


Original Article

Causalgia: A Review of Nerve Resection, Amputation, Immunotherapy, and Amputated Limb CRPS II Pathology

C. Peter N. Watson¹, Rajiv Midha² and Denise W. Ng³ 

¹University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, ²Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Section of Neurosurgery, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada and ³Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

ABSTRACT: Background: Causalgia and complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) type II with nerve injury can be difficult to treat. Surgical peripheral nerve denervation for causalgia has been largely abandoned by pain clinicians because of a perception that this may aggravate a central component (anesthesia dolorosa). **Methods:** We selectively searched Pubmed, Cochrane, MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL Plus, and Scopus from 1947 for articles, books, and book chapters for evidence of surgical treatments (nerve resection and amputation) and treatment related to autoimmunity and immune deficiency with CRPS. **Results:** Reviews were found for the treatment of causalgia or CRPS type II ($n = 6$), causalgia relieved by nerve resection ($n = 6$), and causalgia and CRPS II treated by amputation ($n = 8$). Twelve reports were found of autoimmunity with CRPS, one paper of these on associated immune deficiency and autoimmunity, and two were chosen for discussion regarding treatment with immunoglobulin and one by plasma exchange. We document a report of a detailed and unique pathological examination of a CRPS type II affected amputated limb and related successful treatment with immunoglobulin. **Conclusions:** Nerve resection, with grafting, and relocation may relieve uncomplicated causalgia and CRPS type II in some patients in the long term. However, an unrecognized and treatable immunological condition may underly some CRPS II cases and can lead to the ultimate failure of surgical treatments.

RÉSUMÉ : Causalgie : une analyse de la résection nerveuse, de l'amputation, de l'immunothérapie et de la pathologie du SDRC de type II du membre amputé. Contexte : La causalgie et le syndrome de douleur régionale complexe (SDRC) de type II avec lésion nerveuse peuvent être difficiles à traiter. La dénervation chirurgicale des nerfs périphériques pour traiter la causalgie a été largement abandonnée par les cliniciens de la douleur en raison de l'impression qu'elle peut aggraver une composante centrale (névralgie du trijumeau ou *anesthesia dolorosa*). **Méthodes :** Nous avons effectué des recherches sélectives (à partir de 1947) dans PubMed, Cochrane, MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL Plus et Scopus pour trouver des articles, des livres et des chapitres de livres portant sur les traitements chirurgicaux (résection de nerfs, amputation) et les traitements liés à l'auto-immunité et à la déficience immunitaire dans le cadre du SDRC. **Résultats :** Des analyses ont été trouvées pour le traitement de la causalgie ou du SDRC de type II ($n = 6$), de la causalgie soulagée par résection nerveuse ($n = 6$) et de la causalgie et du SDRC de type II traités par amputation ($n = 8$). Douze rapports portant sur l'auto-immunité en lien avec le SDRC ont été identifiés, dont un article explorant le déficit immunitaire et l'auto-immunité associée ; deux ont été choisis pour la discussion concernant le traitement par immunoglobuline et un autre en lien avec un traitement par échange de plasma. Nous voulons aussi présenter un rapport axé sur l'examen pathologique détaillé et unique d'un membre amputé atteint de SDRC de type II et sur un traitement réussi à l'aide d'immunoglobulines. **Conclusions :** La résection nerveuse avec greffe, de même que la relocalisation, peuvent soulager à long terme la causalgie non compliquée et le SDRC de type II chez certains patients. Cependant, une condition immunologique non-détectée et traitable peut être à l'origine de certains cas de SDRC de type II et conduire en dernier lieu à l'échec de traitements chirurgicaux.

Keywords: Causalgia; complex regional pain syndrome type II (CRPS II); surgical treatment; nerve resection/relocation; amputation pathology; autoimmunity; immune deficiency; neuropathic pain (NeP); intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg); randomized controlled trial (RCT); regenerative peripheral nerve interface (RPNI); targeted motor reinnervation (TMR)

(Received 9 January 2023; final revisions submitted 26 June 2023; date of acceptance 15 July 2023; First Published online 25 July 2023)

Corresponding author: C. Peter N. Watson; Email: peter.watson@utoronto.ca

Cite this article: Watson CPN, Midha R, and Ng DW. (2024) Causalgia: A Review of Nerve Resection, Amputation, Immunotherapy, and Amputated Limb CRPS II Pathology. *The Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences* 51: 351–356, <https://doi.org/10.1017/cjn.2023.260>

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Canadian Neurological Sciences Federation. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Introduction

Silas Weir Mitchell (1864)¹ originally described the features of causalgia and coined that term from his experience with nerve injuries in the American Civil War as the burning neuropathic pain (NeP) after traumatic nerve injury. When obvious traumatic nerve injury pain is complicated by a number of other symptoms and signs, it can be referred to as complex regional pain syndrome type II with nerve injury (causalgia) (CRPS II) (Supplementary Appendix A).² We describe in the Results section abbreviated examples of previously published cases^{3,4} to illustrate these two forms of causalgia treated by neurectomy, as well as the surprising, unpublished, long term, and follow-up of one of these patients.

Except for trigeminal neuralgia, surgical procedures such as nerve resection and amputation for NeP such as causalgia aimed at peripheral nervous system denervation are regarded by many, if not most, of the community of thoughtful, experienced pain clinicians as not helpful, particularly in the long term. This is probably in part because of a perceived risk of aggravating a central component (anesthesia dolorosa), and thus, these operations have been largely abandoned.

In this article, we discuss mainly, but not exclusively, the pain literature regarding nerve resection surgery and amputation for causalgia. It is particularly critical to report results regarding the long-term efficacy and safety of any controversial, innovative, and especially initially successful procedures. We report, to our knowledge, the unpublished, unique pathological examination of an amputated limb from a previously reported patient surgically treated by nerve resection with CRPS type II (causalgia)⁴ with pathological and serological evidence, suggesting an unrecognized immunological disturbance (immune deficiency and autoimmunity). These immune abnormalities influenced a subsequently successful medical treatment by immunotherapy with this refractory patient. These conditions may account for treatment failures in some patients with CRPS. We review in this article the evidence for immunological abnormalities and immunotherapy with causalgia but also CRPS generally and not exclusively CRPS II, since the specific CRPS II literature is sparse and there are clinical similarities between CRPS I and CRPS II.

Methods

A literature search from 1947 (Pubmed, Cochrane, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and CINAHL Plus) was carried out for articles, reviews, books, and book chapters regarding the surgical treatment of causalgia and CRPS II (causalgia) by nerve resection and by amputation. We reviewed the surgical literature, but the focus was mainly on the pain literature as it was thought more likely to be precise regarding the terms causalgia and CRPS II. Although randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are the gold standard, from our previous studies we recognized that these were probably less likely or unlikely and that most reports would be reviews or of cases and case series. Thus, although the scope of this search was narrow (restriction to the terms “causalgia,” “CRPS,” and “CRPS II” and to “nerve resection” and “amputation”), the search was also broad for a range of study types.

Because of a need for diagnostic precision, there was the exclusion of publications using terms other than causalgia, CRPS, and CRPS II (i.e., neuroma pain, reflex sympathetic dystrophy, and deafferentation pain) as well as other interventions (i.e., sympathectomy, dorsal root ganglion stimulation, dorsal column stimulation, and motor cortex stimulation).



Figure 1: HG: the leg on the morning of amputation.

Where the term “CRPS” is used in discussing an article we mean CRPS generally, as used in that particular article. We use CRPS I and CRPS II when the article specifically stated that this is the subject of the article.

An amputated limb (Figure 1) from a CRPS II causalgia patient⁴ (case 2 HG in the Results section here) was examined in detail by a general pathologist (AF), dermatopathologists (KN, MS, and MAD), and a neurosurgeon (RM) the latter with a special interest and experience with peripheral nerve surgery. Because of the pathological and serological findings, we also searched these databases for immune abnormalities (immune deficiency and autoimmunity) and immunotherapy in patients with causalgia and CRPS, CRPS I and CRPS II.

Results

No books or book chapters were found on the surgical treatment of causalgia by nerve resection or amputation. Six reviews of the treatment of CRPS were identified⁵⁻¹⁰ only one of which mentioned amputation.⁹ The comprehensive review of CRPS by Stephen Bruehl (2015)⁸ does not discuss nerve resection or amputation. Six cases and case series were located regarding nerve resection.^{3,4,11-14} Twelve reports were located regarding the role of autoimmunity in CRPS,¹⁵⁻²⁶ and one of them about the association of autoantibodies with B cell immune deficiency.²⁵ We discuss two of these articles^{17,18} on the treatment of CRPS with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) and one by plasma exchange.²⁶ Eight cases or case series were found regarding amputation²⁷⁻³⁴ for causalgia and CRPS II. One of our published patients⁴ had pathological evidence supporting autoimmunity, serological evidence of low immunoglobulins IgG and IgA, and positive tests for an autoimmune process with positive anti-SSA and anti-RO autoantibodies, despite other negative tests for autoimmune disorders (e.g., negative ANA (antinuclear antibody) and anti-DNA antibodies). In summary, there is in the literature some evidence for the successful treatment of causalgia by nerve resection and

amputation; however, some failures of surgical treatment may be accounted for by treatable underlying immune abnormalities.

We report here the previously unpublished, very long-term follow-up of two previously published cases of causalgia treated successfully initially by nerve resection as follows.

Case 1, CH³ uncomplicated causalgia

A 14-year-old male presented with a 14-month history of constant right infraorbital, severe, burning pain, with electric shocks and pain on dynamic touch (allodynia) due to intractable causalgia after a fractured right orbit. He was housebound and refractory to all analgesics. The infraorbital nerve was sectioned proximal to the injury, grafted, and relocated into the buccal fat pad. He was able to return to school and a normal life and has been pain-free for 19 years.

Case 2, HG⁴ CRPS type II causalgia (with nerve injury)

A 19-year-old female was seen with a 13-year history of intractable CRPS type II after a left leg injury. The superficial peroneal and sural nerves were resected. Successful relief lasted for 4 years and 4 months. Unfortunately, incipient left leg gangrene (Figure 1) and the return of the severe left leg pain at 4 years and 4 months required amputation. The pathological examination of the amputated limb plus additional blood tests led to a successful immunotherapy at 3 years follow-up. The pathological findings in our patient⁴ suggested autoimmunity and serology B cell immunodeficiency. Following amputation, her skin lesions became extensive and spread to hair, ears, and mouth, and she was unable to wear a prosthesis and was reclusive and bedridden with stump and phantom pain. She continued to have frequent upper respiratory infections and episodes of bronchitis and pneumonia for over 2 years and 4 months. Because of her immunological status (immune deficiency and autoimmunity), she was commenced on once weekly subcutaneous human immune globulin 20% solution. After 2 years, she stated that there was a “huge difference”; in that she could use her prosthesis full time, no longer required strong analgesics, no longer had frequent respiratory infections, and her skin lesions improved markedly (Figure 2). At 3 years (March 2023) follow-up, her skin lesions only remained as scars. At this time, HG stated “I feel so much better I will happily do this (have the immunotherapy) for the rest of my life.”

The unique, previously unreported pathological examination of the lower limb from the amputation on December 4, 2017 carried out on HG (case 2).

Howard et al.³⁸ carried out a meta-analysis and validation of a histopathology scoring system for amputations for CRPS in 22 patients. They concluded that for various reasons (infrequent reporting of diagnostic criteria, lack of routine stains, and lack of clinical pathological correlation) that “it is quite difficult to write a meaningful systematic review of CRPS histology at this time.” The strengths of our amputated limb pathology (HG, case 2) are with the clinical features, diagnostic precision, surgical details, laboratory and pathological findings, and very long-term follow-up. The amputated leg (preoperatively in Figure 1) of our patient (case 2 HG) with CRPS type II was examined by three different specialists (general pathology, dermatopathology, and neurosurgery) in order to determine any possible cause pathologically for the deterioration in the patient’s condition.



Figure 2: HG: 2 years after treatment with immune globulin HG was able to wear her prosthesis, and walk, had a reduction in the need for strong analgesics, no longer had frequent upper respiratory infections and pneumonia and had marked improvement in her generalized skin lesions (at 3 years after amputation she continued with marked improvement and only scarring at the sites of her previous generalized skin rash).

On the general pathological examination (by AF) in addition to the extensive ulceration of the skin, there was evidence of underlying vascular abnormalities, with the presence of venous thrombosis and chronic changes in the cutaneous and subcutaneous small vessels. Associated chronic changes were seen with dermal and pannicular fibrosis and calcification.

The case was reviewed in consultation with dermatopathology (KN, MS, and MD), and the pathology addendum report findings were that the vascular changes were thought to be possibly due to a vasculopathic process. Potential etiologies suggested included antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, lupus, and others, but diagnostic features were not seen. The findings reported could also be associated with Behcet’s disease. None of the above specific pathologies was found. Repeated blood work after amputation found a normal or negative erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), ANA, anti-DNA antibodies, lupus inhibitors, antiphospholipid, and anti-cardiolipid antibodies, but anti-SSA/Ro autoantibodies were positive. HG was found to have B cell deficiency on repeated testing (IgG 2.25 and 3.34, range 6.8–18.0; IgA 0.06 and 0.07, range 0.6–4.20, with normal IgM x2).

Additionally, the frozen limb was dissected shortly after the amputation on December 4, 2017 by a neurosurgeon (RM) with a special interest in peripheral nerve surgery and nerve pathology. No obvious neuromas were found at the sites of the implantation of the nerves. All the nerve specimens of the leg appeared normal on

microscopy. The lack of neuroma formation is supportive evidence that the original nerve resection operation was successful and had prevented neuroma formation. This evidence supports the prolonged relief (4 years and 4 months) resulting after nerve resection.

Discussion

Neurectomy

With regard to nerve resection surgery for causalgia, Silas Weir Mitchell (1872) documented causalgia in a civil war soldier (case 47, page 292),¹¹ and the effects of resecting the median nerve with an initial aggravation of the pain, and then moderation in the distribution of the resected nerve. However, the pain persisted severely in another (ulnar) nerve's territory.

Noordenbos and Wall (1981)¹² reported seven patients with severe causalgia with long-term follow-up unrelieved by resection. This article by two highly respected authors in the field of pain may have contributed to a negative view of this form of treatment as there is a general view in the community of pain physicians and surgeons that further denervation (other than in trigeminal neuralgia) does not generally help NeP such as causalgia and runs the risk of aggravating a central component (anesthesia dolorosa).

Only a few other reports of nerve resection surgery for causalgia and CRPS II have appeared in the pain literature in the past three decades. Inada et al (2005)¹³ reported two cases of the successful surgical relief of upper extremity causalgia (digital nerve injury) by nerve resection. Follow-up was 2 years in one patient and 30 months in the other. Watson et al (2007)³ (see abbreviated case 1 CH in the Results section) reported a 19-year-old male with severe, intractable right infraorbital nerve causalgia after a fractured right orbit. Thirteen months after injury, the pain remained severe and intractable and the nerve was resected proximal to the fracture, grafted and placed in the buccal fat pad with immediate relief. Long-term follow-up after publication found that he has been pain-free for 19 years. Stovkis et al (2010)¹⁴ reported 34 patients operated on for "neuroma pain" (but which included CRPS II) by nerve resection and relocation. Postoperative satisfaction rates were 36% (4/11) with CRPS II patients and 65% 15/23 in non-CRPS patients. Watson et al (2014)⁴ (case 2 HG in the Results section) reported a 19-year-old female, with successful relief by nerve resection for 4 years and 4 months after 13 years of intractable (CRPS) type II (causalgia) affecting the peroneal and sural nerves of the left leg. Severe leg pain recurred and incipient gangrene (Figure 1) required amputation (unpublished long-term follow-up in the Results section). The pathological examination of the amputated limb (see Results section) suggested autoimmunity and serological testing revealed B cell deficiency as well as autoimmunity. She responded to immunotherapy, was markedly better at 2 years follow-up (Figure 2) and is pain-free at 3 years post-amputation.

Nerve grafting, entubulation, and relocation of the proximal nerve stump after neuroma resection often lead to a favorable outcome, as reported in the literature and anecdotally based on the experience of one of us (RM). In recent years, the surgical management of painful neuromas, where nerve grafts are not possible, has evolved to provide a more suitable environment for axons to reside or grow and not reform a painful neuroma. After neuroma resection, instead of burying the proximal stump of an injured nerve into an innervated muscle, the nerve is placed and sutured within a freshly harvested small piece of (denervated) muscle, a technique termed regenerative peripheral nerve interface

(RPNI) (Santosa et al 2021).³⁵ Alternatively, the proximal stump of the injured nerve after neuroma resection is micro-surgically repaired to an adjacent small muscle nerve, a procedure termed targeted motor reinnervation (TMR) (Janes et al 2021).³⁶ Both of these procedures seemingly have improved pain outcomes in patients with new or recurrent neuromas, as compared to historical case series, although studies comparing the two methods are still lacking. The efficacy of TMR for managing CRPS II is being explored, and a small case series (Shin et al., 2022) shows promising results.³⁷

Autoimmunity and immunotherapy

Twelve references were found regarding autoimmunity associated with CRPS.^{15–26} Eight of these references are to research, suggesting autoimmunity in CRPS against nervous system targets in humans and in animal research. One reference²⁵ found autoimmunity associated with immunodeficiency (B cell deficiency) caused by protein kinase C delta, but it is unknown how often this combination of immunological findings occurs in patients with CRPS II or other NeP as with HG (our case 2 in Results). Two reports stated that some patients responded to treatment with immunoglobulin.^{17,18} Blaes et al (2004)¹⁷ commented on "some" uncontrolled cases of theirs and of others with improvement by IVIg. Goebel et al (2017)¹⁸ reported that a parallel design, RCT of 108 eligible patients with moderate to severe CRPS of 1–5 years duration in which two doses of low dose (0.5 g/kgm of body weight) of IVIg separated by 6 weeks was not effective in relieving moderate/severe CRPS of 1–5 years duration. They referred to their previous open cases and a small RCT of low-dose IVIg which, in both studies, showed that 25% had "profound pain relief of greater than 50%." They discussed 5 other reports of CRPS one with high dose (2 g/kgm) IVIg for a total of 25 patients which indicated efficacy. They stated that "in addition, other authors have indicated that they have been using IVIg successfully in their patients." Goebel et al., (2017) further said that "it is not known why the results from the current RCT differ so markedly from those of earlier studies." Why are these results inconsistent? Perhaps only one type of CRPS may respond (only CRPS I or CRPS II). It may be that a full dose (2 g/kgm) could be required and/or a shorter dosing interval.

In our patient with CRPS II (case 2 HG in Results), successful treatment was with 3 years of a weekly infusion of subcutaneous human immune globulin 20% solution. One retrospective study found that of 34 patients with CRPS who received plasma exchange therapy, 91% reported a significant reduction in pain, with 45% having sustained pain relief with additional weekly treatments.²⁶ Sahbaie et al. (2022)³⁹ studied a mouse model of CRPS, which may have some relevance to the human condition; in that they found that modulation of autonomic activity after limb injury may limit pain-supporting autoantibodies and may reduce chronic pain which may be relevant to our human patient (case 2 HG).⁴ The role of immunodeficiency and/or autoantibodies as cause or effect is unclear. Although it is possible that the amputation itself resulted in improvement, the marked, but persistent improvement in pain and function in our patient⁴ did not occur until soon after the immunotherapy was started. The most dramatic features were that HG became able to wear her prosthesis, walk using it, had no need for strong analgesics, experienced a lack of respiratory infections, and as well had marked improvement of her generalized skin lesions (Figure 2) at 2 years and only skin scars at 3 years follow-up (see Results section).

Amputation

Of six reviews^{5–10} of the treatment of CRPS, only one specifically referenced amputation for CRPS II.⁹ Two reviews of amputation for causalgia were found.^{27,34} Bodde et al., 2011²⁷ reviewed 26 studies of amputation for CRPS with Level IV evidence concluding that whether to amputate or not for intractable CRPS was “an unanswered question.” Ayyaswamy et al (2019)³⁴ reported a systematic review of 11 studies of quality of life (QOL) after amputation for intractable CRPS with a total of 96 patients and 107 amputations finding that 66/107(62%) had improvement in QOL regarding functional status and general health. They concluded that amputation could be considered to improve QOL but considered that the evidence was limited with risk of CRPS recurrence and of phantom limb pain. Other papers regarding amputation included cases and case series, but none of these specified the type of CRPS. However, we decided to review these articles regardless because of the similarities between CRPS I and II and because of the lack of such data in the latter. Midbari and Eisenberg (2017)³² commented that none of the published papers on amputation for CRPS had been published in the pain literature, and that the issue was the reluctance of the pain medicine community to consider amputation for intractable patients. The recent literature on amputation for CRPS consisted of single-case reports^{30,33} and case series.^{29,32} One case report by Goebel (2018)³³ described recurrence of pain 2 years after amputation. Kashy et al³⁰ reported a successful outcome in a patient with CRPS I but provided no long-term data. In one case series, Krans-Schreuder (2012)²⁸ reported that 18/21 patients said they would recommend amputation to other patients with CRPS I. Midbari et al 2016³¹ reported consistently better results with CRPS in an amputated group of 19 patients versus 19 control unamputated patients and stated that 13/19 of the amputated group said they would recommend the operation to other intractable CRPS patients.

Strengths of this review are that we gather in one location some otherwise disparate information for clinicians regarding nerve resection and amputation for medically intractable CRPS II (causalgia). These data provide some guidance regarding these possible surgical options in some of these refractory patients. We also describe the first detailed pathology of an amputated limb from a patient with CRPS type II which led to a successful treatment related to immune abnormalities. Limitations of this review are that some reports of the surgical procedures for peripheral nerve injury pain may have been deliberately excluded as no concise terms, methods, or outcomes were discussed.

In conclusion, surgery involving nerve resection and relocation by grafting, if necessary, may be more successful in uncomplicated causalgia³ (case 1 CH in Results) involving a traumatic injury to a single sensory nerve accessible proximal to the injury. A surgeon skilled in nerve reconstruction and familiar with the techniques described in a previous article⁴ is essential in the authors' view. Although there is a small literature on the effect of amputation for CRPS generally, there are no good data to guide a decision regarding this. Surgery alone may be less beneficial for CRPS type II, such as with HG our case⁴ with an unrecognized, underlying but treatable condition (immune deficiency and autoimmunity) which may yield only temporarily positive results after nerve resection surgery. Caution is necessary in extrapolating from a single case treated successfully by immunotherapy such as our patient HG case 2 (see Results section)⁴ with intractable CRPS II (causalgia).

Summary

1. Causalgia and complex regional pain syndromes types I and II (causalgia) are poorly understood and difficult to treat, but both may be neuropathic and traumatic in origin.
2. Because of the clinical similarities between CRPS types I and II, it is plausible that a successful treatment for CRPS type II may be applicable to both conditions.
3. Nerve transection and amputation have been reserved in the past for medically intractable cases of CRPS II and may result in benefit in some patients. Nerve resection may be more successful with nerve grafting, relocation, and the novel surgical approaches described and referenced in this article.
4. There is a small inconsistent literature on autoimmunity and immunotherapy with CRPS generally (CRPS I and II).
5. Surgical and other treatment failures may be, in some instances, a result of an unrecognized but treatable, underlying immunological condition (autoimmunity and immune deficiency).

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/cjn.2023.260>.

Acknowledgments. Dr A Franko (AF) carried out the general pathological examination. Dermatopathology examination was carried out by Dr K Naert (KN), Dr M Schneider (MS), and Dr M Abdi Daoud (MD). The assistance of Dr Judy Watt Watson and Emily Watson has been invaluable in the preparation of this manuscript.

Statement of authorship. CPNW collected the data and wrote the manuscript. RM examined the amputated limb regarding the nerves from the previous nerve resection/relocation surgery. RM and DWN revised the manuscript for intellectual content, and all authors approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors have no conflicts and nothing to disclose. Specifically, there are no other non-author contributions, no technical help, no financial or material support, or financial arrangements needing to be disclosed.

References

1. Mitchell SW, Morehouse GJ, Keen WK. Gunshot wounds and other injuries of nerves. Philadelphia: JB Lippincott; 1864.
2. Harden R, Bruehl S, Perez R, et al. Validation of proposed diagnostic criteria (The "Budapest Criteria") for complex regional pain syndrome. *PAIN*. 2010;150:268–74.
3. Watson CPN, Stinson JN, Dostrovsky JO, Hawkins C, Rutka J, Forrest C. Nerve resection and relocation may relieve causalgia. A case report. *PAIN*. 2007;132:211–7.
4. Watson CPN, Mackinnon SE, Dostrovsky JO, Bennett J, Faran RP, Carlson T. Nerve resection, crush and relocation relieve complex regional pain syndrome type II (causalgia): a case report. *PAIN*. 2014;155:1168–73.
5. Hord AL, Oaklander AL. Complex regional pain syndrome: a review of evidence-supported treatment options. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2003;7:188–96.
6. Tran DHQ, Duong S, Bertini P, Finlayson RJ. Treatment of complex regional pain syndrome: a review of the evidence. *Can J Anesth*. 2010;57:149–216.
7. O'Connell B, Wand M, McAuley J, Marston L, Mosley GL. Cochrane database of systematic reviews: interventions for treating pain and disability in adults with complex regional pain syndrome – an overview of systematic reviews. 2013. <https://www.cochranelibrary.com>.
8. Bruehl S. Complex regional pain syndrome. *BMJ*. 2015;361:h2730.
9. Duong SD, Bravo Todd KJ, Finlayson RJ, Tran DQ. –Treatment of complex regional pain syndrome: updated systematic review and narrative. *Can J Anesth*. 2018;65:658–84.

10. Taylor S, Noor N, Urits I, et al. Complex regional pain syndrome: a comprehensive review. *Pain Ther.* 2021;10:875–92.
11. Mitchell SW. *Injuries to nerves and their consequences.* Philadelphia: JB Lippincott; 1872.
12. Noordenbos W, Wall PD. Implications of the failure of nerve resection and graft to cure chronic pain produced by nerve lesions. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1981;44:1068–73.
13. Inada Y, Morimoto S, Moroi K, Endo K, Nakamura T. Surgical relief of causalgia by an artificial nerve tube graft: successful surgical treatment of causalgia by in situ tissue engineering with a polyglycolic acid-collagen tube. *PAIN.* 2005;117:251–18.
14. Stovkis A, van der Avoort DJ, Van Neck JW, Hovius SE, Coert JH. Surgical management of neuroma pain: a prospective follow-up study. *PAIN.* 2010;151:862–9.
15. Blaes F, Schmitz M, Tschernatsch M, et al. Autoimmune etiology of complex regional pain syndrome. *Neurology.* 2004;63:1734–6.
16. Goebel A, Vogel O, Caneris O, et al. Immune responses to *Campylobacter* and serum antibodies in patients with complex regional pain syndrome. *J Neuroimmunol.* 2005;162:184–9.
17. Blaes F, Tschernatsch M, Braeburn ME, et al. Autoimmunity in complex regional pain syndrome. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2007;1107:168–73.
18. Goebel A, Bisla J, Carganillo C, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment for long-standing complex regional pain syndrome. A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;167:476–83.
19. Goebel A, Blaes F. Complex regional pain syndrome, prototype of a novel kind of autoimmune disease. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2013;12:682–6.
20. Dirckx M, Schreiers MW, deMos M, et al. The prevalence of autoantibodies in complex regional pain syndrome type I. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2015;2:1–5.
21. Cuhadar U, Gentry C, Vastani N. Autoantibodies produce pain in complex regional pain syndrome by sensitizing nociceptors. *PAIN.* 2019;160:2855–65.
22. David Clark J, Tawfik VL, Tajerian M, Kingery WS. Autoinflammatory and autoimmune contributions to complex regional pain syndrome. *Mol Pain.* 2018;14:174480691879912. DOI: [10.1177/1744806918799127](https://doi.org/10.1177/1744806918799127).
23. Dubuis E, Thompson V, Leite MI, et al. Long-standing complex regional pain syndrome is associated with activating autoantibodies against alpha-1a adrenoreceptors. *PAIN.* 2014;155:2408–17.
24. Kohr D, Singh P, Tschernatsch M, et al. Autoimmunity against the beta 2 adrenergic receptor and muscarinic-2 receptor in complex regional pain syndrome. *PAIN.* 2011;152:2690–700.
25. Salzer E, Santos-Valente E, Klaver S, et al. B cell deficiency and severe autoimmunity caused by protein kinase C delta. *Blood.* 2013;121:3112–6.
26. Alexander GM, Aradillas E, Schwarzman RJ, et al. Retrospective study of plasma exchange therapy in patients with complex regional pain syndrome. *Pain Physician.* 2015;18:383–94.
27. Bodde MI, Dijkstra PU, den Dunnen WFA, et al. Therapy-resistant complex regional pain syndrome: to amputate or not? *Bone Joint Surg.* 2011, 1799–1805.
28. Krans-Schreuder HK, Bodde M, Chrier E, Dijkstra P, et al. Amputation for long standing therapy-resistant type 1 complex regional pain syndrome. *Bone Joint Surg [Am].* 2012;94:226–8.
29. Bodde MI, Chrier E, Krans HK, Geertzen JH, Dijkstra PU. Resilience in patients with amputation because of complex regional pain syndrome type I. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2014;36:838–43.
30. Kashy K, Abd-Elseyed AA, Farag E, et al. Amputation is an unusual treatment for therapy-resistance complex regional pain syndrome type I. *J Ochsner.* 2015;15:441–42.
31. Midbari A, Suzan E, Adler T, et al. Amputation in patients with complex regional pain syndrome: comparative study between amputees and non-amputees with intractable disease. *Bone Joint J.* 2016;98 B:548–54.
32. Midbari A, Eisenberg E. Is the pain medicine community reluctant to discuss limb amputation in patients with intractable complex regional pain syndrome? *Pain Med.* 2017;18:1406–7.
33. Goebel A, Lewis SR, Phillip R, Sharma M. Dorsal ganglion stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: recurrence after amputation for CRPS and failure of conventional spinal cord stimulation. *Pain Pract.* 2018;18:104–8.
34. Ayyaswamy B, Saeed B, Anand A, Chan L, Shetty V. Quality of life after amputation in patients with complex regional pain syndrome: a systematic review. *EFORT Open Rev.* 2019;4:533–40.
35. Santosa KB, Oliver HD, Cederna PS, Kung TA. Regenerative peripheral nerve interfaces for prevention and treatment of neuromas. *Clin Plast Surg.* 2020;47:311–21.
36. Janes LE, Fracol LE, Dumanian GA, Ko JH. Targeted muscle reintegration for the treatment of neuroma. *Hand Clin.* 2020;37:345–59.
37. Shin SE, Haffner ZK, Chang BL, Kleiber GM. A pilot investigation into targeted muscle reinnervation for complex regional pain syndrome, Type II. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open.* 2022;10:e4718. DOI: [10.1097/GOX0000000000004718](https://doi.org/10.1097/GOX0000000000004718).
38. Howard EL, Singleton M, Soulakvlidze I. Amputation for complex regional pain syndrome: meta-analysis and validation of a histopathology scoring system. *Pain Med.* 2022, 1–17.
39. Sahbaie P, Li WW, Guo TZ, Kingery WS, Clark JD. Autonomic regulation of nociceptive and immunologic changes in a mouse model of complex regional pain syndrome. *J Pain.* 2022;23:472–86.