
Introduction

This book is devoted to representations of associative algebras and their homological theory. There are two basic approaches. The passage from representations to chain complexes of representations leads to the study of *derived categories*. The other approach identifies representations with appropriate functors; this leads to the study of *functor categories*. We offer an introduction to both approaches and present results which illustrate their beauty and importance.

History. The appearance of the book *Homological Algebra* by Cartan and Eilenberg in 1956 established the subject [46]. In the following year Grothendieck published his seminal paper *Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique* which inspired a whole generation [94]. Two students from the circle around Grothendieck then developed the foundations for the subject of this book. There is the thesis *Des catégories abéliennes* from 1960 by Peter Gabriel [79] and the thesis *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes* from 1967 by Jean-Louis Verdier [199]. Substantial parts of this book are devoted to explaining their work so that it can be applied to the study of representations.

Topics. We focus on representation theoretic results, most of which originate from the 1980s and early 1990s. A major part of the book is devoted to derived categories, and the notion of tilting plays an important role. Orthogonal decompositions provide another organisational principle for several results. The final part is about purity and involves the use of functor categories. The context for most results is the category of modules over a ring. When appropriate we work more generally with abelian categories, or we restrict to certain classes of modules or rings. For instance, of particular interest from the representation theory perspective are modules of finite length over Artin algebras.

The following is a list of topics and results which are treated in this book, beyond the foundational material discussed further below.

- *Gorenstein algebras*. The module category of a Gorenstein algebra admits an orthogonal decomposition into the subcategory of modules of finite projective dimension and the subcategory of Gorenstein projective modules. For Artin algebras the corresponding bounded derived category of modules of finite projective dimension and the stable category of Gorenstein projective modules admit Serre functors.
- *Tilting modules*. For Artin algebras there is a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of tilting modules and covariantly finite coresolving subcategories.
- *Characteristic tilting modules*. For every quasi-hereditary algebra there is a canonical tilting module; its indecomposable direct summands are precisely the indecomposable modules which have a standard and a costandard filtration.
- *Schur algebras*. Polynomial representations of general linear groups identify with modules over Schur algebras. Every Schur algebra is quasi-hereditary and the characteristic tilting modules are given by tensor products of exterior powers.
- *Happel's theorem*. A tilting object of an exact category induces a triangle equivalence between its bounded derived category and the category of perfect complexes over the endomorphism algebra of the tilting object.
- *Happel's functor*. The bounded derived category of modules over an Artin algebra embeds into the stable category of graded modules over the corresponding trivial extension algebra, and equality holds if and only if the algebra has finite global dimension.
- *Rickard's theorem*. Two algebras have equivalent derived categories if and only if one admits a tilting complex with endomorphism algebra isomorphic to the other algebra.
- *Global dimension*. Tilting preserves finite global dimension. If the bounded derived category of an abelian category of finite global dimension admits a tilting object, then its endomorphism ring is of finite global dimension.
- *Gröbner categories*. Representations of the category of finite sets in some locally noetherian Grothendieck category form again a locally noetherian Grothendieck category. This is a vast generalisation of Hilbert's basis theorem and has several applications.
- *Definable subcategories*. The definable subcategories of a module category (that is, subcategories closed under filtered colimits, products and pure submodules) are in bijective correspondence to Ziegler closed subsets of indecomposable pure-injective modules.
- *Injective cohomology representations*. For a finite group, every injective module over its cohomology ring can be realised as the cohomology of

a representation. Such a representation is essentially unique and Σ -pure-injective; therefore it decomposes uniquely into indecomposable representations corresponding to homogeneous prime ideals of the cohomology ring.

- *Endofinite modules.* Modules of finite length over their endomorphism ring decompose uniquely into indecomposables, and the isomorphism classes of indecomposables are in bijective correspondence to irreducible subadditive functions on finitely presented modules.
- *Finite representation type.* A ring is of finite representation type if and only if every module is endofinite.
- *Krull–Gabriel filtrations.* Pure-injective objects are classified via Krull–Gabriel filtrations. Examples include modules over Dedekind domains, quasi-coherent sheaves on the projective line, and representations of the Kronecker quiver.

Foundations. Several chapters of this book are devoted to basic concepts and foundational results. Let us mention some of these topics.

- *Localisation.* Localisation is a process of adding formal inverses to an algebraic structure; it is used throughout the book. The localisation of additive categories amounts to annihilating appropriate subcategories. For abelian and triangulated categories the morphisms of a localised category can be described via a calculus of fractions.
- *Abelian categories.* Abelian categories generalise module categories. Of particular interest are Grothendieck categories, which are precisely the localisations of module categories. Objects in these categories admit injective envelopes; so one can do homological algebra.
- *Triangulated and derived categories.* The derived category of an abelian category provides the proper context for studying derived functors. An important ingredient is the construction of resolutions. Triangulated categories form the appropriate categorical framework. Useful tools include Verdier localisation and Brown representability for cohomological functors.
- *Locally finitely presented categories.* These are cocomplete additive categories such that every object is a filtered colimit of finitely presented objects; in fact they are determined by their full subcategories of finitely presented objects. For locally finitely presented Grothendieck categories there is a well-developed theory of injective objects. Pure-injective objects are studied via an embedding into a Grothendieck category that is locally finitely presented.

There are further fundamental concepts that appear throughout this work. We mention the notion of a finitely presented (or coherent) functor. In fact, functor categories play an important role, not only because each representation (of an algebra, a quiver, or a group) may be viewed as a functor. The basic idea is to identify an object X of an additive category with the corresponding representable functor $\text{Hom}(-, X)$, often restricted to some appropriate generating subcategory. In this way categories of representations are presented as categories of functors. This idea goes back to Gabriel [79] and Auslander [7], but continues to be useful, also in the study of triangulated categories [150].

Another key concept that pervades this book is the notion of a spectrum. Indecomposable representations are often viewed as points of some space. The analogue in commutative algebra is the Zariski spectrum, but the spectrum of indecomposable injective objects of a Grothendieck category is the more general concept which is used throughout.

Prerequisites. The exposition is demanding in terms of the background and mathematical experience expected of the reader. We assume a basic knowledge of representation theory and homological algebra, including the appropriate categorical language.

Basic concepts and facts that are used throughout the book are arranged in a glossary which also serves to fix notation. Some topics from the glossary are explained in more detail in later chapters.

For unexplained terminology and further details, the following books are recommended: Cartan and Eilenberg [46], Mac Lane [141] (homological algebra), Schubert [183] (categories), Lam [136] and Stenström [197] (rings and modules).

Organisation. This book does not attempt to give a complete and systematic introduction to the homological theory of representations. It is rather motivated by a series of representation theoretic results (cf. the above list) for which we provide proper foundations and complete proofs. The choice of these results is based on personal taste and is by no means systematic.

The material is organised into 14 chapters and each is devoted to a particular topic. We have tried to keep the chapters as independent of each other as possible. This causes some repetition, but it will help the reader who is only interested in a particular topic.

Each chapter ends with notes and historical comments. This compensates for the fact that the body of the text gives no credits for definitions and theorems. We make no attempt to discuss the early history of the subject. For instance, the development of ‘modern algebra’ by Emmy Noether and her school inspired

many concepts and results which are presented in this volume; for a detailed account we refer to Corry [55].

A final warning seems appropriate. We try to present concepts and results in their natural generality, even though readers may only be interested in some special cases. For instance, we treat derived categories of *exact categories*, and it is obvious that these are abelian in most applications. Or we study purity for *locally finitely presented categories*, despite the fact that module categories are the most interesting examples. Our motivation for generality is twofold. Concepts and arguments often become more transparent by identifying the ingredients that are essential. And we believe in potential applications beyond those which are obvious and well known.

Acknowledgements. I have been fortunate to meet several excellent teachers: Herbert Kupisch and Josef Waschbüsch (Berlin), Sheila Brenner and Michael Butler (Liverpool), Dieter Happel and Claus Michael Ringel (Bielefeld). Later on, Maurice Auslander, Bill Crawley-Boevey, and Helmut Lenzing provided much inspiration. I am very grateful to all of them; their style and taste has guided me throughout the work on this book. Also, I learned a lot from my coauthors. It is a special pleasure to thank Dave Benson and Srikanth Iyengar for great fun through collaboration.

Many students as well as colleagues contributed specifically to this book with numerous suggestions and friendly criticism. I would like to record my thanks to those people. In particular, the combinatorially most challenging exposition of Schur algebras benefited greatly from comments by Darij Grinberg, and Sondre Kvamme provided thoughtful comments on many chapters. The discussions with Andrew Hubery and the advice from Dieter Vossieck on all aspects of this work are very much appreciated.

It remains to thank the staff at Cambridge University Press for their efficient work, and in particular Tom Harris for his enthusiastic support.