sup\{Q(1 - \beta_{p-q}(Q)) : Q \text{ is a projection in } Z(M_0)\}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4(2), if $F \neq I$, there is a non zero projection $F' \leq 1 - F$ in $Z(M_0)$ such that $\beta_{p-q}(F')F' = 0$. But then $F' = F'(1 - \beta_{p-q}(F')) \leq F$. Hence $F = I$. Since for every $Q \in Z(M_0)$, $E(1 - \beta_p(Q))\beta_q(Q) = 0$ we have,

$$(1 - \beta_p(Q))\beta_q(Q)\phi_\lambda(T) = 0.$$

But $(1 - \beta_p(Q))\beta_q(Q) = \beta_q(Q(1 - \beta_{p-q}(Q)))$; hence

$$0 = \beta_q(I)\phi_\lambda(T) = f_q\phi_\lambda(T) = \phi_\lambda(T).$$

Therefore $T = E\phi_\gamma(T) \in E\phi_\gamma(M)$. ■

COROLLARY 4.3. *Suppose $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$ and γ is an automorphism of M with $\gamma(a) = a$ for $a \in Z(M_0)$. Then for every M_0 -bimodule \mathcal{U} , $\gamma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.*

Hence every von Neumann subalgebra $M \supseteq B \supseteq M_0$ is an image of a faithful normal expectation from M onto B .

Proof. Let γ be an automorphism as above and note that for every pair of projections P, Q in $Z(M_0)$ and $p \in \Gamma$, $P\gamma(M_p)Q = 0$ if and only if $PM_pQ = 0$. The M -reflexivity of M_p now implies that $\gamma(M_p) = M_p$. Corollary 3.7 shows that $\gamma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ for every M_0 -bimodule \mathcal{U} . The last statement of the corollary follows from Takesaki's Theorem [18] applied to $w(x) = \langle x\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle$ since $\sigma_t^*(a) = a$ for $a \in Z(M_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{as } w(ax) &= \langle ax\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_0(ax)\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle = \langle a\varepsilon_0(x)\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \varepsilon_0(x)a\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle = \langle xa\rho_0, \rho_0 \rangle, \quad a \in Z(M_0), x \in M). \end{aligned}$$
■

THEOREM 4.4. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) α is inner.
- (2) For every non-zero projection $F \in Z(M_0)$ there is a non-zero projection $Q \leq F$, $Q \in Z(M_0)$, such that for every $0 \neq p \in \Gamma$, we have $Q\beta_p(Q) = 0$.
- (3) $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$ and every σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule is M -reflexive.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) can be derived from [4, Theorem 1.1(iii)] or [6, Theorem 4.9]. One can also use Proposition 3.9 (applied to FMF instead of M) and the fact that a cocycle is a coboundary if and only if its only essential value is $\{0\}$. (See [15, Theorem 3.9(4)].) If α is inner, then clearly $M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$. We will now show that if α is inner and \mathcal{U} is a σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule then \mathcal{U} is M -reflexive. Using Corollary 3.7 we can see that \mathcal{U} is the σ -weakly closed subspace spanned by $U\{e_p \varepsilon_p(M) : p \in \Gamma\}$ (here $N = \{0\}$) for some projections $\{e_p\}_{p \in \Gamma}$ in $Z(M_0)$. For every projection Q in $Z(M_0)$ that satisfies $Q\beta_p(Q) = 0$ for every $0 \neq p \in \Gamma$ we have $\beta_q(Q)\beta_p(Q) = 0$ if $q \neq p$. Fix $q \in \Gamma$ and write $G = \beta_q(G)$. Then for every $p \neq q$, $G e_p \beta_p(Q) = 0$ and, thus, $((1 - e_q)G, Q) \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$. If $T \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$, then

$$(1 - e_q)\beta_q(Q)TQ = 0$$

for every $q \in \Gamma$. Hence for every $q \in \Gamma$, $(1 - e_q)\beta_q(Q)\varepsilon_q(T)Q = 0$. Since $\beta_q(Q)\varepsilon_q(T)Q = \varepsilon_q(T)Q$, we have

$$(1 - e_q)\varepsilon_q(T)Q = 0.$$

Now (2) implies that $I = \bigvee \{Q \in Z(M_0) : Q\beta_p(Q) = 0 \text{ for every } p \neq 0\}$. Therefore, $\varepsilon_q(T) \in e_q \varepsilon_q(M)$ and $T \in \mathcal{U}$.

We now turn to the proof that (3) \Rightarrow (2). For this fix $0 \neq F$ in $Z(M_0)$ and let $\mathcal{U} = \{T \in FMF : \varepsilon_0(T) = 0\}$. This is a σ -weakly closed M_0 -bimodule and thus is M -reflexive (assuming statement (3)).

Since $M \cap M'_0 \subseteq Z(M_0)$ (as $M \cap M'_0 \subseteq M \cap Z(M_0)' \subseteq M_0$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U}) &= \{(P, L) : P, L \text{ are projections in } Z(M_0) \text{ such that } P\mathcal{U}L = 0\} \\ &= \{(P, L) : P, L \in Z(M_0), F\beta_p(F)P\beta_p(L) = 0 \text{ for every } p \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $F \notin \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$, there is some $(P, L) \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathcal{U})$ such that $FPL \neq 0$. Write $Q = PFL$. Then for $p \neq 0$, $\beta_p(Q) \leq \beta_p(L) \leq \beta_p(F)(1 - FP)$ and $Q\beta_p(Q) = 0$. This proves (2). ■

5. Isomorphisms. We assume now that M and B are factors, A_1 and A_2 are compact abelian groups, α and η are representations of A_1 and A_2 , respectively, as $*$ -automorphism groups on M and B respectively. Write $\Gamma_i = \hat{A}_i$ and define M_p ($p \in \Gamma_1$) and B_q ($q \in \Gamma_2$) as in Section 1.

We will assume that $M \cap Z(M_0)' = M_0$ and $B \cap Z(B_0)' = B_0$. Also let $\Sigma_i \subseteq \Gamma_i$ be a positive semigroup for $i = 1, 2$; i.e. $\Sigma_i + \Sigma_i \subseteq \Sigma_i$ and $\Sigma_i \cap (-\Sigma_i) = \{0\}$. We write $M^\alpha(\Sigma_1)$ and $B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$ for the associated analytic subalgebras of M and B respectively; i.e. $M^\alpha(\Sigma_1)$ is the σ -weakly closed subspace spanned by $\bigcup \{M_p : p \in \Sigma_1\}$ and $B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$ is the σ -weakly closed subspace spanned by $\bigcup \{B_q : q \in \Sigma_2\}$.

Also, let $R_1 \subseteq X_1 \times X_1$ and $\{\beta_p^1 : p \in \Gamma_1\}$ be the equivalence relation and the maps associated with (M, α) and $R_2 \subseteq X_2 \times X_2$ and $\{\beta_q^2 : q \in \Gamma_2\}$ be the ones associated with (B, η) . Let

$$P_1 = \{(x, y) \in R_1 : y = \hat{\beta}_p^1(x), p \in \Sigma_1\},$$

$$P_2 = \{(x, y) \in R_2 : y = \hat{\beta}_q^2(x), q \in \Sigma_2\}$$

and note that

$$M^\alpha(\Sigma_1) = \{T \in M : \text{supp } T \subseteq P_1\},$$

$$B^\eta(\Sigma_2) = \{T \in B : \text{supp } T \subseteq P_2\},$$

where $\text{supp } T = \{(x, y) \in R_i : T(x, y) \neq 0\}$ is defined up to a set of measure zero (and so is the inclusion $\text{supp } T \subseteq P_i$ above).

If Σ_i totally orders Γ_i (i.e. $\Sigma_i \cup (-\Sigma_i) = \Gamma_i$), then $P_i \cup P_i^{-1} = R_i$ (up to a set of measure zero), where $(x, y)^{-1} = (y, x)$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $M^\alpha(\Sigma_1)$ and $B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$ be as above, and let ψ be an algebraic isomorphism from $M^\alpha(\Sigma_1)$ onto $B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$ such that, for $a \in M_0$, we have $\psi(a)^* = \psi(a^*)$. Then*

- (1) $\psi(M_0) = B_0$. (Write $\gamma : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ for the invertible Borel map that implements $\psi : Z(M_0) \rightarrow Z(B_0)$.)
- (2) $B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$ is the σ -weakly closed subspace spanned by $\cup\{\psi(M_p) : p \in \Sigma_1\}$.
- (3) $\gamma \times \gamma(P_i) = P_2$ (where $(\gamma \times \gamma)(x, y) = (\gamma(x), \gamma(y))$) and, if Σ_i totally orders Γ_i , $i = 1, 2$, then $(\gamma \times \gamma)(R_1) = R_2$.

When ψ is the identity map we get the following result.

COROLLARY 5.2. *If $M = B$, $M^\gamma(\Sigma_1) = M^\eta(\Sigma_2)$ and Σ_i totally orders Γ_i ($i = 1, 2$), then $R_1 = R_2$ and $P_1 = P_2$ (although the maps $\{\beta_p^1\}$ and $\{\beta_q^2\}$ might be different). Hence the equivalence relation R and the partial order P associated with an analytic subalgebra (satisfying $M \cap Z(M_0)' = M_0$) is unique.*

REMARK. In special cases more can be said about an isomorphism ψ as in the theorem. For the case when M_0 and B_0 are Cartan subalgebras see [13] and for the case where $M^\alpha(\Sigma_1)$ and $B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$ are analytic crossed products with $\Gamma_i = Z$ and $\Sigma_i = Z_+$, see [11] and [12].

For the proof of the theorem we need a few lemmas. In the discussion and lemmas that follow we assume that the hypothesis of the theorem holds.

LEMMA 5.3 $\psi(M_0) = B_0$.

Proof. For $a \in M_0$, a^* is in M_0 . Hence $\psi(a^*) = \psi(a)^*$ lies in $B^\eta(\Sigma_2) \cap B^\eta(\Sigma_2)^* = B_0$, so that $\psi(M_0) \subseteq B_0$.

Now, if $T \in B_0$, then $T \in Z(B_0)' \subseteq \psi(Z(M_0))'$. Hence $\psi^{-1}(T) \in M \cap Z(M_0)' = M_0$. Hence $\psi(M_0) = B_0$. \blacksquare

Let $\overline{\psi(M_p)}$ be the σ -weak closure of $\psi(M_p) \subseteq B^\eta(\Sigma_2)$ for $p \in \Gamma$. It is a σ -weakly closed B_0 -bimodule of B and, thus, there is a Borel set $C_p \subseteq P_2$ such that $\overline{\psi(M_p)} = \mathcal{U}(C_p)$, where $\mathcal{U}(Q) = \{T \in M : \text{supp } T \subseteq Q\}$.

For an operator T we write $\text{rp}(T)$ for the range projection of T . Using the definition of β_p in Section 2 one can see that for a projection $F \in Z(M_0)$, $\beta_p(F) = V\{\text{rp}(TF) : T \in M_p\}$.

LEMMA 5.4. For $p \in \Gamma_1$ and a projection F in $Z(M_0)$ we have

$$\psi(\beta_p^1(F)) = V\{\text{rp}(S\psi(F)) : S \in \mathcal{U}(C_p)\} \in Z(B_0).$$

Proof. First note that

$$V\{\text{rp}(S\psi(F)) : S \in \mathcal{U}(C_p)\} = V\{\text{rp}(\psi(TF)) : T \in M_p\}$$

since $\mathcal{U}(C_p) = \overline{\psi(M_p)}$. Now, for $T \in M_p$ and $F \in Z(M_0)$ write $Q = \text{rp}(TF)$. Let L be a projection in $Z(M_0)$. Then, by Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.1 (3), $LT = T\beta_{-p}(L)$ (write $T = |T|V^*$ and use $LV^* = V^*\beta_{-p}(L)$ as $V^* \in M_{-p}$). Hence, for a unitary operator U in $Z(M_0)$, we have

$$U^*T = T\beta_{-p}(U^*).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} UQU^*TF &= UQT\beta_{-p}(U^*)F = UQTF\beta_{-p}(U^*) = UTF\beta_{-p}(U^*) \\ &= UT\beta_{-p}(U^*)F = UU^*TF = TF, \end{aligned}$$

so that $UQU^* \geq Q$ for every unitary $U \in Z(M_0)$; hence $Q \in M \cap Z(M_0)' = M_0$.

Since ψ , restricted to M_0 , is a $*$ -isomorphism of M_0 onto B_0 (Lemma 5.3) and $\text{rp}(TF) \in M_0$ for every $T \in M_p$, we have

$$\psi(\beta_p(F)) = \psi(V\{\text{rp}(TF) : T \in M_p\}) = V\{\psi(\text{rp}(TF)) : T \in M_p\}.$$

Notice that, for $T \in M_p$,

$$\psi(\text{rp}(TF))\psi(TF) = \psi(\text{rp}(TF)TF) = \psi(TF);$$

hence $\psi(\text{rp}(TF)) \geq \text{rp}(\psi(TF))$. Also

$$\psi^{-1}(\text{rp}(\psi(TF))TF) = \psi^{-1}(\text{rp}(\psi(TF))\psi(TF)) = \psi^{-1}(\psi(TF)) = TF;$$

hence

$$\psi^{-1}(\text{rp}(\psi(TF))\text{rp}(TF)) = \text{rp}(TF) \text{ and } \psi(\text{rp}(TF)) \leq \text{rp}(\psi(TF)).$$

Therefore $\psi(\text{rp}(TF)) = \text{rp}(\psi(TF))$ and we have,

$$\psi(\beta_p)(F) = V\{\text{rp}(\psi(TF)) : T \in M_p\} = V\{\text{rp}(S\psi(F)) : S \in \mathcal{U}(C_p)\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

For a map $\phi : X_i \rightarrow X_i$ we write

$$g(\phi) = \{(x, \phi(x)) \in X_i \times X_i\}.$$

LEMMA 5.5. Suppose \hat{L} is a Borel subset of X_2 and $\lambda \in \Sigma_2$ satisfies

$$g(\hat{\beta}_\lambda^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}) \subseteq C_p.$$

Then

$$g(\hat{\beta}_\lambda^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}) \subseteq g(\gamma \circ \hat{\beta}_p^1 \circ \gamma^{-1}),$$

where $\gamma : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ implements ψ (viewed as an isomorphism of $Z(M_0) = L^\infty(X_1, \mu_1)$ onto $Z(B_0) = L^\infty(X_2, \mu_2)$).

Proof. Let L be the projection in $Z(B_0)$ associated with \hat{L} . For $T \in B_\lambda$, TL is supported on $g(\beta_\lambda^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L})$; hence on $C_p \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L})$. Thus $TL \in \mathcal{U}(C_p)L$. We have, using Lemma 5.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\lambda^2(\psi(F)L) &= V\{\text{rp}(T\psi(F)L) : T \in B_\lambda\} \leq V\{\text{rp}(S\psi(F)L) : S \in \mathcal{U}(C_p)\} \\ &= \psi(\beta_p^1(F\psi^{-1}(L))) = \psi \circ \beta_p^1 \circ \psi^{-1}(\psi(F)L) \end{aligned}$$

for every projection F in $Z(M_0)$. Thus

$$g(\beta_\lambda^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}) \subseteq g(\gamma \circ \beta_p^1 \circ \gamma^{-1}) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}). \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 5.6. $C_p = g(\gamma \circ \beta_p^1 \circ \gamma^{-1})$.

Proof. For $\lambda \in \Sigma_2$ let L_λ be the largest subprojection of $\psi(f_{-p})\beta_\lambda^{-1}(I)$ in $Z(B_0)$ such that $g(\beta_\lambda^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}_\lambda) \subseteq C_p$, where \hat{L}_λ is the associated Borel subset of X_2 . For $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ let $L_0 = L_{\lambda_1}L_{\lambda_2}$; then, by Lemma 5.5,

$$g(\beta_{\lambda_1}^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}_0) \subseteq g(\gamma \circ \beta_p^1 \circ \gamma^{-1}).$$

and

$$g(\beta_{\lambda_2}^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}_0) \subseteq g(\gamma \circ \beta_p^1 \circ \gamma^{-1}).$$

But this implies that $L_0 = 0$, as $g(\beta_{\lambda_1}^2) \cap g(\beta_{\lambda_2}^2)$ is empty. Hence $g(\beta_\lambda^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}_\lambda) = C_p \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}_\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Sigma_2$. For $F \in Z(M_0)$ we now have,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\lambda^2(\psi(F)L) &= V\{\text{rp}(T\psi(F)L) : T \in B_\lambda\} = V\{\text{rp}(S\psi(F)L) : S \in \mathcal{U}(C_p)\} \\ &= \psi \circ \beta_p^1 \circ \psi^{-1}(\psi(F)L). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$C_p \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}_\lambda) = g(\beta_\lambda^2) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}_\lambda) = g(\gamma \circ \beta_p^1 \circ \gamma^{-1}) \cap (X_2 \times \hat{L}_\lambda).$$

Since $V\{L_\lambda : \lambda \in \Sigma_2\} = \psi(f_{-p})$ and $\mathcal{U}(C_p)\psi(f_{-p}) = \mathcal{U}(C_p)$, $C_p = g(\gamma \circ \beta_p^1 \circ \gamma^{-1})$. ■

LEMMA 5.7. We have $P_2 = \bigcup\{C_p : p \in \Sigma_1\} = \gamma \times \gamma(P_1)$ and

$$B^\eta(\Sigma_2) = \overline{\bigcup\{\psi(M_p) : p \in \Sigma_1\}},$$

where the closure is in the σ -weak topology.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \overline{\bigcup\{\psi(M_p) : p \in \Sigma_1\}}$. Since \mathcal{U} is a σ -weakly closed B_0 -bimodule of B , there is a set $C \subseteq P_2$ such that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(C)$. Since $\psi(M_p) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ for $p \in \Gamma_1$, $C_p \subseteq C$; hence $\mathcal{U}(\bigcup_p C_p) \subseteq \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(C)$. But also $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcup\{\mathcal{U}(C_p) : p \in \Sigma_1\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\bigcup_p C_p)$. Hence $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\bigcup_p C_p)$. Write $Q = P_2 \setminus \bigcup_p C_p$ and assume $\nu_2(Q) > 0$. (ν_2 is a measure on R_2). Then there is some $0 \neq T \in B$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_2$ such that $T \in B_\lambda$ and $\text{supp } T \subseteq Q$. Hence $\psi^{-1}(T) \neq 0$. Now Lemma 5.6, applied to ψ^{-1} , yields

$$\mathcal{U}(g(\gamma^{-1} \circ \beta_\lambda^2 \circ \gamma)) = \psi^{-1}(B_\lambda).$$

Hence $\text{supp } \psi^{-1}(T) \subseteq g(\gamma^{-1} \circ \hat{\beta}_\lambda^2 \circ \gamma)$. Therefore, there is some $q \in \Sigma_1$ and a projection $Z \in Z(M_0)$ such that

$$0 \neq Z\psi^{-1}(T) \in M_q.$$

Hence $0 \neq \psi(Z\psi^{-1}(T)) = \psi(Z)T$ and $\text{supp } \psi(Z)T \subseteq Q \cap C_q = \emptyset$. This contradiction shows that $P_2 = \bigcup \{C_p : p \in \Sigma_1\}$ and completes the proof of the lemma. ■

To complete the proof of Theorem 5.1 just note that if $P_i \cup P_i^{-1} = R_i$ and $(\gamma \times \gamma)(P_i) = P_2$ then $(\gamma \times \gamma)(R_i) = R_2$. ■

REFERENCES

1. W. Arveson, Analyticity in operator algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **89** (1967), 578–642.
2. J. Feldman and C. C. Moore, Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology and von Neumann algebras I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **234** (1977), 289–324.
3. P. Hahn, Haar measure for measured groupoids, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **242** (1978), 1–33.
4. A. Ikunishi and Y. Nakagami, On invariants $G(\sigma)$ and $\Gamma(\sigma)$ for an automorphism group of a von Neumann algebra. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **12** (1976), 1–30.
5. R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, Vol. II* (Academic Press, 1986).
6. J. Kraus, Compact abelian groups of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras, *J. Functional Analysis* **39** (1980), 347–374.
7. J. Kraus, W^* -dynamical systems and reflexive operator algebras. *J. Operator Theory* **8** (1982), 181–194.
8. D. R. Larson and B. Solel, Nests and inner flows. *J. Operator Theory* **16** (1986), 157–164.
9. A. Loginov and V. Sulman, Hereditary and intermediate reflexivity of W^* -algebras. *Math. USSR Izv* **9** (1975), 1189–1201.
10. R. LoebI and P. S. Muhly, Analyticity and flows in von Neumann algebras, *J. Functional Analysis* **29** (1978), 214–252.
11. P. S. Muhly and K.-S. Saito, Analytic crossed products and outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras. *Math. Scand.* **58** (1986), 55–68.
12. P. S. Muhly and K.-S. Saito, Analytic crossed products and outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras II, Preprint.
13. P. S. Muhly, K.-S. Saito and B. Solel, Coordinates for triangular operator algebras, Preprint.
14. A. Ramsay, Virtual groups and group action, *Advances in Math.* **6** (1971), 253–322.
15. K. Schmidt, *Lectures on cocycles of ergodic transformation groups* (Macmillan, 1980).
16. B. Solel, Invariant subspaces for algebras of analytic operators associated with a periodic flow on a finite von Neumann algebra, *J. Functional Analysis* **58** (1984), 1–19.
17. B. Solel, Algebras of analytic operators associated with a periodic flow on a von Neumann algebra. *Canad. J. Math.* **37** (1985), 405–429.
18. M. Takesaki, Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras. *J. Functional Analysis* **9** (1972), 306–321.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTING SCIENCE
 UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA
 HAIFA 31999
 ISRAEL