

Research Article

Cite this article: Rasmussen JM, Johnson SL, Ochieng Y, Jaguga F, Green E and Puffer E (2024) Congregation leader and member discussions in a church-based family strengthening, mental health promotion and HIV prevention trial: Intervention. *Cambridge Prisms: Global Mental Health*, **11**, e52, 1–11
<https://doi.org/10.1017/gmh.2024.44>

Received: 28 October 2023

Revised: 13 February 2024

Accepted: 26 March 2024

Keywords:

mental health; family; intervention; religion; church; community; social setting; Africa; Kenya

Corresponding author:







Justin Rasmussen;

Email: jdrasmus1@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.



Congregation leader and member discussions in a church-based family strengthening, mental health promotion and HIV prevention trial: Intervention

Justin M. Rasmussen^{1,3} , Savannah L. Johnson^{1,3} , Yvonne Ochieng^{1,3} ,
Florence Jaguga² , Eric Green^{1,3}  and Eve Puffer^{1,3} 

¹Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, USA; ²Department of Mental Health, Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital, Eldoret, Kenya and ³Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

Abstract

Collaboration with African religious congregations can promote psychosocial well-being with greater accessibility. Effective collaboration requires studying congregations as unique intervention contexts. This study explored how an intervention in western Kenya fit within and altered congregational discussion patterns. We conducted a cluster-randomized trial of a church-based intervention to improve family relationships, mental health and sexual health. For each intervention topic covered, we describe baseline and post-intervention changes in church leaders' beliefs and communication as well as discussion frequency between leaders and members and among members. Mixed-effects logistic regression assessed pre-post change in member-reported discussion frequency. At baseline, members and leaders reported already discussing family, parenting, and emotions frequently and sexuality and finances less frequently. Leaders generally felt they should discuss all topics but were less comfortable and knowledgeable about sexuality and finances than other topics. After the intervention, leader comfort and knowledge increased and discussion frequency increased for nearly all topics, especially those discussed less initially. Good fit between the desires and activities of church members and leaders suggests the potential for further collaboration, especially on mental health and family well-being. Increased discussion of sensitive topics underscores the potential of community-level interventions to affect social norms.

Impact statement

This article makes three unique contributions:

1. It contributes novel findings to the study of collaborative intervention with African religious congregations, an important but underrepresented community setting in the global mental health research literature.
2. It demonstrates survey and analysis methods for using process data to understand contextual influences in community intervention.
3. It outlines future directions for studying congregational settings as unique contexts for mental health and family health intervention, particularly in African countries.

Introduction

In rural western Kenya, as in many parts of Kenya and other African countries, religious congregations such as churches and mosques are centers of community life and psychosocial care (Pew Research Center, 2010). Studies have found that individuals seeking support for their mental health often turn not only to biomedical and traditional healers but also to religious leaders (Burns and Tomita, 2015; Kovess-Masfety et al., 2017). While these sectors are sometimes difficult to disentangle in practice, available evidence suggests religious healing is widely perceived as efficacious and culturally acceptable (van der Watt et al., 2018; Ojagbemi and Gureje, 2020). Given that access to mental health professionals continues to be limited by substantial geographical, economic and cultural barriers, there is a clear opportunity for collaboration with congregations in these settings to ensure access to needed mental health services (Aguwa et al., 2023).

The wide scope of opportunity for congregational mental health efforts is illustrated by a small number of studies that report a variety of successful interventions in African congregational



contexts. A study in Nigeria, for example, demonstrated successful maternal mental health screening and referral integrated into a church program praying for and celebrating pregnant mothers (Iheanacho *et al.*, 2015). In Somaliland, a community-researcher collaboration adapted a trauma-recovery intervention to lay delivery in mosques with good results (Zoellner *et al.*, 2021). In Kenya, the authors of this study developed a program for in-home family counseling organized through church infrastructure (Puffer *et al.*, 2020) which has been expanded into work on paternal substance use (Giusto *et al.*, 2020). Other studies indirectly involve congregations or their leaders in other community efforts (for example, Chidrawi *et al.*, 2015).

Much of this work has not fully engaged religious communities in their multifaceted role as institutions that provide instruction, advocate for people, support individuals and families, and organize social support. Research on congregational responses to the HIV epidemic in Malawi provides a clear picture of this role. Researchers found that congregations taught on the subject frequently, coordinated home visitation, youth programming, voluntary testing, and care for those affected by illness and death (Trinitapoli, 2006, 2015). Social network research also demonstrated that informal conversations about HIV were common and served to translate formal religious teaching into practical application (Agadjanian and Menjivar, 2008). These congregations also navigated significant constraints, balancing religious and cultural authority with competition for membership and very limited resources (Agadjanian and Sen, 2007).

Congregations' public engagement with the HIV epidemic has led to caution from public health organizations over differing priorities (Pfeiffer, 2004; Epstein, 2005). At the same time, collaboration between public health organizations and congregations in their full institutional capacity has also led to significant success in HIV prevention. During the 2000s in Malawi, national organizations' interfaith dialog around a theology of HIV/AIDS and condom use resulted in new possibilities for collaboration on prevention efforts (Willms *et al.*, 2004, 2011). More recently in Tanzania, villages where family planning and circumcision efforts also included substantive theological engagement with local church leaders saw much greater interest from village members (Downs *et al.*, 2013, 2017; Aristide *et al.*, 2020). These efforts highlight the possible benefits of collaboration on issues such as family and mental health, areas of deep concern to both congregations and public health organizations.

Faith-based health promotion and process evaluation

Understanding religious settings as sites for health intervention is an organizing goal of the literature on faith-based health promotion (FBHP). This work, mostly limited to Christian churches in US minoritized populations, spans a range of health targets (DeHaven *et al.*, 2004; Williams *et al.*, 2011; Tristão Parra *et al.*, 2018; Derose and Rodriguez, 2020) including mental health (Garzon and Tilley, 2009; Hankerson and Weissman, 2012; Hays and Aranda, 2016; Codjoe *et al.*, 2021). Despite their varied outcomes, these studies focus on religious settings as implementation contexts. They share an interest in how health interventions work in faith communities differently than the healthcare or public health contexts in which they may have been developed. This means attending to the structural, cultural and relational climate, sometimes referred to as the "inner setting" (Damschroder *et al.*, 2009), of religious settings as well as unique intervention processes that emerge within these contexts.

Understanding the intervention process, beyond focusing solely on the outcomes, is critical to understanding contextual influences. Studies on congregational leadership, for example, have demonstrated how leaders uniquely shape interventions through their beliefs, investment and modeling of health behavior (Baruth *et al.*, 2013; Bopp *et al.*, 2013; Beard *et al.*, 2016) as well as how leaders themselves understand their roles and constraints in these programs (Lumpkins *et al.*, 2013; Houghton *et al.*, 2020). Other studies on the intervention process highlight contextual factors like how well health content fits with sermons (Payán *et al.*, 2019) or the appropriateness of peer-outreach models in different church structures with diverse cultural groups (Flórez *et al.*, 2017). This type of process data, despite its utility, is nevertheless often overlooked in FBHP interventions (Yeary *et al.*, 2012).

Current study

In this study, we report process data from a church-based family-strengthening intervention in western Kenya (Puffer *et al.*, 2016). A previous publication described how this workshop-style intervention successfully increased communication about a range of topics – mental health, parenting, sexual risk and family finances – within families, particularly between youth and their caregivers. These workshops, alongside separate discussion groups with church leaders, also aimed to normalize discussions about these topics across the church. The aim of this analysis was to investigate how this intervention fit within and altered the social context of the congregation. First, recognizing the unique role of religious leaders, we assess church leader beliefs and communication to understand leaders' initial disposition toward the intervention topics and how that may have changed after the intervention. Second, to understand the dissemination and amplification of the intervention content, we evaluate discussions about these topics between church members as well as between members and leaders before and after the workshop.

Methods

Setting and recruitment

The data for this study were collected as a part of a stepped wedge cluster-randomized control in the area surrounding Muhuru Bay, Kenya a rural community in Migori County situated on the shores of Lake Victoria. At the time of the study, the region had a very high HIV prevalence rate of around 15% and little formal mental health care available nearby (NASCO, 2009). The division was also mostly Christian (Puffer *et al.*, 2016). The intervention was a church-based family mental health promotion and HIV prevention effort developed through community-based participatory methods and formative qualitative and quantitative work on psychosocial risk factors for HIV risk (Puffer *et al.*, 2011, 2012a, 2012b). The resulting Resilience Education And skills Development for Youth and families (READY) program was a culturally adapted, 9-week, lay-provided church program aimed at improving family relationships as a primary protective factor against risky sexual behavior. Drawing from behavioral family communication, HIV prevention, behavioral parent training and cognitive behavioral interventions, READY contained three 2-hour modules focused on economic empowerment, emotional support and HIV education and prevention. The first hour focused on family communication, while the second-hour split youth and caregivers for skills practice. READY also facilitated weekly discussion groups with church leaders

focused on identifying ways for church leaders to provide additional teaching and support for families during and after the intervention. The intervention is described in further detail in the primary outcomes paper (Puffer et al., 2016).

Of the 56 churches in the division, four were randomly selected for participation. Families from each church with youth between the ages of 10 and 16 years were invited to participate. Research assistants interviewed both church leaders and members with a standard survey translated into the local Dholuo language at five timepoints between September 2010 and September 2011. Surveys were administered at least once before the intervention and once 1 month after the intervention for all churches. An additional 3 months after the intervention, survey was administered for the two churches that began the intervention first. The Institutional Review Boards at Duke University and Kenya Medical Research Institute provided formal ethical approval and a community advisory committee was also involved in the decision-making process for the intervention.

Church leader survey

At each timepoint, leaders from each of the four churches were given a survey asking about a variety of their beliefs and behaviors. Among these were a series of four questions about seven general topics covered in the intervention: family relationships, family finances, emotional problems, parenting, sexuality, HIV/AIDS and condom use. Exact questions and response options are listed in Figure 1. Leaders were asked about whether the church should teach about these topics (Yes, No, Maybe), the knowledge and comfort of the leader in teaching about them (Very, Somewhat, Not Very) and the frequency with which the pastor actually teaches and discusses the topics with church members. Leaders reported frequency of sermons and lessons both as a categorical estimate for the times they taught on these topics in the past year (More than once per month, Once per month, A few times per year, Never) and as a count of times they taught on these topics in the past 1 month period. This past month's question was erroneously omitted at the first timepoint, resulting in missing baseline data for half of the churches. We therefore excluded baseline data for this question in the main analysis (but are reported in Supplementary Appendix Table A1). Frequency of discussions with church members was also assessed categorically (Often, Sometimes, Rarely, Never). The responses to these questions immediately before the intervention and at the 1-month timepoint were then aggregated as percentages with missing responses removed. Due to the stepped wedge design, half of the churches did not have 3-month results. These were therefore excluded from descriptive figures (but are available in Supplementary Appendix Table A1). Because the outcomes of the primary analysis were highly uncorrelated across churches intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC of .06), responses for this secondary analysis were aggregated across churches.

Congregation member survey

All church members who participated in the intervention, both caregivers and youth, were also given a survey asking about a variety of intervention outcomes. They were also asked a series of questions about how often in the past 3 months they "discussed and exchanged ideas" about any of the seven intervention topics mentioned above with a church member (member-member) and how often they "asked for advice" from these topics from a church leader

(member-leader). The response categories were binned with more precision at lower numbers of discussions and less at higher numbers (0, 1, 2, 3–5, 6–10, 11–20, 20+ times). As in the leader survey, responses to these questions immediately before the intervention and at the 1-month timepoint were then aggregated as percentages with the small number of missing responses removed. Again, 3-month data missing due to the stepped wedge design were excluded from descriptive figures (see Supplementary Appendix Table A2) but were included in regression equations controlled for with a categorical time interval parameter. Finally, responses from each variable were converted to binary variables for analysis in a logistic regression, where 0 = no discussion and 1 = one or more discussions.

To explore change across all timepoints at the individual level, mixed-effects logistic regression equations were specified for each variable asking about a unique set of respondent (caregiver or youth), subject (leader or member) and topic sets, resulting in 28 unique regression equations. All regression equations estimated fixed-effects parameters for the post-intervention effect and categorical time interval as well as a random intercept for participant identifier to account for within-subjects random effect (Li et al., 2021). Random effects for church and household level clustering were also included in initial models but, due to very small amounts of between-household and between-church variance on most outcomes, these models resulted in virtually identical estimates and model fitting errors so were removed in the final models. For all models, age, gender, membership in the majority Luo tribe and household income were included as fixed-effects covariates and youth models also included school attendance and orphan status as covariates. The post-intervention fixed effects were converted to odds ratios (ORs), and confidence intervals for all models were constructed at the 95% significance level. Regression analyses were conducted in R (R Core Team, 2019) using the package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015).

Results

A total of 17 church leaders, four or five leaders from each of the four churches, participated in the leader survey. The group included both men and women in a variety of leadership positions: pastors, deacons/elders, youth ministry leaders and women's ministry leaders. From those churches, a total of 440 members participated in the study, including 202 caregivers and 237 youth from 147 households distributed approximately equally across churches. The average household size was 6.6 members (standard deviation [SD] = 2.6) and less than 10% had only one caregiver. More than half (60%) of caregivers were women, half were from the area's majority Luo tribe and the average age was 38 years with most caregivers being between 30 and 46 years. More than half of the youth (52%) were female, 60% belonged to the Luo tribe and the mean age of the youth was 12 years with most on the younger end of eligibility between 10 and 14 years. Almost all children were in school and more than a third had lost either one or both biological parents. Demographic characteristics are described in Table 1 as well as in further detail in the primary outcomes paper (Puffer et al., 2016).

Church leader responses

Church leader survey responses are reported graphically in Figure 1 (see Supplementary Appendix A1 for full tabular results). At




Figure 1. Odds of post-intervention change from 0 to 1 or more discussions of each topic, estimated from within-subjects mixed-effects logistic regressions.

Table 1. Church member population characteristics

Variables	Caregiver (N = 202)	Youth (N = 237)
Age (mean, range)	38 (30–46)	12 (10–14)
Female	121 (60%)	123 (52%)
Luo tribe	102 (50%)	143 (60%)
Attend school		234 (99%)
Orphan		86 (36%)
Church affiliation		
Church 1	54 (27%)	67 (28%)
Church 2	46 (23%)	41 (17%)
Church 3	51 (25%)	69 (29%)
Church 4	51 (25%)	60 (25%)

baseline, leaders universally believed the church *should* teach about family, parenting and emotional issues, and all but a few believed that the church *should* teach about finances, sexuality and HIV. The most disagreement was about whether the church *should* teach about condoms, although a majority of leaders (56%) still felt they *should*. Before the intervention, a large majority of leaders reported feeling *somewhat or very comfortable* teaching about each topic except condoms, with which a majority (56%) were *not very comfortable*. Three-fourths of leaders (75%) felt *very comfortable* teaching about parenting. Slightly fewer reported this comfort level for family, sexuality, HIV and emotional issues. Leaders reported feeling less knowledgeable than comfortable overall. Still less than a quarter (6–25%) said they were *not very knowledgeable* about family (25%), finances (19%), emotional issues (19%) and/or parenting (6%). However, nearly a third and a half said the same for sexuality (31%), HIV (38%) condoms (50%). Across categories, more leaders reported being *somewhat knowledgeable* than *very knowledgeable*.

A large majority of church leaders reported teaching about most topics at least a few times a year and 40–60% reported teaching about family, finances, emotions and/or parenting more than once a month. A fifth of leaders also reported never teaching about finances, sexuality, HIV and/or condoms. When asked about discussions with individual church members, a majority of leaders said that they discuss finances, sexuality and condoms rarely or never, while they discuss family, emotional issues and HIV sometimes or often, and parenting often.

One month after the intervention, all leaders without exception felt they *should* teach about all topics. Comfort and knowledge improved across all topics as well, with especially large gains for teaching about condoms for both. The frequency with which leaders taught about these topics also increased as did the number of discussions with church members; the exception was parenting which leaders reported discussing less often afterward, though still more frequently than all other topics apart from HIV/AIDS. Every topic was discussed by at least half of the leaders at least *sometimes* after the intervention.

Caregiver discussions

Full results related to members' discussions with leaders and fellow members are presented in [Figure 2](#) (see

[Supplementary Appendix A2](#) for full tabular results). In the 3 months before the baseline survey, most caregivers had at least one discussion with other church members and leaders about parenting, family and emotional issues, though more caregivers reported slightly more discussions with other members than leaders. On the contrary, a majority of caregivers had not discussed finances, sexuality, HIV/AIDS or condoms with church members or leaders. Again though, slightly more caregivers discussed these topics were with other members than leaders. One month after the intervention, caregivers reported discussing all topics more frequently with both other members and their leaders. Generally, topics with lower levels of baseline discussion saw large increases in discussion. For example, the large number of caregivers who reported never discussing condoms decreased by more than a quarter for discussions with other members (28%) and leaders (25%). Topics that were already frequently discussed saw only a slight positive increase in discussion. For example, the large numbers of caregivers who discussed parenting with other church members and leaders increased only 2% and 3%, respectively, after the intervention.

Youth discussions

At baseline, youth reported fewer discussions with church members and leaders about all topics, relative to caregivers. In fact, most youth did not report discussing these topics at all with other church members or leaders. Patterns in youth discussion mirrored those of caregiver discussions with parental issues, family and emotional issues being the topics most commonly discussed and finances, sexuality, HIV/AIDS and especially condoms most frequently never discussed. More topics were discussed with church members than church leaders at least some of the time among youth (8% more on average). After the intervention, more youth reported more frequent discussions across all topics, with larger increases in the topics less discussed at baseline. Discussing condoms at all, for example, increased 15% between youth and leaders and 20% between youth and other members. Still, apart from parenting, more than half of the youth did not report discussing each of these topics with members or leaders.

Mixed-effects logistic regression models

The ORs and 95% confidence intervals estimated from within-subjects mixed-effects logistic regression models are reported in [Figure 3](#) (see [Supplementary Appendix Table A3](#) for tabular results). Odds of discussion were highest across all dyads for discussions about the initially less discussed topics of finances, HIV/AIDS, sexuality and especially condoms (ORs ranged from 2.5 to 8.6, all $p < 0.001$). Changes in discussion of parenting, family and emotional issues were lower and varied more across discussion pairs. While the odds of youth discussing these topics with church members were two times greater after the intervention (ORs 2.1–2.4, all $p < 0.001$), the odds of youth discussing them with leaders were lower and statistically significant at the $p = 0.05$ level only for family (OR:1.6, $p < 0.05$) and emotional issues (OR:1.7, $p < .05$) but not parenting (1.1, $p = .07$). The odds of caregivers talking with church members about family issues was 2.9 times higher ($p < .001$) and 1.7 times higher for discussions about emotional issues ($p < 0.05$). Discussions with leaders were not associated with significantly higher odds for family (OR:1.4, $p = 0.15$) or emotional issues (OR:1.5, $p = 0.15$). Consistent with




Figure 2. Total percentages of church leader responses by question, before and 1 month after intervention.




Figure 3. Total percentage of discussion frequency, before and 1 month after intervention. Dots represent odds ratio point estimates and lines represent 95% confidence intervals for post-intervention fixed effects from each logistic regression.

the descriptive results, no statistically significant change in caregiver discussions about parenting occurred with members ($p = 0.11$) or leaders ($p = 0.55$).

Discussion

Collaborative interventions with religious congregations have the potential to increase access to knowledge and services that are often otherwise inaccessible. Despite this potential, we know very little about how these interventions' effect changes and under what circumstances. In this study, we contribute to FBHP research to explore these mechanisms in a church-based intervention to improve family relationships, promote mental health and reduce HIV risk. We therefore explored baseline and post-intervention changes on specific interactions from leaders, among church members, and between leaders and their members.

Frequent baseline discussion of mental health and family topics

A primary result from our baseline findings is that church members and leaders already discussed many of these topics frequently. Before the intervention, church leaders expressed that they already felt it was their role to teach about all the intervention topics and they had some level of comfort or knowledge about them. They were also already teaching about many of the topics and discussing them with church members. This was especially true for topics related to family relationships, parenting and emotional problems. For these topics, there was also a good deal of discussion among church members and even between members and leaders before the intervention, particularly for caregivers. Even for topics such as sexuality and finances that were less discussed at baseline, at least a quarter of caregivers and a small number of youth still reported talking about them.

The finding that these topics are already widely discussed suggests that churches in this region are already interested and engaged with family well-being and mental health – and even, to a lesser extent, areas like sexuality or HIV. This is in line with findings from sociological research on congregational responses to HIV that report both institutional and informal responses (Trinitapoli,

2006; Agadjanian and Sen, 2007; Agadjanian and Menjivar, 2008; Adams and Trinitapoli, 2009). Qualitative studies with clergy and congregations in other African countries also suggest that family well-being and mental health are of great interest to religious organizations beyond this region (Agadjanian and Sen, 2007; Teuton et al., 2007; Agara et al., 2008; Bryant-Davis et al., 2011; van Dijk, 2013; Asamoah et al., 2014; Salifu Yendork et al., 2016; Moodley, 2018; Osei-Tutu et al., 2019). A small number of intervention studies illustrate creative and successful ways this shared interest can result in successful collaboration between public health organizations and religious congregations (Iheanacho et al., 2015; Giusto et al., 2020; Puffer et al., 2020; Zoellner et al., 2021).

Recognizing the overlapping interests of religious and public health organizations is an important starting place but must not ignore important differences in approach and priority. Neglecting these differences risks perpetuating an exploitative history of engagement with African spirituality as an instrumental resource for biomedical priorities (Winiger, 2022). Instead, recognizing differences can open space to learn how these tensions are pragmatically negotiated already by people such as community health workers, nurses and lay counselors (Langwick, 2015; Read, 2019; Kaiser et al., 2023). Future work to understand these differences and how they are negotiated would provide an important foundation for the success of collaboration with congregations. This requires a better understanding of what religious congregations are already doing in relation to mental health and family well-being, how they view this work, and what organizational structures support it. Qualitative research is especially needed here to describe not only what people discuss and how often but the actual content and meaning of these discussions socially, culturally, and in relation to congregants' own identities. Of particular interest are places of existing tension and overlap with other health infrastructure and practices. This could be in the context of the process study of collaborative programs, as in this study, or in existing congregational settings like church counseling practices (van Dijk, 2013; Osei-Tutu et al., 2019, 2020).

Increased discussion of sensitive topics

We also observed a substantial post-intervention increase in discussion of topics such as sexual health and finances that were

initially discussed least frequently. Although there were changes to discussion in other areas, these were the most pronounced and universal changes, even controlling for individual covariates. Even after the intervention, however, these topics were not discussed very frequently, especially among youth and with leaders. While this study did not examine what led to these discrepancies, other studies attest to cultural and religious norms that discourage discussions about sexuality, intergenerational communication and private matters generally which may explain the low levels of communication among youth and with leaders (Prazak, 2000; Muturi, 2005; Njue *et al.*, 2011; Adams *et al.*, 2012; Abdallah *et al.*, 2017). Gender differences between more female church membership and more male leadership may also play an important, albeit complex, role (Yeatman and Trinitapoli, 2008). Given this context, that very few youth reported discussing condoms with other church members or leaders, for example, may be unsurprising. What remains notable is the substantial increase in the odds that they would have these discussions after this church-based intervention.

These findings highlight the potential of collaboration with religious organizations on issues that are less often discussed in these settings. Congregations have not always been seen as natural partners for intervention in sensitive areas like sexual health, partially due to concern about their role in promoting stigmatizing messages about marginalized community members (including people living with HIV) and reinforcing conservative gender norms, but also due to their relatively limited resources and small scale of influence (Epstein, 2005; Agadjanian and Sen, 2007; Campbell *et al.*, 2011). At the same time, there is a recognition that congregations occupy an important space in local communities and, where it is possible, collaboration can be impactful and even critical around areas of contrasting moral messaging (Pfeiffer, 2004; Campbell *et al.*, 2011). We discussed several notable examples of this type of productive collaboration earlier (Willms *et al.*, 2004, 2011; Downs *et al.*, 2013, 2017; Aristide *et al.*, 2020).

Our results suggest that lack of discussion does not mean the absence of interest or possibility for discussion. Knowledge and comfort from leaders increased substantially along with congregation-wide discussion of topics such as HIV and condom use. This type of change underscores an especially important aspect of community-embedded interventions like this one – increasing social support and decreasing stigma can serve as a key feature in enhancing intervention outcomes (Puffer and Ayuku, 2022). Combating stigma and increasing social support for treatment are critical to improving sexual and mental health outcomes (Takada *et al.*, 2014; Schnyder *et al.*, 2017; Rasmussen *et al.*, 2019). By directly engaging with leaders about their beliefs, roles and actions as well as encouraging frequent positive conversation around key topics, interventions within existing social groups like religious congregations may address social norms and stigma more directly than widespread educational campaigns. Informal conversation, especially trusted social interaction like discussion with another church member, may be especially important since prior research suggests this is where formal institutional messages are translated into practice (Agadjanian and Menjivar, 2008). In this study, we did not assess stigma or social norms directly and further work is needed to understand how informal discussion in a community affects these important outcomes.

The increase in congregation-wide discussions also raises the issue of sustainability. On one level, this is simply a question of duration of change. Our data here are limited to a relatively short horizon of change: 1 month after the intervention for all churches and, in the regression equations, 3 months after the intervention for

the two churches that started earliest. Future work with longer timelines is certainly needed. At another level, however, this study asks what systems-level dynamics this intervention might have changed in the congregation that could sustain future change (Moore *et al.*, 2019). In line with this systems perspective, Hawe *et al.* (2009) describe four key areas to explore: contextual fit and changes in relationships, resources and activities. Our data offer a limited window into two of these: contextual fit and the way relationships may have changed. However, future work in congregations could extend this further by exploring changes in other specific activities and resources that could affect sustainability. These could include things like changes in regular worship or prayer gatherings, annual budgets, recognized ministry roles, or institutional partnerships. Assessing change in these areas likely requires additional methods more suited to community research than individual change, such as social network analysis or participant observation. Ultimately, this approach could help identify activities related to mental health and family well-being that are effective and feasible but also that sustain them as an integral part of congregational life.

Limitations

While we have discussed areas for further work above, we also want to highlight several important limitations of this study. First, this analysis was not powered to establish discussion frequency as a moderator of the primary outcomes. As an exploratory analysis, it serves primarily to describe changes in the intervention process. Future analyses are needed to establish more clearly how congregational discussions may impact intervention outcomes, such as through changes in social norms, reinforcement of knowledge, or other intervention processes. Second, self-reported results are susceptible to various biases due to the inability to blind participants. Study procedures mitigated this as much as possible by carefully training research assistants, using control groups, and methods that emphasize within-subjects differences. Third, what participants reported as a discussion is somewhat ambiguous and, at 1 month after the intervention, could have included the intervention activities themselves alongside subsequent conversations. At 3 months after the intervention, participants reported frequencies only slightly lower than 1 month; however, suggesting intervention activities themselves were unlikely the primary discussions people reported at 1 month. Fourth, we caution against the direct application of our results to other settings in the region. Still, we view this as a meaningful contribution to a small but growing literature on community mental health intervention research in African countries. Collaborations with congregations in other parts of Kenya and even other African countries will continue to make clear what aspects of our findings are limited to this specific context. Finally, this study was conducted between 2010 and 2011. In learning from this work, future research should take into account how changes in religious practice, community organization and communication would affect congregational interventions presently. The much more widespread use of mobile phones, for example, may present different opportunities for interaction such as chat groups that may need to be accounted for in different ways.

Conclusion

By exploring how a set of churches in Muhuru Bay, Kenya talk about family, sexual health and mental health, our analysis furthers

the case for the potential of religious congregations as sites of health intervention. Partnerships around issues of mutual interest, like mental health promotion, may be obvious places to start with further collaboration. HIV and sexual health also may have great potential for destigmatizing conversation and reaching people outside of typical interventions. These partnerships may be especially successful in similar communities in Kenya and elsewhere where congregations are a central part of community infrastructure and clinical services are not accessible. To realize the potential for sustainable and mutually beneficial collaboration, further work must describe religious congregations as settings of mental health care, both in existing and novel ways.

Open peer review. To view the open peer review materials for this article, please visit <http://doi.org/10.1017/gmh.2024.44>.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <http://doi.org/10.1017/gmh.2024.44>.

Data availability statement. Data cannot be made available due to restrictions in the original ethical approval.

Acknowledgements. Community Advisory Board, intervention facilitators, research assistants, the Women's Institute for Secondary Education and Research and the Africa Mental Health Foundation.

Author contribution. Conceptualization: J.R., E.P., E.G.; Data curation: J.R., E.G.; Formal Analysis: J.R.; Funding acquisition: E.P.; Investigation: E.P.; Methodology: J.R., E.P., E.G.; Project administration: E.P.; Visualization: J.R.; Writing—original draft: J.R.; Writing—review & editing: J.R., E.P., E.G., S.L., Y.O., F.J.

Financial support. Funding was provided by the NIH Fogarty International Center, Johnson & Johnson, the Duke Global Health Institute, and the Duke Center for AIDS Research.

Competing interest. The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethics statement. The Institutional Review Boards at Duke University and Kenya Medical Research Institute provided formal ethical approval and a community advisory committee was also involved in the decision-making process for the intervention.

References

- Abdallah AK, Magata RJ and Sylvester JN (2017) Barriers to parent-child communication on sexual and reproductive health issues in East Africa: A review of qualitative research in four countries. *Journal of African Studies and Development* 9(4), 45–50. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JASD2016.0410>.
- Adams G, Kurtiş T, Salter PS and Anderson SL (2012) A cultural psychology of relationship: Decolonizing science and practice. In Gillath O, Adams G and Kunkel A (eds), *Relationship Science: Integrating Evolutionary, Neuroscience, and Sociocultural Approaches*. Washington: American Psychological Association, pp. 49–70. <https://doi.org/10.1037/13489-003>.
- Adams J and Trinitapoli J (2009) The Malawi religion project: Data collection and selected analyses. *Demographic Research* 21(4), 255–288. <https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2009.21.10>.
- Agadjanian V and Menjivar C (2008) Talking about the “Epidemic of the millennium”: Religion, informal communication, and HIV/AIDS in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Social Problems* 55(3), 301–321. <https://doi.org/10.1525/sp.2008.55.3.301>.
- Agadjanian V and Sen S (2007) Promises and challenges of faith-based AIDS care and support in Mozambique. *American Journal of Public Health* 97(2), 362–366. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2006.085662>.
- Agara AJ, Makanjuola AB and Morakinyo OB (2008) Management of perceived mental health problems by spiritual healers: A Nigerian study. *African Journal of Psychiatry* 11(2), 113–118. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajpsy.v11i2.30262>.
- Agwuwa C, Carrasco T, Odongo N and Riblet N (2023) Barriers to treatment as a hindrance to health and wellbeing of individuals with mental illnesses in Africa: A systematic review. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction* 21, 2354–2370. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-021-00726-5>.
- Aristide C, Mwakisole A, Mwakisole N, Emmanuel M, Laizer E, Kihunrwa A, Downs D, Wamoyi J and Downs J (2020) Design and pilot testing of a church-based intervention to address interpersonal and intrapersonal barriers to uptake of family planning in rural Tanzania: A qualitative implementation study. *BMJ Sexual & Reproductive Health* 46(3), 226–233. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsex-2019-200505>.
- Asamoah MK, Osafo J and Agyapong I (2014) The role of Pentecostal clergy in mental health-care delivery in Ghana. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture* 17(6), 601–614. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2013.871628>.
- Baruth M, Wilcox S and Saunders R (2013) The role of pastor support in a faith-based health promotion intervention. *Family & Community Health* 36(3), 204–214. <https://doi.org/10.1097/FCH.0b013e31828e6733>.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B and Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67(1), 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>.
- Beard M, Chuang E, Haughton J and Arredondo EM (2016) Determinants of implementation effectiveness in a physical activity program for church-going Latinas. *Family & Community Health* 39(4), 225–233. <https://doi.org/10.1097/FCH.0000000000000122>.
- Bopp M, Baruth M, Peterson JA and Webb BL (2013) Leading their flocks to health? Clergy health and the role of clergy in faith-based health promotion interventions. *Family & Community Health* 36(3), 182–192. <https://doi.org/10.1097/FCH.0b013e31828e671c>.
- Bryant-Davis T, Cooper K, Marks A, Smith K and Tillman S (2011) Sexual assault recovery in the aftermath of the Liberian civil war: Forging a sisterhood between feminist psychology and feminist theology. *Women & Therapy* 34(3), 314–330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02703149.2011.580689>.
- Burns JK and Tomita A (2015) Traditional and religious healers in the pathway to care for people with mental disorders in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 50(6), 867–877. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-014-0989-7>.
- Campbell C, Skovdal M and Gibbs A (2011) Creating social spaces to tackle AIDS-related stigma: Reviewing the role of church groups in Sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS and Behavior* 15(6), 1204–1219. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-010-9766-0>.
- Chidrawi HC, Greeff M, Temane QM and Ellis S (2015) Changeover-time in psychosocial wellbeing of people living with HIV and people living close to them after an HIV stigma reduction and wellness enhancement community intervention. *African Journal of AIDS Research* 14(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16085906.2014.961940>.
- Codjoe L, Barber S, Ahuja S, Thornicroft G, Henderson C, Lempp H and N'Danga-Koroma J (2021) Evidence for interventions to promote mental health and reduce stigma in black faith communities: Systematic review. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 56(6), 895–911. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-021-02068-y>.
- Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA and Lowery JC (2009) Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: A consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implementation Science* 4(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-4-50>.
- DeHaven MJ, Hunter IB, Wilder L, Walton JW and Berry J (2004) Health programs in faith-based organizations: Are they effective? *American Journal of Public Health* 94(6), 1030–1036. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.94.6.1030>.
- Derose KP and Rodriguez C (2020) A systematic review of church-based health interventions among Latinos. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health* 22(4), 795–815. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-019-00941-2>.
- Downs JA, Fuunay LD, Fuunay M, Mbago M, Mwakisole A, Peck RN and Downs DJ (2013) “The body we leave behind”: A qualitative study of obstacles and opportunities for increasing uptake of male circumcision among Tanzanian Christians. *BMJ Open; London* 3(5). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002802>.
- Downs JA, Mwakisole AH, Chandika AB, Lugoba S, Kassim R, Laizer E, Magambo KA, Lee MH, Kalluvya SE, Downs DJ and Fitzgerald DW (2017)

- Educating religious leaders to promote uptake of male circumcision in Tanzania: A cluster randomised trial. *Lancet* **389**(10074), 1124–1132. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)32055-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)32055-4).
- Epstein H** (2005, April 28) God and the fight against AIDS. *The New York Review of Books* **52**(7). Retrieved from <https://www.nybooks.com/articles/2005/04/28/god-and-the-fight-against-aids/>.
- Flórez KR, Payán DD, Derose KP, Aunon FM and Bogart LM** (2017) Process evaluation of a peer-driven, HIV stigma reduction and HIV testing intervention in Latino and African American churches. *Health Equity* **1**(1), 109–117. <https://doi.org/10.1089/heap.2017.0009>.
- Garzon FL and Tilley KA** (2009) Do lay Christian counseling approaches work? What we currently know. *Journal of Psychology and Christianity* **28**(2), 130–140.
- Giusto A, Green EP, Simmons RA, Ayuku D, Patel P and Puffer ES** (2020) A multiple baseline study of a brief alcohol reduction and family engagement intervention for fathers in Kenya. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **88**(8), 708–725. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000559>.
- Hankerson SH and Weissman MM** (2012) Church-based health programs for mental disorders among African Americans: A review. *Psychiatric Services* **63**(3), 243–249. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201100216>.
- Haughton J, Takemoto ML, Schneider J, Hooker SP, Rabin B, Brownson RC and Arredondo EM** (2020) Identifying barriers, facilitators, and implementation strategies for a faith-based physical activity program. *Implementation Science Communications* **1**(1), 51. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43058-020-00043-3>.
- Hawe P, Shiell A and Riley T** (2009) Theorising interventions as events in systems. *American Journal of Community Psychology* **43**(3), 267–276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-009-9229-9>.
- Hays K and Aranda MP** (2016) Faith-based mental health interventions with African Americans: A review. *Research on Social Work Practice* **26**(7), 777–789. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049731515569356>.
- Iheanacho T, Obiefune M, Ezeanolue CO, Ogedegbe G, Nwyanwu OC, Ehiri JE, Ohaeri J and Ezeanolue EE** (2015) Integrating mental health screening into routine community maternal and child health activity: Experience from prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) trial in Nigeria. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **50**(3), 489–495. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-014-0952-7>.
- Kaiser B, Kaufman J, Wall JT, Healy EAF, Ayuku D, Aarons GA and Puffer E** (2023) Assessing ad-hoc adaptations' alignment with therapeutic goals: A qualitative study of lay counselor-delivered family therapy in Eldoret, Kenya. *Implementation Science Communications* **4**(1), 105. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43058-023-00477-5>.
- Kovess-Masfety V, Evans-Lacko S, Williams D, Andrade LH, Benjet C, Have MT, Wardenaar K, Karam EG, Bruffaerts R, Abdumalik J, Abad JMH, Florescu S, Wu B, De Jonge P, Altwaijri Y, Hinkov H, Kawakami N, Caldas-de-Almeida JM, Bromet E, de Girolamo G, Posada-Villa J, Al-Hamzawi A, Huang Y, Hu C, Viana MC, Fayyad J, Medina-Mora ME, Demlytenaere K, Lepine J-P, Murphy S, Xavier M, Takehima T and Gureje O** (2017) The role of religious advisors in mental health care in the world mental health surveys. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **52**(3), 353–367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-016-1290-8>.
- Langwick SA** (2015) Partial publics: The political promise of traditional medicine in Africa. *Current Anthropology* **56**(4), 493–514. <https://doi.org/10.1086/682285>.
- Li F, Hughes JP, Hemming K, Taljaard M, Melnick ER and Heagerty PJ** (2021) Mixed-effects models for the design and analysis of stepped wedge cluster randomized trials: An overview. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research* **30**(2), 612–639. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280220932962>.
- Lumpkins CY, Greiner KA, Daley C, Mabachi NM and Neuhaus K** (2013) Promoting healthy behavior from the pulpit: Clergy share their perspectives on effective health communication in the African American church. *Journal of Religion and Health* **52**(4), 1093–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-011-9533-1>.
- Moodley PJ** (2018) Conceptions of depression of Muslim clergy in a Faith-based Organization in South Africa. *Journal of Muslim Mental Health* **12**(1). <https://doi.org/10.3998/jmmh.10381607.0012.104>.
- Moore GF, Evans RE, Hawkins J, Littlecott H, Melendez-Torres GJ, Bonell C and Murphy S** (2019) From complex social interventions to interventions in complex social systems: Future directions and unresolved questions for intervention development and evaluation. *Evaluation* **25**(1), 23–45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356389018803219>.
- Muturi NW** (2005) Communication for HIV/AIDS prevention in Kenya: Social-cultural considerations. *Journal of Health Communication* **10**(1), 77–98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730590904607>.
- NASCOP** (2009) *2007 Kenya AIDS Indicator Survey: Final Report*, Nairobi: National AIDS/STI Control Programme. Retrieved from http://guidelines.health.go.ke:8000/media/KAIS_2007_Final.pdf.
- Njue C, Voeten H and Ahlberg B** (2011) 'Youth in a void': Sexuality, HIV/AIDS and communication in Kenyan public schools. *Sex Education* **11**(4), 459–470. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681811.2011.595271>.
- Ojagbemi A and Gureje O** (2020) The importance of faith-based mental healthcare in African urbanized sites. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry* **33**(3), 271–277. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0000000000000590>.
- Osei-Tutu A, Dzokoto VA and Afram AA** (2019) Common presenting problems in religious lay counselling practice in Ghana. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture* **22**(8), 819–831. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2019.1666096>.
- Osei-Tutu A, Oti-Boadi M, Akosua Afram A, Dzokoto VA, Asante PY, Agyei F and Kenin A** (2020) Premarital counseling practices among Christian and Muslim lay counselors in Ghana. *Journal of Pastoral Care & Counseling* **74**(3), 203–211.
- Payán DD, Flórez KR, Bogart LM, Kanouse DE, Mata MA, Oden CW and Derose KP** (2019) Promoting health from the pulpit: A process evaluation of HIV sermons to reduce HIV stigma and promote testing in African American and Latino churches. *Health Communication* **34**(1), 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2017.1384352>.
- Pew Research Center** (2010) *Tolerance and tension: Islam and Christianity in sub-Saharan Africa*. Pew Forum on Religion & Public Life, 1615 L Street NW Suite 700.
- Pfeiffer J** (2004) Condom social marketing, Pentecostalism, and structural adjustment in Mozambique: A clash of AIDS prevention messages. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly* **18**(1), 77–103. <https://doi.org/10.1525/maq.2004.18.1.77>.
- Prazak M** (2000) Talking about sex: Contemporary construction of sexuality in Rural Kenya. *Africa Today* **47**, 83–97.
- Puffer ES and Ayuku D** (2022) A community-embedded implementation model for mental-health interventions: Reaching the hardest to reach. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **17**, 1276–1290. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916211049362>.
- Puffer ES, Drabkin AS, Stashko AL, Broverman SA, Ogwang-Odhiambo RA and Sikkema KJ** (2012a) Orphan status, HIV risk behavior, and mental health among adolescents in rural Kenya. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* **37**(8), 868–878. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jss077>.
- Puffer ES, Friis Healy E, Green EP, Giusto AM, Kaiser BN, Patel P and Ayuku, D** (2020) Family functioning and mental health changes following a family therapy intervention in Kenya: A pilot trial. *Journal of Child and Family Studies* **29**, 3493–3508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-020-01816-z>.
- Puffer ES, Green E, Sikkema K, Broverman S, Odhiambo R and Pian J** (2016) A church-based intervention for families to promote mental health and prevent HIV among adolescents in rural Kenya: Results of a randomized trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **84**, 511–525. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000076>.
- Puffer ES, Meade CS, Drabkin AS, Broverman SA, Ogwang-Odhiambo RA and Sikkema KJ** (2011) Individual- and family-level psychosocial correlates of HIV risk behavior among youth in rural Kenya. *AIDS and Behavior* **15**(6), 1264–1274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-010-9823-8>.
- Puffer ES, Watt MH, Sikkema KJ, Ogwang-Odhiambo RA and Broverman SA** (2012b) The protective role of religious coping in adolescents' responses to poverty and sexual decision-making in rural Kenya. *Journal of Research on Adolescence: The Official Journal of the Society for Research on Adolescence* **22**(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7795.2011.00760.x>.
- R Core Team** (2019) R: A language and environment for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <https://www.R-project.org>.
- Rasmussen JD, Kakuhikire B, Baguma C, Ashaba S, Cooper-Vince CE, Perkins JM, Bangsberg DR and Tsai AC** (2019) Portrayals of mental illness, treatment, and relapse and their effects on the stigma of mental illness:

- Population-based, randomized survey experiment in rural Uganda. *PLoS Medicine* **16**(9), e1002908. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002908>.
- Read UM** (2019) Rights as relationships: Collaborating with faith healers in community mental health in Ghana. *Culture, Medicine, and Psychiatry* **43**(4), 613–635. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11013-019-09648-3>.
- Salifu Yendork J, Kpobi L and Sarfo EA** (2016) It's only 'madness' that I know: Analysis of how mental illness is conceptualised by congregants of selected charismatic churches in Ghana. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture* **19**(9), 984–999. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2017.1285877>.
- Schnyder N, Panczak R, Groth N and Schultze-Lutter F** (2017) Association between mental health-related stigma and active help-seeking: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **210**(04), 261–268. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.116.189464>.
- Takada S, Weiser SD, Kumbakumba E, Muzoora C, Martin JN, Hunt PW, Haberer JE, Kawuma A, Bangsberg DR and Tsai AC** (2014) The dynamic relationship between social support and HIV-related stigma in rural Uganda. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* **48**(1), 26–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-013-9576-5>.
- Teuton J, Bentall R and Dowrick C** (2007) Conceptualizing psychosis in Uganda: The perspective of indigenous and religious healers. *Transcultural Psychiatry* **44**(1), 79–114. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461507074976>.
- Trinitapoli J** (2006) Religious responses to AIDS in Sub-Saharan Africa: An examination of religious congregations in rural Malawi. *Review of Religious Research* **47**, 253–270.
- Trinitapoli J** (2015) Aids and religious life in Malawi: Rethinking how population dynamics shape culture. *Population* **70**(2), 245–272.
- Tristão Parra M, Porfírio GJM, Arredondo EM and Atallah ÁN** (2018) Physical activity interventions in faith-based organizations: A systematic review. *American Journal of Health Promotion* **32**(3), 677–690. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890117116688107>.
- van der Watt ASJ, van de Water T, Nortje G, Oladeji BD, Seedat S, Gureje O and Partnership for Mental Health Development in Sub-Saharan Africa (PaM-D) Research Team** (2018) The perceived effectiveness of traditional and faith healing in the treatment of mental illness: A systematic review of qualitative studies. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **53**(6), 555–566. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-018-1519-9>.
- van Dijk R** (2013) Counselling and Pentecostal modalities of social engineering of relationships in Botswana. *Culture, Health & Sexuality* **15**(sup4), S509–S522. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2013.825927>.
- Williams MV, Palar K and Derosé KP** (2011) Congregation-based programs to address HIV/AIDS: Elements of successful implementation. *Journal of Urban Health* **88**(3), 517–532. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-010-9526-5>.
- Willms DG, Arratia M-I and Makondesa P** (2004) Malawi faith communities responding to HIV/AIDS: Preliminary findings of a knowledge translation and participatory-action research (PAR) project. *African Journal of AIDS Research* **3**(1), 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16085900409490315>.
- Willms DG, Arratia M-I and Makondesa P** (2011) Can interfaith research partnerships develop new paradigms for condom use and HIV prevention? The implementation of conceptual events in Malawi results in a “spiritualised condom”. *Sexually Transmitted Infections; London* **87**(7), 611. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2011-050045>.
- Winiger F** (2022) The spirituality of others and the WHO discourse on traditional medicine. In Peng-Keller S, Winiger F and Rauch R, (eds.), *The Spirit of Global Health: The World Health Organization and the “Spiritual Dimension” of Health, 1946–2021*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192865502.003.0005>.
- Yeary KHK, Klos LA and Linnan L** (2012) The examination of process evaluation use in church-based health interventions: A systematic review. *Health Promotion Practice* **13**(4), 524–534. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839910390358>.
- Yeatman SE and Trinitapoli J** (2008) Beyond denomination: The relationship between religion and family planning in rural Malawi. *Demographic Research* **19**(55), 1851–1882. <https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2008.19.55>.
- Zoellner LA, Bentley JA, Feeny NC, Klein AB, Dolezal ML, Angula DA and Egeh MH** (2021) Reaching the unreached: Bridging Islam and science to treat the mental wounds of war. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **12**, 599293. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2021.599293>.