

# Dimensional thinking in psychiatry in the era of the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC)

J. R. Kelly<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, G. Clarke<sup>1,2</sup>, J. F. Cryan<sup>2,3</sup> and T. G. Dinan<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Neurobehavioural Science, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

<sup>2</sup> APC Microbiome Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

<sup>3</sup> Department of Anatomy and Neuroscience, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

The biological mechanisms underlying psychiatric diagnoses are not well defined. Clinical diagnosis based on categorical systems exhibit high levels of heterogeneity and co-morbidity. The Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) attempts to reconceptualize psychiatric disorders into transdiagnostic functional dimensional constructs based on neurobiological measures and observable behaviour. By understanding the underlying neurobiology and pathophysiology of the relevant processes, the RDoC aims to advance biomarker development for disease prediction and treatment response. This important evolving dimensional framework must also consider environmental factors. Emerging evidence suggests that gut microbes (microbiome) play a physiological role in brain diseases by modulating neuroimmune, neuroendocrine and neural signalling pathways between the gut and the brain. The integration of the gut microbiome signature as an additional dimensional component of the RDoC may enhance precision psychiatry.

Received 21 November 2016; Revised 5 February 2017; Accepted 2 March 2017; First published online 5 April 2017

**Key words:** Psychiatric diagnosis, categorical diagnosis, Research Domain Criteria, microbiome.

Categorical psychiatric diagnostic systems, based on clusters of symptoms and signs have allowed the determination and comparison of the frequency and impact of mental disorders across countries, can facilitate communication between professionals, and can assist treatment plan formulation. However, given the complexity of the brain, categorical diagnoses also render high levels of heterogeneity in terms of symptom profile, causality, psychopathology and treatment response (Trivedi *et al.* 2006; Baca-Garcia *et al.* 2007; Goldberg, 2011). Indeed, many phenomena vary continuously within and between psychiatric patients and in the population at large and become pathological only at the extremes of an otherwise normal distribution (Adam, 2013; Bebbington *et al.* 2013). The overlap of presumed distinct psychiatric diagnoses have been demonstrated at the genetic (Craddock & Owen, 2010; Smoller *et al.* 2013), molecular (Krishnan & Nestler, 2010), cellular (Swardfager *et al.* 2016), brain circuit (Hulshoff Pol *et al.* 2012; Drysdale *et al.* 2017), pathophysiology (Garn *et al.* 2016) and psychological levels (Catalan *et al.* 2016).

In 2008, the United States National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) strategic plan called for the development 'for research purposes, new ways of classifying mental disorders based on dimensions of

observable behaviour and neurobiological measures' (<http://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/strategic-planning-reports/index.shtml>). This exciting advance in the conceptualization of psychiatric disorders emerged as the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) (Insel *et al.* 2010). In contrast to the categorical diagnostic approach, the RDoC matrix attempts to reconceptualize psychiatric disorders into transdiagnostic functional dimensional constructs grouped into domains such as negative valence, positive valence, cognitive, social processing and arousal/regulatory systems (Table 1), examined across units of analysis from genes, molecules, cells, circuits, physiology, behaviour and self-report. Thus, the RDoC aims to extend diagnostic systems based on symptoms, to elucidate the biological mechanisms underlying psychiatric disorders, an approach that aligns well with the endophenotype concept (Miller & Rockstroh, 2013), with a view to develop biomarkers for disease prediction and treatment response (Gururajan *et al.* 2016). A similar venture – the 'Roadmap for Mental Health Research' has been launched in Europe (Schumann *et al.* 2014).

In the same year the NIMH launched the strategic plan, that gave rise to RDoC, the National Institutes of Health launched a 'roadmap effort to use genomic technologies to explore the role of microbes in human health and disease' – the Human Microbiome Project (<http://hmpdacc.org/>), an ambition which was also matched in Europe and other jurisdictions (e.g. MetaHIT, [www.metahit.eu](http://www.metahit.eu)). Since then, a growing

\* Address for correspondence: Dr J. R. Kelly, Lecturer in Clinical Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry and Neurobehavioural Science, Biosciences Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland.  
(Email: johnkelly@ucc.ie)

**Table 1.** Units of Analysis and functional domains of the Research Domain Criteria

NIMH Research Domain Criteria							
Units of analysis							
Genes	Molecules	Cells	Circuits	Physiology	Behaviour	Self-reports	Paradigms
Functional domains							
Negative valence systems		Positive valence systems		Cognitive systems	Social processing systems	Arousal and regulatory systems	
Acute threat (fear)		Approach motivation		Attention	Affiliation and attachment	Arousal	
Potential threat (anxiety)		Initial responsiveness to reward attainment		Perception	Social communication	Circadian rhythms	
Sustained threat		Sustained/longer-term Responsiveness to reward attainment		Declarative memory	Perception and understanding of self/others	Sleep-wakefulness	
Loss Frustrative non-reward		Reward learning Habit		Language Cognitive control Working memory			

NIMH, United States National Institute of Mental Health.

body of pre-clinical evidence has shown that the gut microbiome can impact brain development, function and behaviour by modulating neuroimmune, neuroendocrine and neural signalling pathways between the gut and the brain (Cryan & Dinan, 2012). This fusion of microbiology and brain research has been postulated as a paradigm shift in neuroscience (Mayer *et al.* 2014). Moreover, recent translational studies indicate that the gut microbiome plays a role in the pathophysiology of stress-related psychiatric disorders (Kelly *et al.* 2016a). The incorporation of the gut microbiome signature, as an additional dimensional component of analysis, may provide further ways of stratifying patients and may lead to novel treatment strategies to enhance precision medicine in psychiatry, though is not without significant challenges (Kelly *et al.* 2016b).

A dimensional system encourages collaboration between all disciplines. As pointed out by Yee *et al.* (2015), it should be noted that five of the seven units of analysis in the RDoC matrix can be characterized as biological (e.g. genes, physiology), while virtually all of the rows are psychological constructs, articulating the interplay between biological and psychological mechanisms. The RDoC matrix could thus consolidate multiple disciplines, by removing the constraints of classical psychiatric disease diagnosis. It also has the potential to better align pre-clinical and clinical studies to build a common framework of comparable neurobiological abnormalities, for example, to help stratify subgroups of patients on the basis of similar pathophysiology, rather than diagnostic categories based on phenomenology (Kapur *et al.* 2012).

It is worth re-iterating that the majority of pharmacological advances in psychiatry have been spurred on by useful serendipity, but in the last 40 years and the current interest in ketamine (as a fast acting antidepressant) notwithstanding (Naughton *et al.* 2014), very few therapeutics with novel mechanisms of action have progressed to phase III clinical trials or regulatory approval (Umbricht *et al.* 2014). Major pharmaceutical companies have shifted drug discovery efforts away from psychiatric towards non-psychiatric disorders with identified biological targets (Miller, 2010). The overall probability of success of bringing any new drug, through pre-clinical stages and clinical trial stages I through III to market is ~8% (Dimasi *et al.* 2003). Given this stasis in psychiatric drug development, innovative solutions to novel drug development based on the capacity of the RDoC framework to uncover biological mechanisms and improve stratification of mental disorders is one potential way to address some of these issues (Insel, 2012; Insel & Cuthbert, 2015). The RDoC framework could allow researchers to study basic mechanisms as they cut across traditional diagnostic categories with the hope of increasing personalized precision medicine. By increasing the possibility of successful translation of research into practice and the development of novel therapeutics, not just pharmacological, the RDoC offers new hope of tangible benefits for psychiatric patients (Insel *et al.* 2013).

The first exploratory steps have been taken. 'Engage' is a streamlined psychotherapy that uses

neurobiological constructs to target the behavioural expression of the positive valence system in late life depression by using reward exposure (activation and retraining of positive valence system) coupled with strategies to mitigate negative valence (negativity bias), arousal (apathy) and cognitive control (Alexopoulos & Arean, 2014). The Training for Awareness, Resilience, and Action treatment programme for adolescents proposes subtypes of adolescent depression driven by limbic hyperactivation related to sustained threat (anxious arousal, increased conflict detection, attentional bias to threat, helplessness behaviour, punishment sensitivity and avoidance) with clinical features such as emotional hyper-reactivity, agitation and dysphoric mood (Henje Blom *et al.* 2014). Interestingly, existing trial data, such as the Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (Joyce *et al.* 2017) and the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (Chekroud *et al.* 2016; Chekroud *et al.* 2017) have now been re-analysed using a dimensional approach, in an effort to improve tools necessary to implement stratification.

The RDoC is not without critics and the incorporation of categorical and dimensional systems is a major challenge within a field with many divisions (Carpenter, 2013). Significant neuroscientific advances have frequently been lost in translation and not had appreciable benefits for psychiatric patients as yet. But the premise of RDoC is that clinical research should be built on the best available genetic, neuroscientific and psychological science concepts to bridge the gap between bench and psychiatric bedside. Indeed, the RDoC and categorical systems should be viewed as complementary, not antagonistic (Kraemer, 2015). In the future, with further understanding of the underlying neurobiology and pathophysiology of the relevant processes in animals and humans, the RDoC approach may well yield therapeutic advances. A discernible endeavour along the lines of the RDoC, is the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology neuroscience-based nomenclature project (<http://nbnomenclature.org/>). This project has reclassified psychopharmacology according to mechanisms of action rather than by diagnoses and is a step towards a more biological-based approach that also reflects the clinical application of these drugs across diagnostic boundaries. Although, the challenge to fuse clinical psychiatry and neuroscience is significant, some argue the process is overdue (Ross *et al.* 2015b).

There are many hurdles to overcome. Enhanced communication and collaboration between neuroscientists and clinicians will be required to facilitate integration of neuroscience into the clinical domain. This will require culture change and a modified approach to training (Lehner & Insel, 2010). Indeed, it will be critical to have skilled educators to translate

neuroscience findings to the psychiatry clinic. In the United States, the incorporation of neuroscience into the psychiatry curriculum has been increasing over recent years (Roffman *et al.* 2006). More recently, the progressive National Neuroscience Curriculum Initiative (<http://www.nncionline.org/>), which aims to integrate neuroscience into psychiatry training and education has been launched (Ross *et al.* 2015a). It remains to be seen how this ambitious neuroscience training endeavour will influence clinical work, but it is noteworthy that the recruitment and retention problems in psychiatry in the United Kingdom and Ireland (Mukherjee *et al.* 2013) are not reflected in the United States, where recruitment has increased over the last 4 years.

Clearly it is vital for clinicians to be fully knowledgeable about categorical systems and aware of updated versions, such as the DSM-5 (Murphy & Hallahan, 2016). But the development of the concept of the dimensional approach as a framework to progress psychiatry from the current stalemate is essential. The RDoC may not be the final paradigm for psychiatry, rather it is an important dimensional beginning and an evolving process. Investigators are encouraged to refine and expand the matrix and hybrid constructs will evolve as other variables are added. An evolving RDoC that encompasses dimensions at every level, from genetic, molecular, physiological, imaging, psychological and environmental has the potential to advance our understanding of the brain and its many disorders.

#### Acknowledgements

None.

#### Financial Support

The APC Microbiome Institute is funded by Science Foundation Ireland (SFI). This publication has emanated from research conducted with the financial support of SFI under Grant Number SFI/12/RC/2273. The authors and their work were also supported by the Health Research Board (HRB) through Health Research Awards (grants no. HRA\_POR/2011/23; T.G.D., J.F.C. and G.C., HRA\_POR/2012/32; J.F.C., T.G.D. and HRA-POR-2-14-647: GC, TGD) and through EU GRANT 613979 (MYNEWGUT FP7-KBBE-2013-7). They have ongoing research collaborations with several companies including Suntory Wellness, Danone Nutricia, Mead Johnson Nutrition, Cremo and 4D-Pharma. G.C. is supported by a NARSAD Young Investigator Grant from the Brain and Behaviour Research Foundation (Grant Number 20771).

#### Conflicts of Interest

None.

## Ethical Standards

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committee on human experimentation with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. The authors assert that ethical approval for publication of this editorial was not required by their local Ethics Committee.

## References

- Adam D (2013). Mental health: on the spectrum. *Nature* **496**, 416–418.
- Alexopoulos GS, Arean P (2014). A model for streamlining psychotherapy in the RDoC era: the example of ‘engage’. *Molecular Psychiatry* **19**, 14–19.
- Baca-Garcia E, Perez-Rodriguez MM, Basurte-Villamor I, Del Moral ALF, Jimenez-Arriero MA, De Rivera JLG, Saiz-Ruiz J, Oquendo MA (2007). Diagnostic stability of psychiatric disorders in clinical practice. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* **190**, 210–216.
- Bebbington PE, McBride O, Steel C, Kuipers E, Radovanović M, Brugha T, Jenkins R, Meltzer HI, Freeman D (2013). The structure of paranoia in the general population. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* **202**, 419–427.
- Carpenter WT (2013). RDoC and DSM-5: what’s the fuss? *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **39**, 945–946.
- Catalan A, Gonzalez DE, Artaza M, Bustamante S, Orgaz P, Osa L, Angosto V, Valverde C, Bilbao A, Madrazo A, Van OS J, Gonzalez-Torres MA (2016). Differences in facial emotion recognition between first episode psychosis, borderline personality disorder and healthy controls. *PLoS One* **11**, e0160056.
- Chekroud AM, Zotti RJ, Shehzad Z, Gueorguieva R, Johnson MK, Trivedi MH, Cannon TD, Krystal JH, Corlett PR (2016). Cross-trial prediction of treatment outcome in depression: a machine learning approach. *Lancet Psychiatry* **3**, 243–250.
- Chekroud AM, Gueorguieva R, Krumholz HM, Trivedi MH, Krystal JH, McCarthy G (2017). Reevaluating the efficacy and predictability of antidepressant treatments: a symptom clustering approach. *JAMA Psychiatry*.
- Craddock N, Owen MJ (2010). The Kraepelinian dichotomy – going, going ... but still not gone. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* **196**, 92–95.
- Cryan JF, Dinan TG (2012). Mind-altering microorganisms: the impact of the gut microbiota on brain and behaviour. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **13**, 701–712.
- Dimasi JA, Hansen RW, Grabowski HG (2003). The price of innovation: new estimates of drug development costs. *Journal of Health Economics* **22**, 151–185.
- Drysdale AT, Grosenick L, Downar J, Dunlop K, Mansouri F, Meng Y, Fetcho RN, Zebley B, Oathes DJ, Etkin A, Schatzberg AF, Sudheimer K, Keller J, Mayberg HS, Gunning FM, Alexopoulos GS, Fox MD, Pascual-Leone A, Voss HU, Casey BJ, Dubin MJ, Liston C (2017). Resting-state connectivity biomarkers define neurophysiological subtypes of depression. *Nat Med* **23**, 28–38.
- Garn H, Bahn S, Baune BT, Binder EB, Bisgaard H, Chatila TA, Chavakis T, Culmsee C, Dannowski U, Gay S, Gern J, Haahela T, Kircher T, Muller-Ladner U, Neurath MF, Preissner KT, Reinhardt C, Rook G, Russell S, Schmeck B, Stappenbeck T, Steinhoff U, Van OS J, Weiss S, Zemlin M, Renz H (2016). Current concepts in chronic inflammatory diseases: interactions between microbes, cellular metabolism, and inflammation. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **138**, 47–56.
- Goldberg D (2011). The heterogeneity of ‘major depression’. *World Psychiatry* **10**, 226–228.
- Gururajan A, Clarke G, Dinan TG, Cryan JF (2016). Molecular biomarkers of depression. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **64**, 101–133.
- Henje Blom E, Duncan LG, Ho TC, Connolly CG, Lewinn KZ, Chesney M, Hecht FM, Yang TT (2014). The development of an RDoC-based treatment program for adolescent depression: ‘Training for Awareness, Resilience, and Action’ (TARA). *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* **8**, 630.
- Hulshoff Pol HE, Van Baal GC, Schnack HG, Brans RG, Van Der Schot AC, Brouwer RM, Van Haren NE, Lepage C, Collins DL, Evans AC, Boomsma DI, Nolen W, Kahn RS (2012). Overlapping and segregating structural brain abnormalities in twins with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **69**, 349–359.
- Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, Heissen R, Pine DS, Quinn K, Sanislow C, Wang P (2010). Research Domain Criteria (RDoC): toward a new classification framework for research on mental disorders. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* **167**, 748–751.
- Insel TR (2012). Next-generation treatments for mental disorders. *Science Translational Medicine* **4**, 155.
- Insel TR, Cuthbert BN (2015). Medicine. Brain disorders? Precisely. *Science* **348**, 499–500.
- Insel TR, Voon V, Nye JS, Brown VJ, Altevogt BM, Bullmore ET, Goodwin GM, Howard RJ, Kupfer DJ, Malloch G, Marston HM, Nutt DJ, Robbins TW, Stahl SM, Tricklebank MD, Williams JH, Sahakian BJ (2013). Innovative solutions to novel drug development in mental health. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **37**, 2438–2444.
- Joyce DW, Kehagia AA, Tracy DK, Proctor J, Shergill SS (2017). Realising stratified psychiatry using multidimensional signatures and trajectories. *Journal of Translational Medicine* **15**, 15.
- Kapur S, Phillips AG, Insel TR (2012). Why has it taken so long for biological psychiatry to develop clinical tests and what to do about it? *Molecular Psychiatry* **17**, 1174–1179.
- Kelly JR, Borre Y, O’Brien C, Patterson EEL, Aidy S, Deane J, Kennedy PJ, Beers S, Scott K, Moloney G, Hoban AE, Scott L, Fitzgerald P, Ross P, Stanton C, Clarke G, Cryan JF, Dinan TG (2016a). Transferring the blues: depression-associated gut microbiota induces neurobehavioural changes in the rat. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **82**, 109–118.
- Kelly JR, Clarke G, Cryan JF, Dinan TG (2016b). Brain-gut-microbiota axis: challenges for translation in psychiatry. *Annals of Epidemiology* **26**, 366–372.

- Kraemer HC (2015). Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) and the DSM-two methodological approaches to mental health diagnosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 72, 1163–1164.
- Krishnan V, Nestler EJ (2010). Linking molecules to mood: new insight into the biology of depression. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 167, 1305–1320.
- Lehner T, Insel T (2010). Psychiatric education in the genomic era. *Academic Psychiatry* 34, 87–89.
- Mayer EA, Knight R, Mazmanian SK, Cryan JF (2014). Gut microbes and the brain: paradigm shift in neuroscience. *Journal of Neuroscience* 34, 15490–15496.
- Miller G (2010). Is pharma running out of brainy ideas? *Science* 329, 502–504.
- Miller GA, Rockstroh B (2013). Endophenotypes in psychopathology research: where do we stand? *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 9, 177–213.
- Mukherjee K, Maier M, Wessely S (2013). UK crisis in recruitment into psychiatric training. *The Psychiatrist* 37, 210–214.
- Murphy R, Hallahan B (2016). Differences between DSM-IV and DSM-5 as applied to general adult psychiatry. *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine* 33, 135–141.
- Naughton M, Clarke G, O'leary OF, Cryan JF, Dinan TG (2014). A review of ketamine in affective disorders: current evidence of clinical efficacy, limitations of use and pre-clinical evidence on proposed mechanisms of action. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 156, 24–35.
- Roffman JL, Simon AB, Prasad KM, Truman CJ, Morrison J, Ernst CL (2006). Neuroscience in psychiatry training: how much do residents need to know? *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 163, 919–926.
- Ross DA, Arbuckle MR, Travis MJ (2015a). 'The time is now': integrating neuroscience into psychiatry training. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry* 17, 126–127.
- Ross DA, Travis MJ, Arbuckle MR (2015b). The future of psychiatry as clinical neuroscience: why not now? *JAMA Psychiatry* 72, 413–414.
- Schumann G, Binder EB, Holte A, De Kloet ER, Oedegaard KJ, Robbins TW, Walker-Tilley TR, Bitter I, Brown VJ, Buitelaar J, Ciccocioppo R, Cools R, Escera C, Fleischhacker W, Flor H, Frith CD, Heinz A, Johnsen E, Kirschbaum C, Klingberg T, Lesch KP, Lewis S, Maier W, Mann K, Martinot JL, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Muller CP, Muller WE, Nutt DJ, Persico A, Perugi G, Pessiglione M, Preuss UW, Roiser JP, Rossini PM, Rybakowski JK, Sandi C, Stephan KE, Undurraga J, Vieta E, Van Der Wee N, Wykes T, Haro JM, Wittchen HU (2014). Stratified medicine for mental disorders. *European Neuropsychopharmacology* 24, 5–50.
- Smoller JW, Ripke S, Lee PH, Neale B, Nurnberger JJ, Santangelo S, Sullivan PF, Perlis RH, Purcell SM, Fanous A, Neale MC, Rietschel M, Schulze TG, Thapar A, Anney R, Buitelaar JK, Faraone SV, Hoogendijk WJ, Levinson DF, Lesch KP, Riley B, Schachar R, Sonuga-Barke E, Absher D, Agartz I, Akil H, Amin F, Andreassen OA, Anjorin A, Arking D, Asherson P, Azevedo MH, Backlund L, Badner JA, Banaschewski T, Barchas JD, Barnes MR, Bass N, Bauer M, Bellivier F, Bergen SE, Berrettini W, Bettecken T, Biederman J, Binder EB, Black DW, Blackwood DH, Bloss CS, Boehnke M, Boomsma DI, Breen G, Breuer R, Buccola NG, Bunner WE, Burmeister M, Buxbaum JD, Byerley WF, Sian C, Cantor RM, Chakravarti A, Chambert K, Chicon S, Cloniger CR, Collier DA, Cook E, Coon H, Corvin A, Coryell WH, Craig DW, Craig IW, Curtis D, Czamara D, Daly M, Datta S, Day R, De Geus EJ, Degenhardt F, Devlin B, Srdjan D, Doyle AE, Duan J, Dudbridge F, Edenberg HJ, Elkin A, Etain B, Farmer AE, Ferreira MA, Ferrier IN, Flickinger M, Foroud T, Frank J, Franke B, Fraser C, Freedman R, Freimer NB, Friedl M, Frisén L, Gejman PV, Georgieva L, Gershon ES, Giegling I, Gill M, Gordon SD, Gordon-Smith K, Green EK, Greenwood TA, Gross M, G. D., Guan W, Gurling H, Gustafsson Ó, Hakonarson H, Hamilton SP, Hamshere ML, Hansen TF, Hartmann AM, Hautzinger M, Heath AC, Henders AK, Herms S, Hickie IB, Hipolito M, Hoefels S, Holmans PA, Holsboer F, Hottenga JJ, Hultman CM, Ingason A, I. M., Jamain S, Jones EG, Jones L, Jones I, Jung-Ying T, Kähler A, Kandaswamy R, Keller MC, Kelsøe JR, Kennedy JL, Kenny E, Kim Y, Kirv GK, Knowles JA, Kohli MA, Koller DL, Konte B, Korszun A, Krasucki R, Kuntsi J, Phoenix K, Landén M, Langstrom N, Lathrop M, Lawrence J, Lawson WB, Leboyer M, Lencz T L. K., Lewis CM, Li J, Lichtenstein P, Lieberman JA, Lin D, Liu C, Lohoff FW, Loo SK, Lucae S, MacIntyre D, Madden PA, Magnusson P, Mahon PB, Maier W, Malhotra AK, Mattheisen M, Matthews K, Mattingsdal M, McCarroll S, McGhee KA, McGough JJ, McGrath PJ, McGuffin P, McInnis MG, McIntosh A, McKinney R, McClean AW, McMahan FJ, McQuillin A, Medeiros H, Medland SE, Meier S, Melle I, Meng F, Middeldorp CM, Middleton L, Vihra M, Mitchell PB, Montgomery GW, Moran J, Morken G, Morris DW, Moskvina V, Mowry BJ, Muglia P, Mühleisen TW, Muir WJ, Müller-Myhsok B, Myers RM, Nelson SF, Nievergelt CM, Nikolovq I, Nimgaonkar V, Nolen WA, Nöthen MM, Nwulia EA, Nyholt DR, O'Donovan MC, O'Dushlaine C, Oades RD, Olincy A, Olsen L, Ophoff RA, Osby U, Óskarsson H, Owen MJ, Palotie A, Pato MT, Pato CN, Penninx BP, Pergadia ML, Petursson H, Pickard BS, Pimm J, Piven J, Porgeirsson P, Posthuma D, Potash JB, Propping J, Puri V, Quedsted D, Quinn EM, Rasmussen HB, Raychaudhuri S, Rehnström K, Reif A, Rice J, Rossin L, Rothenberger A, Rouleau G, Ruderfer D, Rujescu D, Sanders AR, Schalling M, Schatzberg AF, Schftner WA, Schellenberg G, Schofield PR, Schork NJ, Schumacher J, Schwarz MM, Scolnick E, Scott LJ, Shi J, Shilling PD, Shyn SI, Sigurdsson E, Silverman JM, Sklar P, S. S., Smalley SL, Smit JH, Smith EN, Sonuga-Barke E, St Clair D, State M, Stefansson K, Stefansson H, Steffans M, Steinberg S, Steinhausen HC, Strauss J, Strohmaier J, Stroup TS, Sutcliffe J, Szatmari P, Szeling S, Thirumalai S, Thompson RC, Tozzi F, Treutlein J, Uhr M, van den Oord EJ, Van Grootheest G, Vieland V, Vincent JB, Visscher PM, Watson SJ, Weissman MM, Werge T, Wienker TF, Willemsen G, Williamson R, Witt SH, Wray NR, Wright A, X. W., Young AH, Zammit S, Zandi PP, Zhang P, Zitman FG, Zöllner S, Craddock N, K. K. (2013). Identification of risk loci with shared effects on five major psychiatric disorders: a genome-wide analysis. *Lancet* 381, 1371–9.

- Swardfager W, Rosenblat JD, Benlamri M, McIntyre RS** (2016). Mapping inflammation onto mood: inflammatory mediators of anhedonia. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **64**, 148–166.
- Trivedi MH, Rush AJ, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Warden D, Ritz L, Norquist G, Howland RH, Lebowitz B, Mcgrath PJ, Shores-Wilson K, Biggs MM, Balasubramani GK, Fava M** (2006). Evaluation of outcomes with citalopram for depression using measurement-based care in STAR\*D: implications for clinical practice. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* **163**, 28–40.
- Umbricht D, Alberati D, Martin-Facklam M, Borroni E, Youssef EA, Ostland M, Wallace TL, Knoflach F, Dorflinger E, Wettstein JG, Bausch A, Garibaldi G, Santarelli L** (2014). Effect of bitopertin, a glycine reuptake inhibitor, on negative symptoms of schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blind, proof-of-concept study. *JAMA Psychiatry* **71**, 637–646.
- Yee CM, Javitt DC, Miller GA** (2015). Replacing DSM categorical analyses with dimensional analyses in psychiatry research: the Research Domain Criteria initiative. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 1159–1160.