

EXAMPLE REVIEW SCORECARD

Screenshot One



***Do you want to get recognition for this review on [Publons](#)?**

Don't let your reviewing work go unnoticed! Researchers the world over use Publons to effortlessly track their valuable peer review contributions for any journal. If you opt in, your Publons profile will automatically be updated to show a verified record of this review in full compliance with the journal's review policy. If you don't have a Publons profile, you will be prompted to create a free account. [Learn more about Publons](#)

- Yes
 No

Open Peer Review

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | * I understand that should this article be accepted, my review will be published online with the article, and that my name will be associated with my review. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | * I grant Cambridge University Press a non-exclusive licence to publish my review under a CC-BY (Creative Commons Attribution) open access licence. |

Overall Evaluation

*Answers must be 'yes' to each of these three questions for the experiment to be published.

- | | |
|---|--|
| * Is the experiment reported scientifically sound? | Select... <input type="button" value="v"/> |
| * Are the controls used in the experiment valid? | Select... <input type="button" value="v"/> |
| * Are the experimental methods well designed? | Select... <input type="button" value="v"/> |

Screenshot Two

(please indicate 1 for poor, 5 for excellent)	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation					
* Is the article written in clear and proper English? (30%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Is the data presented in the most useful manner? (40%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Does the paper cite relevant and related articles appropriately? (30%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Context					
* Does the title suitably represent the article? (25%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Does the abstract correctly embody the content of the article? (25%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Does the introduction give appropriate context? (25%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Is the objective of the experiment clearly defined? (25%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Analysis					
* Does the discussion adequately interpret the results presented? (40%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Is the conclusion consistent with the results and discussion? (40%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Are the limitations of the experiment as well as the contributions of the experiment clearly outlined? (20%)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Screenshot Three:

Additional Statistical Validation


*If sent to a statistical editor, the report must come back as positive for the paper to be published.

* Does the experiment require additional statistical validation (please note if you answer 'yes', the paper will be sent to a statistical editor).

Select... 

Conflict of Interest

* You must provide a Conflicts of Interest Declaration in the space provided below either (i) detailing any competing personal, professional or financial interests that could be perceived as an influence on your evaluation of the work under review, or (ii) confirming that no such competing interests exist by entering the response **"Reviewer declares none"**. By doing so, you certify that you have declared any and all conflicts of interest you have in relation to this manuscript, and understand that your declaration will be published as part of the open peer review process. If you do not include this declaration, we will not be able to use your review. Guidance on what your Conflicts of Interest Declaration should look like can be found in the [Instructions for Contributors](#).



Screenshot Four:

- Accept: where the paper is suitable for acceptance in its current form with no changes.
- Accept after minor revision: where there are points in the text that must be clarified or flaws to the presentation that can be corrected within a short period of time and do not require the paper being set out for further peer review (these revisions would be examined by the Reviewing Editor).
- Reject: where the changes required are more substantial, the paper should be rejected and the author asked to resubmit.

* Recommendation

- Accept
- Accept after minor revision
- Reject

Confidential Comments to the Reviewing Editor

ΩSpecial Characters

Comments entered in the following space will not be shared with the author(s) of the manuscript and will not be published as part of open peer review process.

*Comments to the Author

ΩSpecial Characters

Please limit to 250 words. Your review cannot be used if the length extends this..

Please note that this section will be published online as part of the review.

Save as Draft

Save & Print

Submit Review >